

New Energy Technologies

ISSN 1684 - 7288

Published by Faraday Lab.


Special Christmas Issue #5-6, 2003

Research on advanced aerospace systems and new energy sources

In this issue:

- *atomic hydrogen in power engineering*
- *magnetic generators*
- *capillary perpetuum mobile*
- *Hutchison effect*

*Photos from Faraday Laboratory:
test bench for measuring the effectiveness of
hydrogen dissociation and recombination processes*






Bogomolov's Invention


Over-unity from elastic forces of spring, compressed by rotation.

Read in this issue!




Spin Wave Laser of Ines Espinoza, USA

Spin Wave Technology INITIAL RELEASE



The diagram illustrates the initial release of a spin wave, showing the interaction between the magnetic field and the spin wave.




The diagram illustrates the spin wave after it has been released, showing the interaction between the magnetic field and the spin wave.


George J. Burgh



Precession






Electron Symbolic Diagram



Interference disc generator with permanent magnets

*inventor Alan Francoeur,
Canada*



Carl Reich




Bud Johnson



Vortex heat pump



***Efficiency
200% and more!***



***Inventor: Vladimir Susorov
(812) 342-2652
8-901-976-5151
susorow@rol.ru***



Gravity-heat power system



Author: Vladimir Sukhanov Wladimir.Sukhanov@soros.ksu.ru

NEW ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES #14-15

1. News from our company
2. Novozhilov's motor, Y. Novozhilov, Russia
3. Self-action in the case of magnetic rotation, S. Gerasimov and others, Russia
4. Capillary motor, I. Elshansky, Russia
5. Gravity-heat energy system, V. Sukhanov, Russia
6. Modern perpetual mobile, Review
7. Free energy and antigravitation, (spin wave lasers), Ines Espinosa, USA
8. Mechanical analogies, Y. Ivanko, Ukraina
9. Cell of fine-plasma electrolyses, Ph. Kanarev, Russia
10. Smith Generator, Review.
11. Strategy of Over-unity Devices Marketing, R. Wood, USA
12. Hutchison effect, Mark Solis, USA
13. Heat energy conversion by means of non-linear dielectric, A Ries and others, Brasil
14. Gates motor, A. Akau, USA
15. "Cold electricity", A.Akau, USA
16. Gravity conversion rotary device
17. Torsion technologies, China
18. News
19. Antigravitation, B.Smith, USA
20. Electric levitation, MacLeod, Canada
21. Perpetual mobile, V. Likhatchev, Russia
22. Interference Generator, A.Francouer, Canada
23. New Paradigma of Time, D. Reed, USA
24. On the rotating permanent magnet system, A.Frolov, Russia
25. Inventor's week, USA
26. Patents on inertial drive topic
27. Bogomolov's experiment with spring drive, Russia
28. History of perpetual mobile
29. Tesla Energy Science Conference, USA
30. Perendev company, Review
31. Internet pages about free energy
32. Matveev's electrical generator, Russia
33. Perpetuum motor with magnets, France
34. The most interesting of 2003
35. Hydrogen power engineering, Review
36. News on hydrogen fuel cells
37. Electric discharge as part of the over-unity device, Review
38. Subscription page

Faraday Lab News

One of the most interesting research projects of our company in the year 2003 has been the research on hydrogen dissociation and recombination processes. It has taken us about half a year to build a special vacuum tube and in October, 2003 we obtained some experimental results on the heat output and efficiency of the system. The system we designed in our laboratory is shown in Fig.1 and also on the cover. The water-cooling system and the heat measurement system make it possible to measure the heat output within the range of 100 W to 5 kW with pinpoint accuracy. In the year 2004 we are planning to go on with our research work.



Fig.2

Our laboratory continues designing and perfecting a powerful “alternator”-type generator with permanent magnets and a drum-type rotor. We will give our readers more detailed information after we are aware of the patent examining result.

Our research on “The Control of Temporal Characteristics of Physical Processes by means of Ether Energy Density Change” is also awaiting the results of the patent examining group. This research on time and the construction of the “time machine” is not a pure theory but it has quite real prospects of being applied to the aerospace industry as a propulsion method.



Fig.1

It is worthy to note that now the alternative energy prospects in Russia do not entirely depend on financial factors. The cooperation is important between researchers, investors and organizations interested in the innovative development of Russian industry rather than in the development of Russia as the world primary natural resource is also important. Progressive political and public organizations should develop and introduce alternative energy programmes as well as render support to local researchers. The successful innovation of new technologies is impossible without this support and lobbying government. Let us hope that the year 2004 will bring along new opportunities and promising contacts.

Alexander V. Frolov
General Director Faraday Laboratory Ltd
<http://www.faraday.ru>

Novozhilov's Motor

Yury N. Novozhilov, Russia
Bratislavskaya Str., 25-6, 390035, Ryazan,
Tel: (80912) 72-12-72

An interesting but a very simple motor has been designed. It operates on the basis of heating differences of its components due to temperature variations of contacting environments or due to variable radiation intensity.

Motor structure

The motor structure (see Fig.1) includes a metal or plastic wheel (1). In the center of the wheel there is an axis (2), on which spoke ends are fixed (3). Other spoke ends come through a hole in the wheel rim. On the spoke end there are weights, for example, in the form of small balls (4).




Fig.1
Motor

The structure peculiarity is preconditioned by a loop-shaped part placed on each spoke (5), which has a form of a metal strip or core. An arched bimetal component is built in the center of each loop-shaped part (6). Joints can be fixed at the joining point of loop-shaped parts and spokes to simplify the motor movement (7).

In the motor structure described arched bimetal components become straight when heated. The motor axis ends are placed in bearings (8).

To initiate the motor movement the loop-shaped parts need to be in mediums (zones) with different temperature. For instance, the lower part of the wheel may be placed into hot water (9) and the upper part will stay in the air (10).

Motor operation

When the motor is in a medium with identical temperature, for example, in the air, the temperature of all bimetal components will also be the same; therefore, they have identical form. In this case all spokes will similarly project over the wheel rim and all weights have similar distance from the wheel axis.

This state makes the whole system balanced and immovable. But if the motor wheel is partly placed into water with the temperature exceeding that of the ambient air **the bimetal components in water will become straight and shift the axis weights from the wheel axis.** This phenomenon initiates motor operation.

Let us consider this process in more detail.

On touching water, the bimetal component in the loop-shaped part becomes straight and shifts a weight from the wheel axis. The wheel becomes unbalanced, obtains torque and turns clockwise. This rotation makes the following bimetal component on the next loop-shaped part of the following spoke touch water. This bimetal component also becomes straight and shifts a weight from the wheel axis, generating an increased torque that turns the wheel clockwise.

At the same time a bimetal component comes from hot water on the left side of the wheel in zone "A". The component cools and restores its initial form, i.e. it curves more and pulls the weight on the spoke to the rotation axis. This leads to a decrease of its counterclockwise torque that affects the wheel.

The loop-shaped parts on the left side (A) and the right side (B) of the motor wheel will cause asymmetry in the number of weights projected by the spokes. On the motor left side (A) the weights will be projected from the wheel axis when the spokes are located in corner "C". On the right side

(B) the weights on the spoke axes will be shifted from the axis when the spokes are located in corner "D". Fig.2 shows that corner "D" is far larger than corner "C". This means that more weights will be shifted on the right side of the wheel in zone "B" than on the left side in zone "A".

Fig.2 shows that the wheel weights generating a clockwise torque are projected on the right side. On the left side in zone "A" only one weight is projected and it generates a counterclockwise torque. More weights shifted from the wheel axis on the right side will generate a greater clockwise torque than the weights shifted from the wheel axis on the left side. This is the cause of the wheel clockwise rotation.

This interesting effect is achieved due to the loop-shaped parts on the wheel spokes with bimetal components placed on them. When the next wheel spoke reaches corner "D", the bimetal component connected with it touches hot water, becomes straight and shifts the corresponding weight further from the wheel rotation axis. The motor wheel continues rotation, making the next bimetal component touch water. It is also heated, becomes straight and shifts the corresponding weight from the wheel rotation axis. The wheel continues rotation. Bimetal components are touching water in succession. On the left side, in zone "A", the bimetal components successively come out of hot water, cool in comparatively colder air, return to the initial sharply curved form and pull the weights on spokes to the wheel rotation axis. This is the reason for the wheel torque to decrease.

Mass movement from the axis in a rotating object commonly causes reduction of rotation speed. And, on the contrary, the rotation speed increases when the mass comes close to the axis. In motor zone "A" the weights come close to the rotation axis after the corresponding bimetal components come out of hot water, and the same number of weights move from the center in zone "B", i.e. these effects on the rotation wheel compensate each other.

In essence this is a heat machine. The heat transfer from a warmer medium – water – to the cold medium – air – is achieved owing to the heat capacity of the band-form bimetal component. This is the reason for fast heating and cooling processes. Due to the fact that bimetal components are not large, not so much heat is needed for their heating.

The motor will operate when the air temperature exceeds that of water but in this case it rotates counterclockwise.

There is another model of this motor when nitanol components are used instead of bimetal ones. Nitanol is a memory metal alloy that changes shape when reaching certain temperature. In this instance, the nitanol component is normally curved but becomes straight when heated (for example, up to 50°C), and the weight on the spoke end moves from the wheel rotation axis initiating rotation of the wheel. That means similar operation of a nitanol-based and bimetal-based motor.

The motor structure is very simple and its operation does not require power or fuel supply: it is enough to place its wheel into the water the temperature of which is different from that of the air.

The motor structure may be further developed: for example, a focused sun ray can be used for the heating purposes; the bimetal component can be heated with a common electric lamp on one side of the wheel, the required heat may come from a heating radiator, stove or burning gas-jet. Such motor may be heated when installed on a mantelpiece, etc.

This article describes the motor model that can be used as a toy. Being supplied with looking-glass units it may be applied to get various luminous effects or may be installed in the shop showcases, or else at exhibitions. This motor is also able to operate in a gravity force environment, even on the Moon. There direct sun rays may heat bimetal components to 200°C , and the temperature of the components located in the shadow almost reaches absolute zero, i.e. the temperature difference in this instance is over 400°C , which enables constant efficient motor operation.




Fig.2
The motor in operation

Self-Interaction in Electromagnetic Rotation

S.A. Gerasimov, V.V. Stashenko, Russia

Physics Department, Rostov State University, Rostov-on-Don, 344090

Email:GSIM1953@mail.ru

Introduction

The electromagnetic rotation is the motion of a magnetized body in a direction perpendicular to both the vector of magnetization J_m and the direction of the electric current j in a liquid inside which the magnet is located (Fig. 1).

Editor: Readers can compare this vector composition and the device scheme of Godin and Roschin with the Searl experiments. The similarity is evident.

A. V. Frolov




Fig.1

Electromagnetic rotation. A magnet M of magnetization J_m that is partly or entirely submerged in a conductive liquid L with a direct current of density j is moving in the direction of the force $F_a + F_r$.

The force producing such a motion is sufficiently weak and, normally, the phenomenon is observed at rotation. Although, this is quite a well-explored phenomenon [1-6], nobody knows what makes the magnet rotate [4]. There is an opinion [2,3] that the motion of the magnet in the conductive liquid is caused by the forces of attraction F_a and repulsion F_r between currents of density j flowing in the liquid and surface magnetization currents j_m of the magnet, often called the Ampere currents as shown in Fig. 1.

The external magnetic field of a long cylindrical magnet originating far from either pole is zero. Therefore, no force is exerted on charges moving in the liquid far from the ends of the magnet. Nevertheless, the magnet still moves in the liquid with the direct current even if it is only a thin magnetized needle [5]. Thus, the deeper the magnet is immersed in the conductive liquid, the more liquid gathers around it. The deeper the immersion is, the higher the total force must be. That should be so.

In reality, it is quite different. During intermediate immersions when the magnet is partly submerged in the liquid, the torque N does not depend on the depth of immersion, in the other words, currents flowing round the cylindrical magnet do not influence the exerting force acting [6]. Moreover, during shallow immersions, the magnet moves together with the liquid. **This is none other than the self-interaction** [7]. The conductive liquid under the magnet experiences the magnetic action. The direction of this force coincides with the direction

of the magnet motion. **Thus, the part of the conductive liquid moving in this direction under the action of the magnetic field B , affected by the frictional forces in the liquid causes the motion of the magnet in the same direction.** The only way to find out the role of the self-interaction in this phenomenon is experimental investigation. It would be appropriate to exclude the currents flowing under the magnet. In this case the magnet must rotate in the opposite direction.

Experimental system

A commercially available cylindrical magnet M of magnetization $J_m = 1,95 \cdot 10^5$ A/m and a balanced load P connected by a rocker R are suspended by a thread T as shown in Fig. 2.




Fig.2

The experimental set and its parameters: $h=50$ mm, $r=35$ mm, $d=25$ mm, $v=70$ mm, the diameter of the central electrode E is 5 mm, the thickness of the bottom G is 2 mm. ($\otimes$) and ($\odot$) are directions of the force acting on current elements of current density j in the magnetic field of inductance B

The magnet is immersed in the 5% copper sulfate solution (CuSO_4) so that the depth z of immersion can vary. A vessel containing the conductive liquid L is large enough for the magnet to be almost entirely immersed. The cylindrical surface C of the vessel and the central electrode E of the system are made of non-magnetic materials. The bottom of the vessel G is, of course, insulator. The magnet is coated with an insulating moisture-proof varnish. The length of the electrode E equals the height h of the vessel. A direct current of strength I passing through the cylindrical electrode C , the conductive liquid L , the central electrode E and the disk electrode D generate a torque of electromagnetic origin which results to the rotation of the magnet. The disk electrode D is intended to provide the system with the symmetrical supply of the current.

Experimental results

The size of this experimental device differs from that described previously [6]. **As a result we have obtained the torque N , which is about ten times more intensive than the former one.** But the main result is that the torque does not change its sign when the magnet is being immersed in the conductive liquid (Fig. 3).




Fig.3

A typical experimental dependencies of the torque N acting on the magnet depending on the depth of immersion z

When the magnet is almost entirely submerged in the liquid the depth of which equals the height of the magnet, no currents are flowing under and above it. In this case the rotation of the magnet is expected to be the result of drag action of the rotating liquid located in the intermediate field relative to the magnet. This part of the conductive liquid is rotating in the direction opposite to that of the motion of the magnetized body. It seems to be the right way. The magnet pushes the liquid away and, therefore, is moving in the opposite direction. No, this is wrong. If it was correct, this effect would also occur during the shallow immersion. At small z the magnet and the liquid rotate together. So far we still do not know what makes the magnet rotate.

References



S.A. Gerasimov

1. Faraday M. Experimental Researches on Electricity. // London: Ed. by R. and J.E. Taylor. 1839.
2. Ampere A.M. Theorie Mathematique des Phenomenes Electrodynamiques. // Paris: Blanchard. 1958.
3. Sigalov R.G., Shapovalova T.I., Karimov H.H., Samsonov N.I. // Magnetic Fields and Their New Applications. // Moscow: Nauka. 1976.
4. Gerasimov S.A., Ershov A.V. What Makes a Magnet Rotate? // Physics Education (Moscow). 2002. V. 22. P. 70-75.
5. Grabovsky M.A., Mlodseevsky A.B., Telesnin R.V., Shaskolskaya M.P., Yakovlev I.A. Lecture Demonstrations on Physics. // Moscow: Nauka. 1965.
6. Gerasimov S.A., Ershov A.V. Mechanism of Electromagnetic Rotation. // Problems of Applied Physics. 2001. V. 7. P. 34-35.
7. Gerasimov S.A. Self-Interaction and Vector Potential in Magnetostatics. // Physica Scripta. 1997. V. 56. N 3-4. P. 462-464.

Capillary Motor

Iosiph I. Elshansky, Russia

Koshtoyantsa St., 1a - 75, 119454 Moscow

Email: ewrik@bisinter.ru

For several decades the author has been organizing a contest for young inventors on the radio, television, in mass media and the Internet. There have been accumulated thousands of engineering solutions that belong to schoolchildren and youth. There are many original ones, including perpetual mobile. Though unfeasible in principle, they have interesting structure and competent engineering solutions.

For example, a child's dream to create an oblique roof over a city or field and direct the rain flow to a turbine to obtain mechanical work is of little use. But it's quite another matter if this child takes a pencil, a sheet of paper and tries to make a sketch or a drawing of such construction. Even if the construction is primitive and unfeasible, the child will get his first engineering experience and self-reliance.

I can still remember one peculiar case. Once the editors were instructed (it was in the times of the USSR) to assist an honored inventor of new machines. It was a Stalin Prize laureate, creator of a special type of lime that was widely applied in construction (I will not disclose his name). The inventor was given (that was not common practice) a large room in a shared apartment situated in a prestigious block of apartments in Moscow. It turned out that all room space, except for narrow passages at the walls, was occupied by a huge table. On the table up to the ceiling there were some pipelines, glass and metal vessels, retorts and other laboratory ware. The most impressive thing was retorts heated by gas coming through a hose from the shared kitchen. All that stuff was bubbling, hissing and steaming. The inventor was creating a perpetual mobile!

I will give one more example of a situation common for the editors of the "Inventor and Rationalizer". Once we had a respectable-looking visitor who made an odd request to get the President of the French Academy of Sciences to respond to his letters about a perpetual mobile

plan. The essence of Alexander Rodionov's (Maloyaroslavets, Russia) invention was that "in accordance with Newton's and Guerin's laws fluid flows up through capillaries and turns a wheel when flowing down (see Fig.1).




Fig. 1
Perpetuum-mobile

There are many similar projects in the history of engineering. Hence, this case may be considered as typical. We all know that the molecular adhesion forces (moistening) that push the fluid upwards will stick to it and the capillary motor will never work. But is the idea totally unfeasible? Since false modesty is inappropriate when it comes to perpetual mobile, I will present my ideas on this not so honorable subject for the reader's judgment.

It seems that all perpetual mobile inventors start not with a pencil and a sheet of paper but with experimenting on magnets, oblique plane, wicks, wheels, springs and other materials at hand. My first heat (perpetual) motor was also the result of an experiment.

The "Capillary" idea of Alexander Rodionov haunted me. I recalled that the great Lomonosov devoted lots of time to studying molecular adhesion and capillarity. They are present in everyday life, let alone the nature, especially in plants that would not be able to exist without them. How else would moisture flow up the trunks and stems? But, on the other hand, according to Mikhail V. Lomonosov's data, water flows up only for the maximum of several dozens of millimeters even through the thinnest capillary. But trees may have the height of several dozens of meters! If, as it is customarily considered, moisture flows from one capillary to another of its own accord, why not suppose that a capillary perpetual mobile is feasible? Explanations that moisture in plants flows up due to root pressure do not sound plausible. So where is the truth?

Years have passed since that visit of A. Rodionov. Now, just like I used to sympathize with, as it then seemed, a rather odd inventor, now my relatives sympathize with me. One can not blame them: for weeks and months there have been flowerpots all

around the apartment - on the tables, window-sills and bookstands. With bottles, tubes and self-made water manometers to follow.

Once, when I was assembling a device, I could not find two similar glass tubes and had to put in the tube of thin polyethylene. But no matter how hard I worked the water in the communicating vessels was at different levels. In the glass tube the water level was always higher. It could not be otherwise, if you come to think of it, but isn't it the right time the words "made of equally wettable material" were inserted into the communicating vessels law?

Here is a primitive experiment: make a hole in the bottom of a plastic flowerpot containing soil and a plant, and insert there one end of an elastic tube. The other end is inserted into the bottom of a plastic bottle containing water (see Fig. 3). In accordance with the communicating vessels law, the water will flow from the bottle into the flowerpot. When we see that the soil has been made wet, the experiment shall get more complicated: elevate the flowerpot so that its bottom is higher than the liquid level in the bottle. In some days we shall notice that the soil has not dried up and the water level in the bottle has lowered. One should not be a Solomon to guess that the soil or the roots of the plant transfer the water from the lower to the higher level. Here is a typical natural perpetual mobile!




Fig. 2
Motor

It is no use referring to the molecular adhesion forces since they can not be applied at such height differences. What is it then? A molecular collision as in a boiling kettle? But a leaf has neither high temperature nor much space. Nevertheless, water evaporates both from the soil and leaf surfaces. At the same time there is rarefaction under the evaporating surface. Can it be the rarefaction that pulls up new portions of water?




Fig. 3
Rarefaction

Let us make the experiment still more complicated. Put the leg pipe of a liquid manometer into the bottle cork. (Fig. 3) Almost immediately the water level in the closest leg to the bottle will start rising. It means that there is rarefaction in the bottle. Can it be the rarefaction that "rules" the plants?

Most likely, the essence of the mistake made by Rodionov and other authors of capillary motors consisted in attempts to get the water outflow from the capillary. **If it is not flowing out but evaporating, as it is common for the soil or plants, then, probably, vacuum will manifest itself and the capillary perpetual mobile will start working.**

I made my first capillary perpetual mobile many years ago (see Fig. 4). And it worked: though slowly, the wheel was steadily turning. No doubt, it was due to the heat inflow from the air. Therefore, such motor will work if there is a temperature difference and relative humidity of surrounding air is below 100%.




Fig. 4
Capillary Motor

The heat motor operating principle is clear from this figure. The disk (many disks on the common axis) is divided into isolated sections. Their surface is covered with a moisture-proof piece of material (filtered paper, cloth, etc.). The part of each section somewhat gets under the base of the next one. Hence, a small part of the base of one section and a part of the part following the section can get into water simultaneously. Due to the capillary moistening the section and the part will start to absorb water. Since the section is shifted about the center of gravity, the turning moment is bound to appear. The section that is out of water starts to dry up, the moment will increase and the wheel will start turning.

Having determined that, though slowly, the motor is turning, the author applied to a patent authority for a patent on the invention of the "Perpetual mobile", meaning it as a joke. I hoped that the experts (I knew some of them personally) will understand this joke. But, unexpectedly, the device was considered an invention, though under the

name of the "Heat motor" (certificate of authorship USSR # 1455040).

Basically, the motor is similar to the project of A. Rodionov. I would not be surprised if he protested. The same capillaries and the spontaneous rise of liquid on the moisture-proof material. The only difference is that the liquid does not flow out but evaporates from the capillaries.

Almost without any reason - one can not predict his eccentricities - I have recently made one more capillary motor (see Fig. 3). Perhaps, it resulted from my experiments with plants. This motor directly and spontaneously lifts water to a higher level, which is more convincing. Moreover, water flows in the form of drops (or a stream) and turns the propeller! The motor is quite operable and has even been shown on TV. But do not try to verify it on your own - such work is useless without certain know-how. The author would not like to disclose the "secret" yet. I could still make a patent application.



The Continuous Rotation Device

The essence of this invention is that in a closed circle the rotation of the object occurs without any outer influence or any power sources (electric energy, oil, diesel oil, etc.), which results in the rotation of the rotating part of the device. The torque can be easily enhanced by means of transmitting it to the reduction system. At that rate, the necessary rotation speed and rotation power can be acquired.

The device is small, easy to transport, mobile (both, in operation and switch-off), simple and inexpensive to make and assemble. It is economical, ecological and weather independent.

The device can be used in any industrial or household appliance field where the continuous rotation is required. It is especially

important to note that this device can be used to generate energy on a large industrial scale as well as in small laboratories, in mountains and remote districts, in tunnels, at sea, etc. for it does not require any power lines.

Patent Claim Priority:
#20030059, April 19th 2003

For more information
contact
the author of the invention:

Eldar Sariyev,
Azerbaydzhan, Baku,
Tbilisskiy Prospekt, 75-26;
Tel: + (994 12) 92-47-73, 98-95-02;
Email: eldar_sariyev@yahoo.com

Gravity-heat Power System



Vladimir N. Sukhanov, Russia
Kosmonavtov Str., 35-39, 420061 Kazan
Email: Wladimir.Sukhanov@soros.ksu.ru

Natural hydrologic cycle is a widely recognized phenomenon. Water evaporates from the ground and water reservoir surfaces, generally, due to the Sun heat, then moves to the superstructure atmospheric layers where it is condensed, and precipitates onto the ground surface, but on higher levels compared with evaporation surfaces. In this process water is also purified and desalted. From the higher levels water flows to its main evaporation points, forming streams like brooks and rivers where water power plants could be established. Flowing to the lower surface layers with lower potential energy, water in the Earth's gravitational field performs work that can be utilized.

Natural hydrologic cycle principle is used in the gravity-heat power system (USA patent No. 3953971, International class. F03G7/04 of May 4, 1976).

In this system the temperature difference between ground surface layers (the mountain foot and its top) is used to produce electric power and obtain fresh water. The temperature difference does not change much with change of height, and the system effectiveness is quite moderate, which can be named as its drawback.

The system utilizes free environmental energy. Its efficiency (converted to the process maintaining energy) tends to infinity.

The author proposes a new gravity-heat power system that can substitute modern heat power plants. It contains all installation components as per USA patent No. 3953971 except for the heat exchanger on the ground surface whose functions are performed by a conventional steam boiler.

Gravity energy component **G** in the proposed system:

$$G = X_m \cdot T g H,$$

where

- ♦ X_m – fluid flow,
- ♦ T – time of operation,
- ♦ g – acceleration of gravity,
- ♦ H – tower height between the steam boiler and the freezer (condenser).

This formula does not allow for vapor density of operating fluid.

The vapor density is insignificant as compared with the operating fluid density.

Energy **P**, required to maintain operation:

$$P = X_m \cdot T q,$$

where q – specific fluid vaporization energy

Efficiency **K** – of the system should not be lower than 80%

To obtain efficiency that equals 120% the following is required:

$$H = 2,2q/g$$

Or **H** = 25,4 kilometers.

Construction of such towers is too complicated for modern technology. Therefore, a stratospheric balloon that is linked to the ground surface by a flexible twin-core hose rope may be used. One core is designed to send down fluid and the other to send up vapor. Flowing down to the ground surface through the hose, the fluid will generate fluid column pressure. The fluid will cross water-turbine generator cascades, after each of which the fluid pressure will decrease and the fluid will continue its way down.

There is an alternative. To make the tower several times lower the evaporation (at the tower foot) and condensation (at the tower/mountain top) should be phased (in the form of cascade) at various pressure values and with utilization of the same heat energy. For this purpose a heat carrier is used that will transfer heat from the tower (mountain) top to its foot. This operation will enable the tower height segmentation into H/n sections where n is the number of grades in a cascade.

Cascade structure is shown in Fig. 1




Fig. 1
Cascade

where

- 1 – ground surface,
- 2 – tower component or the smoke-stack of the steam boiler,
- 3 – steam boiler,
- 4 – steam pipe,
- 5 – heat exchanger and condenser,
- 6 – pressure pipeline,
- 7 – water-turbine generator,
- 8 – heat exchanger and evaporator,
- 9 – circulation pump,
- 10 – pipeline of the heat carrier circulation.

Cascade (operating fluid, water) with 500 meters

of height can contain several dozens of grades (50 and more). **In this case the cascade efficiency may approach 120%.**

Decreasing the tower height to 500 meters (for water) will allow for utilization of conventional water-turbine generators and standard equipment.

In the proposed cascade the heat energy is required to maintain the cascade working capacity when Earth's gravitational field energy is utilized. In the author's opinion there are still other ways to use gravitation.

The system scale is its main drawback. Therefore, partial utilization of the proposed principle may

seem more attractive. The proposed engineering solution was simple.

In a thermal power station the condenser is installed on the top of the tower (mountain). After the condenser the water lift in the water tower finished at the steam boiler pressure pipe (omitting the pump that was no longer necessary). Energy required for pressure pipe operation was saved. In other words, the water tower was utilizing the Earth's gravitation at the conventional juncture of vapor condensation in the steam plant.

Such proposal was made on June 17, 1982 in the patent application USSR N3453603/06, (101161) expert code 060701KH. However, the utilization of free and environmentally friendly gravity energy did not arouse interest even on the part of patent experts.




Fig. 2
System

The author is looking for serious partners.

The Alternative Energy Potential in Russia

According to the International Energy Agency (IEA) calculations, total investments into the energy industry constitute approximately 330 billion dollars annually. Almost half of this sum (150 billion annually) is allotted to the development of the electric power industry. This information only partially reflects the potential of the alternative energy development and does not include the market growth factor upon the introduction of autonomous power system technologies. Vast territories of the planet have not been sufficiently developed yet due to the absence of local energy resources and power lines. From this point of view, Russia is the country with an enormous consumer demand potential provided that the mass production of autonomous fuel-less energy systems guarantees low production prices.

Alexander V. Frolov
Editor-in-Chief

Faraday Lab Ltd

Fuel-less energy R&D
R&D in alternative astronautics

Read our
New Energy Technologies
magazine

Lev Tolstoy Str. 7-601
St. Petersburg
197376, Russia
Tel: 7-812-3803844
www.faraday.ru

Modern Perpetual Mobile

**Review prepared by correspondent Alla Pashova, Russia.
Based on the Internet pages and other information sources**

It is common knowledge that any experimental information, which is against a conventional scientific paradigm, is considered to be false, extracted from the society and finally is turned into something **classified and elite**.


Politics-oriented science as a whole and natural science in particular often result in misrepresentation and falsehood of **popular knowledge** that turns it into the fighting weapon of socio-political organizations, states and their groups.

World-outlook and political contradictions of any society often determine science development strategies. In 1885 Nicola Tesla presented his transformer in action by means of which he lit carbon lamps in a 25 miles circle without any cords, conductors or switches using the 5000-HP turbine of the Niagara hydroelectric power station. Afterward, he obtained financial support for one of his energy projects.

On a special testing ground Nicola Tesla built vacuum-energy systems. However, in 1885 the systems, as well as the testing ground, were destroyed as it became evident that if they went on the mankind would never need organic fuel again. But the destruction of the systems and testing ground does not at all mean the destruction of papers and documents...Ever since that moment people have been trying to find "free energy".

The experiment of distant lighting of carbon lamps without using any cords was repeated only once by the physicist Filippov. He managed to light the lamps in Tsarskoe Selo employing the electric generating systems in St. Petersburg. Filippov died in 1914 under mysterious circumstances.

In 1917 the Portuguese American Andres invented non-convetional fuel for an internal



combustion engine. The essence of his invention was in some inexpensive chemicals, which he dissolved in water (some drops of chemicals per pail of water). A special state committee tested the new fuel during the New York - Washington - New York automobile races. After the races one of the largest oil monopolies in the U.S. offered Andres 2 million dollars in cash for the documents and all rights for his invention and later locked all the information in their safes. Two days later after getting the money Andres disappeared. (The information was disclosed by V. Vasilevsky, the former chief of the scientific and technical intelligence department of the KGB of the USSR, who had been in charge of the department since 1930).

The above-mentioned examples show that there were a lot of attempts to develop revolutionary energy technologies. But what then are the grounds for the 'energy crisis' complaints? The answer is simple: the desire of a group of financial and energy multinational corporations for an economic, political and, finally, for a total control of the world. That is why there is no room for "free-energy" hunters in our science, not even as laboratory assistants, not to mention some higher positions.

Practice often negates scientific dogmas, especially when it comes to the efficiency of different devices. In general, the traditional understanding of efficiency is quite limited and should be forgotten. Instead of the traditional notion "efficiency" we should consider the notion "energy-conversion efficiency" (ECE), which has no limits. In 1974 in the USA a six-stroke internal combustion engine was designed which had a double ECE. The fifth stroke of the engine operation included water injection; the sixth was characterized by the water vapor introduction. Firstly, the engine had a higher ECE than that of the Carnot cycle. Secondly, taking the 55 % ECE of the contemporary internal combustion engine, the ECE of a six-stroke engine exceeds 1.

Practice often negates scientific dogmas, especially when it comes to the efficiency of different devices. In general, the traditional understanding of efficiency is quite limited and should be forgotten. Instead of the traditional notion "efficiency" we should consider the notion "energy-conversion efficiency"...

Before the war there appeared "studebekkers" with the fuel consumption of 5.5 l per 100 km. But the fuel-economy record was set by the Japanese. In 1986 they built up an automobile, which used only 0.055 l of fuel per 100 km (about 44 g). Unfortunately, now we do not have plants, which could manufacture automobiles of the kind.

In 1832 Tomson came up with the idea of a **heat-pump unit**. Stating the unity and interconvertibility of substance movements, he proved that the mechanical energy consumption can restore stray heat. At that time cross-Atlantic ships had low-temperature steam engines, which employed exhaust steam and ether vapors. The efficiency of those engines was already higher than the Carnot cycle efficiency.

Now with the help of the facts acquired during the last century we will prove the scientific inconsistency of the orthodox model of the second stage of thermodynamics. In 1941 the English physicists O. Hougen and K. Watson published their work featuring the experimental fact that the ammonia-saturated vapor pressure in liquids was higher than the general pressure. For example, with the general pressure of 50 atm and the temperature of 0° C, such pressure was 17 % higher. This means that having placed a semitransparent partition and a turbine between two containers it could have been possible to build up a natural-heat vapor-converter. This method was used by **von Platen, the Nobel prizewinner from Sweden, who constructed a self-rotating centrifugal machine, which provided a 1000 atm pressure when ammonia interacted with water. The heat emitted was enough to compensate friction losses**. It is also known that the Carnot principle cannot be applied to closed cycles. W. Vielstich, 'Combustion Cells', 1968: "**If an entropy change of a reaction is negative, it can exceed 1**". It means that a certain amount of energy can be obtained from the natural heat. Such an effect is possible in electrochemical generators, which employ a direct oxidation process before oxidation and dioxidation".

A demonstrative example of scientific experiments withdrawn from science is discovery # 13 "The knock power-transfer regularity" made on December, 18th, 1962, which makes it possible to create a mechanical "perpetual mobile". The experiment shows that the conventional "knock theory" does not work in practice: **the bouncing energy of a body after it has been knocked can be higher than its energy before it has been knocked**.

Trying to get some recognition, **E. Aleksandrov, Doctor of Engineering Science, made his demonstrative experiment in front of many different commissions: a chilled-steel ball when falling from a 10 m height onto a firmly-fixed chilled-steel plate made a 14-15 m-high bounce**. That was the notorious 'perpetual mobile' as it is. It went on like this until somebody decided to explain the result of the experiment by a metal lattice internal

energy. At once, everything was clear. Since that moment the above-mentioned principle has been successfully employed in percussion-action machines. This principle can also be used in simple electric-power systems.

Now we can see that electro-technicians had already constructed demonstrative 'perpetual mobile' machines 10 years before politicians and economists started to speak about the 'energy crisis'.

In 1921 the mass media wrote about A. Hubbard who invented an electromagnetic generator without any external energy supply. The Hubbard generator was used as a boat motor.

...now we can see that electrotechnicians had already constructed demonstrative 'perpetual mobile' machines 10 years before politicians and economists started to speak about the 'energy crisis'...

In 1928 L. Nidershot invented a 300 W electric generator, which did not require any external energy supply. The device consisted of a radio-technical oscillation generator (500 kHz) and a coil. After 68 years there appeared publications about A. Melnichenko who repeated the experiment.




In 1927 T. Brown (England) obtained a patent on the ways of originating a moving power and energy using an electric field. Later, in 1955 while working in France, he presented a system with the speed-capability of several miles per hour using a 2000 eV field. After that he had to stop his experiments and was sent to the USA.

In 1943 N. Tesla presented an electric-motor automobile. The energy was generated by a previously-unknown generator.

In 1960 Stovbunenko (whose research work results were subject to a special verdict of the Military-Industrial Complex) presented his motor, which made it possible to move in his old automobile 'Moskvich' around the city for

the whole day utilizing the power of a standard automobile battery.

In 1980 there was a qualitative break through in electro-technical "perpetual mobiles". Baumann's electrostatic machines of the total capacity of 750 kW started functioning in the religious community of Liden (Switzerland), serving all daily needs of the village. (See the photos below)



**The photos are taken from
www.free-energy.cc**

Thus, in 1980 there appeared a community with no energy problems, no need for organic fuel and no fear for the 'energy crisis'.

In 1881 N. Sluginov discovered an energy imbalance that occurred in the water electrolysis process. In his experiments he got that the output energy was 30 % higher than the input energy. In 1980 American scientists recreated this energy imbalance and proved that while employing the steam turbine rejected heat, the water electrolysis efficiency reaches 120%.

This information introduces a story about an energy system invented in 1957 under the guidance of I. Filimonenko. The system did not simply generate energy in the form of a high-pressure vapor and output hydrogen and oxygen but also absorbed radiation. In 1960 the USSR Central Committee and Cabinet Council gave a special secret ruling, known as "The Three 'K's'" (Keldysh, Kurchatov, Korolyov) to go on with the research in this system. However, after Kurchatov's death the project was "oppressed" and later on after the death of Korolyov the project was completely cancelled. The special committee of the USSR Academy of Sciences stated that the system was functioning against "the Law of Nature". I. Filimonenko was dismissed and expelled from the party. Later, in 1980-1991 the experiments were partially renewed. Several testing systems were constructed in the Chelyabinsk region but the construction was never finished and the use of a mobile system for eliminating the damage caused by the Chernobyl accident was refused. This story is a demonstrative example of the traditional rejection of promising projects by science, which could be very beneficial for Russia.

Theoretical Background of 'Perpetual Mobile'

Originally, the term 'entropy' was used only in connection with the reasoning about limited or eternal nature of the Universe. Later it came to be used to describe the functioning principles of thermal machines. Now there exist more than 600 contradictory definitions for 'entropy', which are actually the results of a long and unfinished

discussion between the supporters of Dekart and Leibnitz, who defined 'motion' in different ways. It is these permanent contradictions in the theoretical fundamentals of physics that make it impossible to explain the existence of a 'perpetual mobile'. However, standard physics has never denied the possibility of creating energy technologies allowing the ECE higher than 1.

We should remember that the basis of the so-called variational calculation is the mathematical apparatus technique of the conventional mechanics. It seems that if system behavior equations directly depend on time, this system cannot fall under any conservation laws. **This is the proof of a complete failure of the conventional energy conservation law!**

It is these permanent contradictions in the theoretical fundamentals of physics that make it impossible to explain the existence of a 'perpetual mobile'

In time-independent thermodynamics the existence of 'perpetual mobile' can be explained by the system internal energy usage. There exist two kinds of thermodynamic systems. Some of them when being heated or affected in some other way start increasing their internal energy while other systems show the internal energy decrease. The latter are called negative absorption systems. The standard version of the first law of thermodynamics does not cover all the processes occurring in such systems. The output of a negative absorption system can be higher than its energy. The additional output is acquired by the gradual internal energy loss.


To cap it all, there are more than a hundred experimental facts that show the limited nature of the Maxwell theory. They were obtained by scientists from different research institutes and construction bureaus, were tested and registered. Thus, for example, in 1973 in the USSR the acoustic magnetoelectricity effect was discovered. The author of the discovery proved the

interaction of electrons with ultrasonic waves with a 1000-times energy increase. This is against the Maxwell theory, which absolutely denies effects of the kind. But the limited nature of the theory was never accepted.

However, the examples of the machines in action prove that since 1834 when the first refrigerator was invented, when the cross-Atlantic ships were equipped with low-

temperature ether-vapor steam-engines, the contemporary science had no right to introduce 'the second holy principle', especially in the orthodox form of 'the Carnot cycle efficiency'. The modern theoretical physics cannot provide grounds for the negation of creating over-unity devices. That is why we should rely on the physicists-experimentalists who develop the science in a practical rather than theoretical way.

Alekseenko's Fuel-less Motor



Patent # 2131636
Vasily E. Alekseenko

РОССИЙСКАЯ ФЕДЕРАЦИЯ

ПРЕЗИДИУМ ФЕДЕРАЛЬНОГО СОВЕТА

ПРЕЗИДИУМ МИНИСТЕРСТВА И ТОВАРИЩАМИ

ПАТЕНТ

НА ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИЕ

№ 2131636

На основании: Патента изобретения Российской Федерации, изобретение в области техники, относящееся к устройству, способу и способу работы, а именно: к устройству, способу и способу работы

БЕССТОИЧНЫЙ ДВИГАТЕЛЬ

Изобретение принадлежит:

Алексеенко Василию Ефимовичу

изделию № 9/11932, дата изобретения 21.03.97

Подано в: 07.10.97

Автор(ы) изобретения:

Алексеенко Василий Ефимович

Патент издан в: 07.10.97, в соответствии с: 21.03.97, при условии соблюдения условий, указанных в: 21.03.97, в соответствии с: 21.03.97

Государственный реестр изобретений Российской Федерации

г. Москва, 10.10.1998 г.



В. Е. Алексеев

Aerospace Defense Research Finds Free Energy and Antigravity Possible

Dr. Ines Espinoza, USA
email: dr.ines@vasantcorporation.com

Editor: it is worth mentioning that the first gravitational-wave physical mechanism and the mechanism of spin-effect "grazer" (gravitational lasers) designing were described by S. M. Polyakov and O.S. Polyakov in the book "An Introduction to the Experimental Gravitational Studies", Moscow, 1991.

After a 7-year research study, a senior staff aerospace defense engineer, George J. Bugh, concludes economically free energy and even antigravity are possible.

A 7-year study of "free energy" devices, sometimes called "over unity" devices, was done to determine if any of these devices generated power by transferring energy from unknown sources and if so to determine where the energy was coming from. Included in the study is research of related devices with claimed antigravity effects. The study attempted to determine validity of claims, commonalities of device characteristics and to determine how these devices could work. **The results conclude that some devices can generate economically free energy. This study also concludes there is a possible link between gravity and electromagnetism that can be exploited to generate antigravity or electrogravity effects.**

The majority of the study was to come up with a theory to explain how the devices could work. In his research, Mr. Bugh used mostly classical electrodynamics rather than quantum electrodynamics. In Quantum theory, the wave-like characteristics of matter are described using abstract probability waves. However, Bugh proposes that the wave characteristics of matter may

also be described as coming from a very real sea of unseen electromagnetic standing waves among all matter. There is a slide show presentation at the website that explains the differences between the Quantum and Classical way of explaining particle interactions.

According to classical electrodynamics, all electrically charged particles, like quarks of protons and neutrons as well as orbital electrons for example, **should radiate away energy from precessional and precessional plus orbital motion.**




Fig.1
Electron motion

If in fact this really happens then all electrically charged particles can be radiating away energy all the time. However, all particles can also absorb just as much energy from all other radiating particles. The absorbed energy applies electromagnetic forces that naturally move all similar type particles into harmonious precessional motions with all other particles. This results in a vast sea of electromagnetic standing

waves among all matter. Even free particles would move into precessional motions that are in sync with the established sea of standing waves.

Symbolic Electron Process in an Opposing External Magnetic Field




Fig. 2
Electron precession

There can be a hidden yet strong tendency towards harmony among all matter in the universe due to these unseen standing waves and spin interactions among all matter. This tendency can overcome to a great extent the tendency towards chaos and heat death of the universe. This tendency can also be exploited to perform work.




Fig. 3
Spin wave

This is an interaction among all matter that Ernst Mach alluded to as necessary to cause matter's characteristic of inertia. Einstein later called this Mach's Principle. Einstein studied Mach's ideas while developing his theory of General Relativity.

Bugh describes inertial resistance to acceleration as caused by electromagnetic forces. Changes in position of a mass will cause phase differences to develop between the precessional motions of the particles of that mass relative to the sea of standing waves. This in turn causes electromagnetic force that resists a mass from changing its position.

The research papers are published in a book and a CD titled "Spin Wave Technology Initial Release".




Fig. 4.
Spin wave technology

At the end of the book it suggests that it should be possible to create computer simulations of particle interactions using classical electrodynamics to demonstrate both inertial resistance and gravitational attraction and it should be possible to simulate devices that manipulate these particle interactions to demonstrate antigravity effects.




Fig. 5
Spin wave laser

More information is available about the research results at the website: www.vasantcorporation.com.

On Physics of Electromagnetism Mechanical Analogue or Pure Mechanics?

Yury V. Ivanko, Ukraine
E-mail: ux0lw@hotmail.com

After publication of the article "Study of possibility of a high-energy hyper-low-frequency electric field" in the "New Energy Technologies" magazine, Issue #2, 2003 I am often asked why I have mentioned the Big Bang in my hypothesis.

I would like to reassure atheists since I do not back the Big Bang hypothesis. But I had to base myself on something. At present the origin of the Universe as a result of the Big Bang has priority and is recognized by the academic science.

Both theorists and experts have long noticed a deep analogue between vortex motion of air currents and electromagnetism. My vision of the physics of electricity and electromagnetism is fully based on existence of a vortex capable physical environment. What is considered to be and is measured **as electric voltage, electric current, magnetic or gravitational interactions is nothing but similar-originating phenomena - the result of vortex flow environment affecting our detectors - dissimilar formations for these vortex flows.**

For instance, let us consider three vectors for the flow along the skin-layer of the line conductor - aerial emitter, together with alternating voltage attached to it (Fig.1):

- 1) longitudinal - showing as electric voltage, the gradient of potentials between the emitter feeding point and the emitter cold end, U ;
- 2) tangential - showing as amperage - electric current, I ;
- 3) radial - showing as the interacting force of two flows - magnetic field (attraction/repulsion), H (not to be mixed with the commonly used term of magnetic intensity).




Fig.1

Interaction of two left-handed homogeneous parallel flows.

Let us consider it step by step:

Consider line conductor AB with length L in free space (Fig. 2).




Fig.2
Line conductor in free space.

Let us assume that he is not affected by and fields. Then the gradient of potentials between A and B equals zero. Let us apply sinusoidal voltage from the generator output to point A (Fig. 3).



Fig.3
Sinusoidal voltage at the generator output.

Let us consider the initial time point t_0 . The generator output voltage is $U=0$. At time point t_1 the generator output voltage has changed and took on value U_1 .

It is necessary to note that (See Fig. 2) the propagation speed is finite: $c=300000$ km/sec. Point B will "learn" about the voltage alteration only in time $t_b = t_1 + L/c$. Therefore, at time point t_1 between points A and B appears gradient of potentials $\Delta\varphi = U_1$. So what is the direction of vector U_1 ? Right, along conductor AB.

What practice says

One should not be a physicist or radio mechanic to notice small electro-shock of ungrounded metal objects close to aerials of powerful transmitters. Specialists term them as "electromagnetic inducers". Has an expert ever measured the actual characteristic of the field intensity distribution along a line conductor? Why should he? Everyone knows from school lessons how alternating voltage is distributed along the conductor, the length of which is comparable with the wave length (Fig. 4).




Fig.4
Classical representation of voltage distribution along a linear vibrator a) for λ , b) for $\lambda/2$, c) for $\lambda/4$.

Now let us consider what experimental measurements suggest.

An experiment of measuring the field intensity distribution along the line conductor is shown below. A classic field indicator (FI), assembled as per scheme in Fig.5, was used as an intensity measuring instrument.




Fig.5
Field indicator principle diagram.

FI was located in immediate proximity to the vibrator but had no galvanic contact with it. Experimental frequencies were selected in

accordance with the standing wave coefficient minimum (SWC) for λ , $\lambda/2$, $\lambda/4$. Sinusoidal signal generator was connected to one end of the vibrator (Fig. 6a).




Fig.6
Measured field intensity
along a linear vibrator.

To better understand diagrams in Fig. 6 curves are drawn at the upper and lower ends of each axis L that corresponds to the vibrator location. The curves were run with FI horizontally located on both sides of the vibrator.

From Fig. 6d it is clear that the diagram is axisymmetric and looks like a conic funnel.

Meanwhile, the vibrator directional diagram measured by the monitor receiver far more distant than λ , shows the characteristic that coincides with the simulated one through the Matlab-6 system (See Fig.7). As you can see from this figure, the spatial characteristic of the directional diagram is in the form of a toroid.




Fig.7
Matlab-6-simulated directional diagram of the semi-wave vibrator

A series of alterations was made to explore vortex ether dynamic processes with variable wave length and frequency in line conductors, solenoidal and flat coils, etc.

For certain purposes the solenoidal coil may be considered as a shortcut linear vibrator. On respective frequencies the field intensity distribution along the solenoid corresponds to the linear vibrator. This data may prove useful to many modern engineers of the Tesla transformers and generators. To increase voltage on the cold end a toroid capacitor is set in the Tesla transformers. Nuclear physicists use the hemisphere capacity for the particle accelerators. Thus, a voltage of millions of volts may be achieved.

Flat coils are best explored in terms of field intensity distribution (See Fig. 8)




Fig.8

Field intensity distribution for a flat coil in a closed resonance circuit.

Field intensity distribution on a resonance frequency is correct.

The practical experiment for the case in fig. 6d has shown that the neon lamp that is connected with the vibrator end will be off. And this is with a 100 W generator! It is a paradox, one would say. The measurement diagram shows a "splash" of the field intensity at the vibrator end but we feel nothing. Indeed, when the FI is located as shown in Fig.9 for $\lambda/4$, the "electrodynamic vacuum" will generate. The device showed "0" intensity, which conforms neither with the diagram in Fig.6 nor with the diagram in Fig.7.



Fig.9

Field indicator shows "0" - "electrodynamic vacuum".

The same "vacuum" in the center of tornado was observed by people in the epicenter.

Remember what is measured with the field indicator (an ampere meter) along the linear vibrator. The Ampere force!

So, both people and their environment are in rotation process. The Earth rotates around its axis and around the Sun. The Sun rotates around the Galaxy center. The Galaxy rotates around the center of the Universe. The Universe rotates...

Every space point has an inceptive rotation impulse (torque). Let us remember the analogy. Water flowing out of the tub rotates counterclockwise in the northern hemisphere and clockwise in the southern hemisphere. If you set a right-handed torque in the northern hemisphere, the water will continue to flow counterclockwise.

The electromagnetism is the same. It has a natural left-handed rotation. Nevertheless, practical radiotechnology is familiar with right-handed fields artificially generated by transmitters.

The commonly used term of magnetic interactions is easier to understand if we consider the electromagnetic field as a vortex flow. Two left-handed homogeneous parallel flows are shown in Fig.1. At the point of interaction A of vector projection, the speeds of flow propagation on section plane **A-A** have opposite direction and compensate each other. The actual flow density is $\rho_1 < \rho_2 < \rho_3$. Reduced pressure occurs at point A. The flows are attracted. The resulting attraction vector for the whole flow is radially directed. The counter parallel flows will have composition, i.e. overpressure, and will repel.

One should note that graphic presentation in Fig.1 for vectors H and I for the etherdynamic systems is possible only in special cases. In dynamic vortex flows the current intensity vector is actually directed towards the flow movement and coincides with the vector direction of its propagation speed (V). The resulting vector H remains a radius-vector only for the considered point of interaction of two flows. The flow energy parameters are defined by its propagation speed V (Fig.1).

I am convinced of existence of ether vortex flows in the Universe. Modern astronomic and cosmological surveys confirm my hypotheses of aether Hyper-fields existence on a Universal scale.

The commonly used term of magnetic interactions is easier to understand if we consider the electromagnetic field as a vortex flow.

Even an amateur in aerodynamics and dynamic of gas vortex may make cosmological conclusions:

- ♦ vortex initiation implies the initial gradient flow of at least two counter flows;
- ♦ energy parameters of the emerging vortex flow can not exceed those of the parent flows
- ♦ there exist ether flows with energy exceeding that of our Universe
- ♦ our Universe has not originated from a point and is not finite. It is the spiral (vortex) structure of our Universe that testifies against the Big Bang hypothesis.

Conclusions:

Practical radiotechnology is familiar with the key difference between the field intensity distribution diagrams of aerial emitters in near and far zones. After combining diagrams 6d and 7b (Fig.10) it is possible to draw certain conclusions.


When transverse waves (radio waves) are formed around the aerial vibrator, the double transformation of the initial flow geometry starts:

♦ **the first flow is a homogeneous spiral flow on the conductor skin-layer;**

.. **the second flow is a non-homogeneous vortex flow of the near zone;**

.. **the third flow is a toroidal flow of the far zone.**

The toroid volume alteration in the far zone (as per the transmitter vibration frequency) forms volumetric planar transverse vibrations (spherical waves) that are called electromagnetic or radio waves. The gradient of potentials alterations (as per the transmitter vibration frequency) provoke changes of the Coulomb plane, which form longitudinal vibrations with a narrow directional diagram.



The longitudinal flow is assumed to be formed as a chain of toroids (like smoke rings)

Ether-dynamic approach provides grounds for more substantial conclusions and assumptions. A new approach to energy and communication systems is made real. Further results of the experiments with transmitters and aerials, assembled on ether-dynamic principles, enabled to draw the following conclusions:

- all reasons, preventing transformation of the transmitter power into the power of transverse radio waves, are evident;

- all reasons for the transverse wave phase lagging with the increase of distance from the emitter, are also evident;

- besides the toroid that forms the transverse wave, the second flow generates a crucially new radiation that has a very narrow directional diagram along its longitudinal axis;

- the aerial ignores wave geometry for the second flow and its longitudinal derivative;

- the radiation along the second flow axis has a very high penetrating power;

- the radiation along the second flow axis has no signs of polarization.

The list of references is not shown in this article due to its size. It can be looked up at **www.efir.com.ua**.



In October 2003 the second stage of the research on the “time control” was completed. In the photo below you can see Chernobrov V. A. and Frolov A. V. in the laboratory, October 31st 2003.

The second stage conclusions are quite interesting, however the usage of timers (chronometers) as detectors is proven to be inappropriate for they are subject to magnetization and their indication variations cannot be reliable in this case.

The next stage will feature the following method of detection of the time rate changes (the rate of the matter existence) that is the radiation wave-length measurement. If it is possible to achieve significant results then we will be able to detect the change of the laser ray colour in the area of the effect and its linear path deviations. The experiments will be held to register the weight changes of the detector at the expected influence of the produced effect on the ether density.

Our company looks for cooperation with corporations, which are interested in the application aspects of these technologies.


*Frolov A. V.
General Director, Faraday Lab Ltd
7-812-3803844
<http://www.faraday.ru>*



CELL OF THE THIN PLASMAELECTRIC HEAT GENERATOR

Ph. M. Kanarev, A.I. Tlishev, Russia

E-mail: kanphil@mail.kuban.ru



The main task of the experiment was to check the hypothesis: "Electrodynamic influence on the water molecules gives the possibility to significantly reduce energy expenses on destruction of their chemical bonds; further fu-sion of these molecules considerably increases the output of additional energy in the form of heat".

In order to solve this task, special experiments were carried out as regards the electrodynamic destruction of chemical bonds of water molecules by electric pulses of various frequencies.



Photo of the Thin Plasmaelectric Heat Generator

CHECK TEST RECORD FOR PLASMAELECTRIC HEAT GENERATOR

Table 1

	Indices	1	2	3	Avg.
1	mass of the solution, which has passed through the reactor m , kg.	0.470	0.432	0.448	0.450
2	temperature of solution at the input of the reactor t_1 , degrees	22	22	22	22
3	temperature of the solution at the output of the reactor t_2 , degrees	66	66	65	65.67
4	temperature difference of the solution $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$, degrees 44	44	43	43.67	
5	durability of the experiment $\Delta \tau$, s	300	300	300	300
6	reading of voltmeter V , V	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
6'	Reading of oscillograph , U, V	4.47	4.47	4.47	4.47
7	reading of ammeter I , A	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
7'	Reading of oscillograph , I, A	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
8	electric power consumption according to indices of voltmeter and ammeters, $E_2 = I \cdot V \cdot \Delta \tau$, kJ	2.84	2.84	2.84	2.84
9	power the heated solution, $E_3 = 4.19 \cdot m \cdot \Delta \tau$, kJ	79.64	80.01	80.72	80.46
10	reactor efficiency index $K = E_3 / E_2$	28.04	28.17	28.42	28.21

Supply voltage and current were measured with the help of a voltmeter, an ammeter and an oscillograph (Fig. 1-4)




Fig. 1
Voltage




Fig. 2
Voltage




Fig. 3
Current




Fig. 4
Current

Process parameter calculation according to the oscillograms (Fig. 1-4) to the check test record (Table 1) gave the following results:

- ◆ Pulse scale 10.
- ◆ Average voltage amplitude according to Fig.1 and Fig. 2: $U_{av} = (23+25+28+10+26+29) \times 10/6 = 235$ V.
- ◆ Average current amplitude according to Fig. 3 and Fig. 4: $I_{av} = (20+6+17+7+10+19+3) \times 10/7 = 117$ A.
- ◆ Pulse repetition period $T = 7.4$ ms. Pulse duration $t_p = 0.28$ ms.
- ◆ Pulse frequency $f = 1000/7.4 = 135.1$ Hz. Relative pulse duration $S = 7.4/0.28 = 26.32$.
- ◆ Space factor $Z = 0.5/26.32 = 0.019$.
- ◆ Average pulse voltage $U_{av} = 0.019 \times 235 = 4.47$ V.

- ◆ Average current in pulses $I_{av} = 0.019 \times 117 = 2.22$ A.

Thus, it is possible to consider that the experimental test of energy efficiency of the water electric heat generator with the help of two methods gives practically identical results and confirms the above-mentioned hypothesis concerning the possibility to generate additional energy in the processes under consideration. It should be noted that as during measurements the pointer instruments of high class of accuracy of 0.2 have been used (relative conventional gauging error does not exceed 0.2%), and oscillographic measurement accuracy is much lower (usually, about 5%), the readings of the voltmeter and the ammeter should be considered more accurate.

Commercial efficiency of the water electric heat generator will depend on pulse generator economy. Since efficiency of powerful pulse

generators can be near one unit, energy efficiency in industrial plants that use the considered heat generators should not differ greatly from the data obtained during laboratory research.

Simplicity and one hundred per cent reproducibility of the described experiments open a prospect for quick commercialization of the water electric heat generator.

REFERENCES

1. Kanarev Ph.M. The Foundation of Physchemistry of Microworld (the second edition). (In Russian)
<http://www.ikar.udm.ru/sb28-2.htm>
2. Kanarev Ph.M. The Foundation of Physchemistry of Microworld. The second edition. (In English).
<http://book.physchemistry.innoplaza.net>
3. Kanarev Ph.M. Water Electric Generator of Heat.
<http://Kanarev.innoplaza.net>

Professor Kanarev looks for co-projects with prospective investors.

• Fantastic Projects •



Smith's Generator

Review

TransWorld Energy,
8110 Bent Oak Lane Spring, Texas 77379, USA
Email: donsm1@earthlink.net
<http://altenergy-pro.com>

Don Smith is a University degreed professional in science & engineering. Served in three wars; WWII, Korea and Vietnam. He has enjoyed a long and successful profession as an executive in the petroleum industry. His life long outside interests include electronics and engineering. A popular walk on substitute for University Professors in physics, chemistry, biology and computer assisted drafting. Teaching style similar to Richard Feynman. He enjoys celebrity status in Japan and Saudi Arabia.

About 20 years ago, the book "Inventions, Researches and Writings of Nicola Tesla", resulted in his reconstructing, as a way of understanding the many theories and devices shown in the book. From this encounter, a very strong bonding resulted. The object then became putting his thinking inside that of Tesla, such that expanding upon and extrapolating areas not yet reached by Tesla.

Technological advances in materials provide sourcing for devices not possible at earlier periods. For example, magnetic permeability which is the counter part of negative resistance is an open field for experimentation today.

Don does not sell his inventions, but trades licenses for shares in companies which then incorporate the new technology into their marketing systems. As such, he is on the Board of directors of several well established ventures located in Japan, Brazil, Mexico and Saudi Arabia.

Successful reproductions of Don's technology are present in Finland, Saint Petersburg - Russia, Yugoslavia, Romania, Japan, Hong Kong, and numerous other Countries. His book "Resonance Energy Methods" has a circulation of 40,000 copies in worldwide in many languages.

generators can be near one unit, energy efficiency in industrial plants that use the considered heat generators should not differ greatly from the data obtained during laboratory research.

Simplicity and one hundred per cent reproducibility of the described experiments open a prospect for quick commercialization of the water electric heat generator.

REFERENCES

1. Kanarev Ph.M. The Foundation of Physchemistry of Microworld (the second edition). (In Russian)
<http://www.ikar.udm.ru/sb28-2.htm>
2. Kanarev Ph.M. The Foundation of Physchemistry of Microworld. The second edition. (In English).
<http://book.physchemistry.innoplaza.net>
3. Kanarev Ph.M. Water Electric Generator of Heat.
<http://Kanarev.innoplaza.net>

Professor Kanarev looks for co-projects with prospective investors.

• Fantastic Projects •



Smith's Generator

Review

TransWorld Energy,
8110 Bent Oak Lane Spring, Texas 77379, USA
Email: donsm1@earthlink.net
<http://altenergy-pro.com>

Don Smith is a University degreed professional in science & engineering. Served in three wars; WWII, Korea and Vietnam. He has enjoyed a long and successful profession as an executive in the petroleum industry. His life long outside interests include electronics and engineering. A popular walk on substitute for University Professors in physics, chemistry, biology and computer assisted drafting. Teaching style similar to Richard Feynman. He enjoys celebrity status in Japan and Saudi Arabia.

About 20 years ago, the book "Inventions, Researches and Writings of Nicola Tesla", resulted in his reconstructing, as a way of understanding the many theories and devices shown in the book. From this encounter, a very strong bonding resulted. The object then became putting his thinking inside that of Tesla, such that expanding upon and extrapolating areas not yet reached by Tesla.

Technological advances in materials provide sourcing for devices not possible at earlier periods. For example, magnetic permeability which is the counter part of negative resistance is an open field for experimentation today.

Don does not sell his inventions, but trades licenses for shares in companies which then incorporate the new technology into their marketing systems. As such, he is on the Board of directors of several well established ventures located in Japan, Brazil, Mexico and Saudi Arabia.

Successful reproductions of Don's technology are present in Finland, Saint Petersburg - Russia, Yugoslavia, Romania, Japan, Hong Kong, and numerous other Countries. His book "Resonance Energy Methods" has a circulation of 40,000 copies in worldwide in many languages.

Abstract

Mr. Don Smith has discovered that Ohm's Law of Resistance does not apply to Magnetic Resonance that travels unrestricted for great distances. Therefore, multitudes of electrons are disturbed. The Magnetic moments are translated into usable electric energy. The Magnetic Dipole provides an unlimited source of electrical current. Mr. Smith's "Dipole Transformer" has been pending since last September.




Fig.1
Smith's Energy Receiver

Useful energy occurs as the result of imbalances of ambient background energy and it is a transient phenomenon. In conventional circuits, the electrical field is in a closed system that is damped out with heat loss, which severely limits its utility. **The flip side of the electron generates magnetic waves, which is an open system, not subjected to heat loss. These being unrestricted are the universal source of energy. Universally present electrons are flipped to their electrical position, resulting in useful energy.** When properly constructed an electrical circuit can become self sustainable once started. An obvious corollary would be that the number of radio or television receivers in operation does not deplete the magnetic wave source providing

the service. The key source of unlimited energy is Magnetic Resonance. To understand this requires putting a stake through outdated physics. An obvious example is the piano where the key impacts the one note giving one sound, which resonates with its two side keys providing a much higher level. Magnetic Resonant Energy clearly amplifies demonstrating more energy out than in. **Excess energy consequent to a reaction is called Free Energy and can be very large as is in the case of Magnetic Resonance.** The intentionally ignorant physicist makes a habit of ignoring this fact.

Useful electricity is obtained from disturbed electrons, which radiate magnetic fields and waves. The generator disturbs and collects this




Fig.2
D. Smith's Energy Receiver


emitted electron energy. These electrons remain fully intact and undiminished until the end of time. This Energy is free and the cost of accessing it depends on the ignorance of the collector. With an appropriate collector system an unending source of environmentally benign, inexpensive, available energy everywhere, is provided.

Editor: in September 2003 Donald Smith took part in the annual "Inventor's Week-end" Conference where he presented the report on his invention. In this issue you can find more details on the conference.


*It is worth of a note that we have received **a number of negative comments on this inventor's work.** However, we thought his articles to be quite interesting and decided to publish them. We would be glad to get readers' comments, who are familiar with the work and inventions of Donald Smith.*



News from Farady Lab



Disk permanent-magnet generator
Faraday Lab Ltd



In our lab we are still working at the optimization of the alternator design (electromagnetic generator with the permanent magnets). We have introduced the drum rotor instead of the disk rotor into the new design of the alternator. The operation mechanism is the same, namely, the alternation of the magnetic flux near the generator coils occurs as a result of the rotating elements operation of the rotor, which "shunt" the magnetic flux.

Frolov A.V.

Strategies for Launching a New Over-Unity Device

Ryan S. Wood, USA

14004 Quali Ridge Drive, Broomfield, CO 80020

Problem description: With any singly successful over-unity energy device comes a host of business, technical and financial problems and opportunities. A public announcement permanently will lead to a "Pons & Fleishman" effect that at best delays public success and at worst derails the entire technological effort. Careful attention needs to be applied to the marketing launch, replication, patents, legal defense and finances.

Potential Solution: With a few working devices that generate 100-500 horsepower it is relatively easy to hook these units to the electrical grid and generate monthly checks from the utilities. The law states that major utilities must purchase electricity from any supplier at "cost avoidance" rate now typically, between 6 and 10 cents per kilowatt-hour. This law, California Public Utilities Commission - Rule 21, for example, is designed

to help small hydro-electric producers and solar or wind farms. There is a safety requirement of grid transfer switches, but they are not terribly complicated or expensive. The entire equipment set-up must be approved by the utility, however, if you start with a diesel electric generator or small hydro plant it can be approved, operating and stable before the clandestine switch or hybrid operation with an over-unity machine. The law is strongly supportive of the energy producer and disconnection for non-safety or maintenance reasons is exceedingly rare.

A key benefit of this approach is that you have established repeatability by having multiple working sites, you have established that it can generate money and the technology does real work and you have created several independent locations where press and scientists can visit to validate the over-unity effectiveness in-situ.

Typical revenues would be as follows:

Generator Horse Power	100	200	300	400	500
Annual \$, 90% uptime @ 6 c/kWh	\$ 35,100	\$ 70,199	\$ 105,299	\$ 140,398	\$ 175,498
Monthly payment @ 6 c/kWh	\$ 2,92	\$ 5,850	\$ 8,775	\$ 11,700	\$ 14,625
Annual \$, 90% uptime @ 10 c/kWh	\$ 58,499	\$ 116,999	\$ 175,498	\$ 233,997	\$ 292,496
Monthly payment @ 10 c/kWh	\$ 4,875	\$ 9,750	\$ 14,625	\$ 19,500	\$ 24,375

It will take money without the strings of investors to champion and defend a new breakthrough technology into the energy marketplace and this strategy offers a quiet way to prepare for the ultimate launch and resulting scientific and media frenzy that will ensue. It

will take years for the business to form, products to be built and marketing and acceptance and industry confidence to be established. All during those years this strategy can generate cash to support engineering and operations.

**Please call if there are questions at 303-941-9663 (cell),
Home: 720-887-8071
Ryan S. Wood**

• Fantastic Projects •

The Hutchison Effect – An Explanation

Mark A. Solis, USA

<http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/>

Email: your_neighbor_2000@yahoo.com

People often ask, "What exactly is the Hutchison Effect?" This brief essay is an attempt to answer that question to the satisfaction of the majority. First of all, the Hutchison Effect is a collection of phenomena which were discovered accidentally by John Hutchison during attempts to study the longitudinal waves of Tesla back in 1979. In other words, the Hutchison Effect is not simply a singular effect. It is many.

The Hutchison Effect occurs as the result of radio wave interferences in a zone of spatial volume encompassed by high voltage sources, usually a Van de Graff generator, and two or more Tesla coils.

Editor: We can call this effect "The H. Wells Effect" because he was first to describe it in his book "The Invisible man". The main character of the book used two sources of electromagnetic vibrations and Wells said that they were not Hertz waves but something else. "But the essential phase was to place the transparent object whose refractive index was to be lowered between two radiating centers of a sort of ethereal vibration..." ("The Invisible Man", chapter 20).

A. V. Frolov



The effects produced include levitation of heavy objects, fusion of dissimilar materials such as metal and wood, the anomalous heating of metals without burning adjacent material, spontaneous fracturing of metals (which separate by sliding in a sideways fashion), and both temporary and permanent changes in the crystalline structure and physical properties of metals.

Fig.1 represents the proof of Hutchison Effect based on metal blocks. Deformation occurred at room temperature as a result of complex interaction of electromagnetic fields.

The levitation of heavy objects by the Hutchison Effect is not - repeat not - the result of simple electrostatic or electromagnetic levitation. Claims that these forces alone can explain the phenomenon are patently ridiculous, and easily disproved by merely trying to use such methods to duplicate what




Fig.1

Cold melting of metals

The upper left: steel, The lower left: aluminium with coin prints and with a coin inserted in a partially open split;
The lower right: fully torn aluminium bar; The middle upper and right: a section part of the aluminium block,
into which a wood block is fused (brown matter)

<http://www.rumormillnews.com/JOHN-HUTSHISON2.htm>

the Hutchison Effect has achieved, which has been well documented both on film and videotape, and has been witnessed many times by numerous credentialed scientists and engineers. Challengers should note that their apparatus must be limited to the use of 75 Watts of power from a 120 Volt AC outlet, as that is all that is used by Hutchison's apparatus to levitate a 60-pound cannon ball.

The fusion of dissimilar materials, which is exceedingly remarkable, indicates clearly that the Hutchison Effect has a powerful influence on Van der Waals forces. In a striking and baffling contradiction, dissimilar substances can simply "come together," yet the individual substances do not dissociate. A block of wood can simply "sink into" a

metal bar, yet neither the metal bar nor the block of wood come apart. Also, there is no evidence of displacement, such as would occur if, for example, one were to sink a stone into a bowl of water.

The anomalous heating of metal without any evidence of burning or scorching of the adjacent materials (usually wood) is a clear indication that possibly the nature of heat may not be completely understood. This has far-reaching implications for thermodynamics, which hinges entirely on the presumption of such knowledge. It should be noted that the entirety of thermodynamics is represented by the infrared portion of the electromagnetic spectrum, which is insignificant in a

context of 0 Hz to infinite Hz. The anomalous heating exhibited by the Hutchison Effect shows plainly that we have much to learn, especially where thermodynamics and electromagnetism meet.

The spontaneous fracturing of metals, as occurs with the Hutchison Effect, is unique for two reasons: (1) there is no evidence of an "external force" causing the fracturing, and (2) the method by which the metal separates involves a sliding motion in a sideways direction, horizontally. The metal simply comes apart.



Doctor John Hutchison

Some temporary changes in the crystalline structure and physical properties of metals are somewhat reminiscent of the "spoon bending" of Uri Geller, except that there is no one near the metal samples when the changes take place. One video shows a spoon flapping up and down like a limp rag in a stiff breeze. In the case of permanent changes, a metal bar will be hard at one end, like steel, and soft at the other end, like powdered lead. Again, this is evidence of strong influence on Van der Waals forces.

The radio wave interferences involved in producing these effects are produced from as many as four and five different radio sources, all operating at low power. However, the zone in which the interferences take place is stressed by hundreds of kilovolts.

It is surmised by some researchers that what Hutchison has done is tap into the Zero Point

Energy. This energy gets its name from the fact that it is evidenced by oscillations at zero degrees Kelvin, where supposedly all activity in an atom ceases. The energy is associated with the spontaneous emission and annihilation of electrons and positrons coming from what is called "the quantum vacuum." The density of the energy contained in the quantum vacuum is estimated by some at ten to the thirteenth Joules per cubic centimeter, which is reportedly sufficient to boil off the Earth's oceans in a matter of moments.

Given access to such energies, it is small wonder that the Hutchison Effect produces such bizarre phenomena. At the present time, the phenomena are difficult to reproduce with any regularity. The focus for the future, then, is first to increase the frequency of occurrence of the effects, then to achieve some degree of precision in their control.

The spontaneous fracturing of metals, as occurs with the Hutchison Effect, is unique for two reasons: there is no evidence of an "external force" causing the fracturing, and the method by which the metal separates involves a sliding motion in a sideways direction, horizontally. The metal simply comes apart.

Editor: On November 17th 2003 we got to know that the inventor John Hutchison can eventually lose his home laboratory. The property can be confiscated upon court order due to neighbours' complaints. Apparently, it is dangerous to conduct experiments of the kind at home and even within a town. We wish him success!

A. V. Frolov

A SIMPLE DEVICE FOR HEAT TO ELECTRICAL ENERGY CONVERSION BY MEANS OF FERROELECTRICS

A. Ries, A. Z. Simoes, M. A. Zaghete, J. A. Varela,

Institute of Chemistry, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rua Prof. Francisco Degni s/n,
14801-970 Araraquara-SP, Brazil
Email: ries@posgrad.iq.unesp.br

E. Longo,

Department of Chemistry, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Rodovia Washington Luís - km 235, 13565-905
São Carlos-SP, Brazil

Introduction

A few years ago, ZaeV [1, 2] demonstrated experimentally the possibility of heat to electrical energy conversion by means of ferroelectrics. A capacitor filled with a ferroelectric material was charged and discharged periodically at a temperature just a little lower than the Curie point. According to ZaeV, the capacitor must have a nonlinear capacitance $dC/dV > 0$, which means the capacitance increases with rising voltage. Besides these experimental results, it was proven by a theoretical calculation that the electrical energy obtained during discharging can reach up to 1.35 of the energy introduced to charge the capacitor. The present paper presents an inexpensive and simple electric

circuit which can be used to prove heat to electricity conversion experimentally. Moreover, the physical mechanism of heat to electricity conversion is explained in the form of a thermodynamic cycle.

Thermodynamic cycle for energy conversion

The following thermodynamic cycle is composed of four reversible steps. It works only at a temperature just a little lower than the Curie point, where the dielectric constant is highly temperature dependent. Fig. 1 shows the relative dielectric constant of a representative ferroelectric ceramic as a function of temperature.




Fig. 1

Relative dielectric constant versus temperature for a barium strontium titanate ceramic (80% Ba, 20% Sr) prepared by the author. Curie point = 55°C.

As it can be seen, just below the Curie point in the range from 50 to 55°C, small changes of the temperature cause high changes of the dielectric constant.

Furthermore, to understand the conversion mechanism, it is necessary to understand the electrocaloric effect. When an electric field is applied to a dielectric medium, the latter is polarized. For ferroelectric materials, the parallel domain alignment is the most important part of the total polarization. During the successive alignment of the domains, some material parameters in particular entropy, heat capacity and temperature change. Since the material possesses less degrees of freedom in the polarized state, its entropy and heat capacity are reduced. As a consequence, provided that no heat exchange with the environment is possible (adiabatic conditions), the temperature increases. It is very important to understand that the energy for warming of the dielectric is not taken from the polarizing electric field. The increase in temperature is a result of the decreased heat capacity only.

From this, it can be derived easily what means "nonlinear capacitance".

When a capacitor is charged adiabatically which means its voltage increases faster than any heat exchange with the environment is possible, due to the electrocaloric effect, the temperature of the dielectric must also increase. As it can be seen from Fig.1, below the Curie point, an increasing temperature leads to a higher dielectric constant. Assuming that both temperatures, the one before charging and the other after charging are still below the Curie point, one can say that the dielectric constant increases with an increase in voltage.

Since the capacitance is proportional to the dielectric constant, the capacitor has a nonlinear characteristic $dC/dV > 0$. In the same way it can be concluded that adiabatic charging just a little above the Curie point reveals a nonlinear capacitor characteristic with $dC/dV < 0$.

Quantitative experimental measurements on the electrocaloric effect in some

representative ferroelectric and antiferroelectric materials were published by Thacher [3].

STEP 1: Adiabatic charging of the capacitor

We consider a capacitor filled with a ferroelectric medium at an environmental temperature a little lower than the Curie point.

An adiabatic charging of a capacitor (no heat exchange) effects an increase in temperature and capacitance due to the electrocaloric effect. We assume that this increase in temperature is so small that the temperature remains below the Curie point. Finally, the capacitor is completely charged while the dielectric medium has a higher dielectric constant and therefore a higher capacitance than it possesses at the environmental temperature.

To go further to step 2, the capacitor is held under conditions where no discharge is possible, e.g. it is disconnected from the power supply.

STEP 2: Thermal equilibration

After a short time, the charged capacitor has cooled down to the environmental temperature. While cooling down, the dielectric constant and capacitance decrease. But the charges of the capacitor plates remain constant. As a consequence, the voltage and the electrical energy increase. This can be readily recognized from the general capacitor equation $q = CV$ (q = charge, C = capacitance, V = voltage):

$$q = \text{constant} = C_1 V_1 = C_2 V_2$$

STEP 3: Adiabatic discharging of the capacitor

While discharging the capacitor the temperature and capacitance decrease (again heat capacity changes) due to the electrocaloric effect, leading to a further increase in the available electrical energy. The ferroelectric medium possesses now a final temperature below the temperature of the environment.

STEP 4: Thermal equilibration

In order to proceed with step 1, it is necessary to reach the environmental temperature. An inflow of heat from the environment to the dielectric material is required.

Electric circuit to observe energy conversion




Fig. 2

Electric circuit for testing the energy conversion effect. The connections to the square wave generator are labeled with 0V and +/- 5V, the resistors R_b are to adjust the maximum base current of the transistors.

Assuming the experimenter has a nonlinear capacitor, the set-up shown schematically in Fig. 2 is proposed as a possible conversion device. The circuit contains two different types of transistors (NPN and PNP) which fulfill here the function of a switch.

The NPN junction transistor consists of two n-type semiconductors (called the emitter and collector) separated by a thin layer of p-type semiconductor (called the base). On the other hand the PNP junction transistor consists of a thin layer of n-type semiconductor lying between two p-type semiconductors. The base is the ON/OFF switch for the transistors. If a current flows to the base, there is a path from the collector to the emitter, where a current can flow (switch is ON). If there is no current flowing to the base, then no current can flow from the collector to the emitter (switch is OFF).

The charging-discharging process is controlled by a square wave generator which produces an output signal switching from +5V to -5V. The switching frequency is adjustable from 0.1 Hz up to at least 10 kHz. This output signal is applied to the bases of both transistors.

During the time the square wave generator output signal is +5V, a base current flows through the base-emitter junction of the NPN transistor which creates a low resistance path between the collector and the emitter. As a consequence, the capacitor is charged by the battery. The collector-emitter junction of the PNP transistor has a very high resistance, because here no base current flows. Therefore the capacitor is not discharged at the same time. When the output signal switches to -5V, a current flows to the base of the PNP transistor and not to the base of the

NPN transistor. Now the capacitor is discharged.

In order to understand the function of the inductance in Fig. 2, we analyze the thermodynamics of the charging process.




Fig. 3
Simple circuit for charging a capacitor

If a linear capacitor of capacitance C is charged to a voltage V through the load R according to Fig. 3, the energy $W = 0.5 C V^2$ is stored in the capacitor. During the charging process, a time-dependent current $I(t)$ flows:

$$I(t) = V/R \exp(-t/RC)$$

This current develops the heat Q in the load:

$$2Q = R \int I^2(t) dt = 0.5 C V^2$$

Here the integration limits are from zero to infinity. This calculation shows, that the energy taken from the battery is the energy stored in the capacitor after charging plus the heat produced in the load, also $2 \cdot C V^2$. One can see that the heat Q is not dependent on the resistance value R .

The above used equations are only valid in case of a one-step charging process.

If the capacitor is charged stepwise to the final voltage V , e.g. using a ramp generator, and each voltage step effects a voltage increase, the total heat produced in the load is (N = number of voltage steps):

$$Q = N \cdot 0.5 C (V/N)^2 = 0.5 C/N V^2$$

Now the energy taken from the source is:

$$W = 0.5 \cdot (1 + 1/N) C V^2$$

If the number of steps N tends to infinity, the heat Q tends to zero.

This energy loss in the load dramatically influences the efficiency of any conversion device and must be taken into account, otherwise all experimental attempts to observe energy conversion would fail.

A more detailed discussion on charging a capacitor and the unavoidable energy losses was given by Heinrich [4] and Gupta et al. [5].

The inductance in the circuit (Fig. 2) effects a slower rising of the current during the charging process (due to self induction) and can reduce the heat generated in the load dramatically.

Acknowledgments

Financial support of this work was provided by the Brazilian agency FAPESP (www.fapesp.br) and the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), (www.daad.de).

References

1. N.E. Zhev, Yu.S. Spiridonov, Capacitance as a converter of heat to electrical energy, Russian Electrical Engineering, Vol. 69, (2000) pp. 69-72
2. N.E. Zhev, Research on the Capacitance Converter of Environmental Heat to Electric Power, New Energy Technologies, issue 2, (2001)
3. P.D. Thacher, Electrocaloric effects in some ferroelectric and antiferroelectric $Pb(Zr, Ti)O_3$ compounds, Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 39, (1963), pp. 1996-2002
4. F. Heinrich, Entropy change when charging a capacitor: A demonstration experiment, American Journal of Physics, Vol. 54, (1986), pp. 742-744
5. V.K. Gupta, G. Shanker, N.K. Sharma, Reversibility and step processes: An experiment for the undergraduate laboratory, American Journal of Physics, Vol. 52, (1984), pp. 945-947

• Fantastic Projects •

The Gates Motor



A. Akau, USA

Email: adrianakau@aol.com

The Gates motor company has produced a motor that does not run on fossil fuel or on electricity. It comes in a 28 HP and a 5,000 HP version. When linked to an electric generator, the larger motor will produce 2,200 kW.

My name is Adrian Akau. I am a recently retired school teacher, having taught science and math in the public school system, state of Hawaii for the past 36 years. One of the classes I taught was on energy.

Our world should be reaching the half-way mark in easily accessible oil by about the year 2016. Since the rate of oil usage is becoming higher each year, it is unlikely that the oil supply will last as long as the 140 years since the first well was drilled in Pennsylvania. We will become more dependent upon OPEC with all the political pressures and problems that accompany this type of dependency. As the easy oil is consumed, we must look for

ways to extract less accessible oil such as from shale. The added expense will be passed on to the consumer.

The burning of coal is not the answer either because coal cannot supply convenient energy as does oil. An oil-based economy cannot transfer over readily to natural gas; there is not enough natural gas available and it is again, not a convenient and concentrated source as is oil. We also have serious pollution problems with the burning of fossil fuels (natural gas being the exception) but the burning of all fossil fuels have contributed to the serious problem of global warming.

It may take all of 50-80 years to transfer to a non-fossil fuel economy. The transfer must be made slowly so as not to disturb the infrastructure of our economy which is presently based upon fossil fuels. We must gradually create a new infrastructure that is non-fossil fuel dependent.

The motor incorporates a unique spring configuration to provide rotation

The production model of the motor is 24 inches high by 48 inches in length. Connected to a generator it will provide sufficient power for about eight hundred US homes. It is designed to be tough and to last a long time. It uses a high grade airplane synthetic engine oil

to prevent friction and the build up of heat. Only the oil filter needs to be changed. The motor has special needle bearings and portions of the motor are made of high quality steel.

The Gates motor is designed to run 24 hours/day, 365 days/year without incurring any fuel costs. The larger size motor would come in pairs; the spare motor being used as a back-up unit should the regular motor be turned off for maintenance such as to change the oil or in case there should be any problems with the first motor. The company is willing to enter into a contract for maintaining the motor after installation.

The Gates motor represents a major breakthrough in motor design technology and provides practical solutions to environmental and fuel conservation concerns. **Finally, a completely mechanical motor has been developed.** It can deliver the power needed in a wide variety of service applications without any waste products or emissions to adversely affect the environment. This revolutionary new motor utilizes spring power technology. Unlike conventional motors which must reach a maximum rpm level before the desired horsepower, the Gates motor provides maximum horsepower instantly by virtue of the torque stored in the springs.

The basic operating principle of the Gates motor involves a series of springs configured to provide the required motor rotation and power delivery. Consider, if you will, the operating principle of a grandfather clock. Once the clock is wound, it continues to operate until it runs down and stops. The springs must then be rewound so it will operate again. Suppose the clock is continually being rewound

as it operates. Then the clock would run continuously. This condition is what forms the basis for the operating principle of the Gates motor. The motor incorporates a unique spring configuration to provide rotation. The springs inside the motor are wound and preset at the factory at the time the motor is assembled. The amount of torque wound into the springs at the factory determines the horsepower of the motor. Inside the motor are a multiple of springs positioned horizontally in a circular arrangement. As the motor operates, the springs are unwound a set number of degrees. A double ratchet system at one end of the motor rewinds the degrees used back into the springs. As the springs are unwinding, **the power generated from all the springs reset each spring (one at a time) during each revolution of the motor.** It is this reset action that produces and delivers power from the springs to the flywheel located at the opposite end of the motor. The flywheel serves to ensure the smooth operation of the motor and to convert the springs into useable horsepower. The smaller motor has 50 foot pounds of torque at a shaft speed of 3000 RPM and will produce 28 HP. The HP can be altered by factory adjustment. The speed of the engine is controlled by a hydraulic pump which provides pressure upon the power shaft.

**Contacts: Gates Motor Corporation,
P.O. Box 715, Hauula, HI, USA 96717
Email: gagates@earthlink.net**

Editor: We tried to get the video of this device operation from Gates Motor Co., but after a long consideration it was not provided since the authors are competitor-conscious.

Alexander V. Frolov

Longitudinal Waves

Cold Electricity

Adrian Akau, USA

Email: adrianakau@aol.com

Dr. Peter Lindemann in his book "The Free Energy Secrets of Cold Electricity" presents the story of this type of electricity which really is not electricity as electricity is normally meant to be understood. Cold electricity behaves differently than normal electricity. Regular electricity may be used in the process to generate this cold form but this transformation is not the type of change in voltage and current that occurs in a transformer. Rather, it is the extraction of a form of current from "normal" electricity by a process that uses high voltage.

In discussing the Edwin Gray motor, Dr. Lindemann relates a demonstration by Gray. Gray used a 6 volt car battery with lead wires running to a system he had devised using a voltage booster and a series of capacitors which raised the voltage to 3,000 volts. He then closed a switch that ran the high voltage into two electromagnets which caused a loud popping sound and cause the top electromagnet weighing a pound and a quarter to be propelled over two feet into the air. Gray claimed that only 1% of the system's energy was used with 99% going back to the battery. He said that he had 'split the positive' with his system.

Other evidence of using this fractionated electricity was Gray's use of a small motorcycle battery (15 amps which would normally produce $W = V \times A = 6 \text{ V} \times 15 \text{ A} = 90$ watts) to run concurrently six 15-watt electrical light bulbs, a portable 110 volt T.V. set and two radios. A glowing 40 watt light bulb running off the system was dropped into water without the glass breaking; the bulb gave off light but not the heat that accompanied a bulb run by regular electricity.

This would mean that tungsten in the filament of the light bulb was not resisting the flow of this fractionated electricity and that this "cold electricity" was causing the luminous glow by some other means.

According to Gray's Patent #4,595,975, low voltage was chopped into pulsating DC by passing it through a multi-vibrator (buzzer like a door bell). Then the pulsed DC was sent through the low voltage winding (primary) of a transformer which changed it to pulsating high voltage DC current at the secondary side. The pulsating high voltage DC was rectified by a full wave bridge and changed into high voltage DC.

The high voltage DC was used to repeatedly charge a capacitor as the current was sent to briefly discharge across a spark gap (rated at 3000 volts). The discharge of the spark across the gap had to be in one direction only and its duration was controlled by the size of the capacitor and by the strength of a magnetic field encompassing the gap. This magnetic field had a quenching effect because it caused a back EMF each time the discharge occurred. The current from the discharge across the gap was then sent through a resistor and then to a vacuum tube (the conversion switching element tube).

Another of Edward Gray's patents "Efficient Electrical Conversion Switching Tube Suitable for Inductive Loads" (April 1987, patent 4,661,747) described the switching tube. It utilizes a low voltage anode (positive plate), a low voltage anode (positive plate) and one or more electro-static or charge receiving grids (located between the positive

plates and the cathode or negative plate supplying the electrons). This tube's function was to 'split the positive'. A normal vacuum tube usually uses only a single anode at a particular voltage to control the voltage flow within the tube. The function of the charge-receiving grids was to collect the "cold electricity".

The most unusual part of this Power Supply circuit consisted of devices specifically designed to remove excess energy when the Switching Tube was functioning. A spark-gap protection device, served to "protect the inductive load and the rectifier elements from unduly large discharge currents". As stated, the rectifier elements are the two anodes and the grids in the Switching Tube. In a normal vacuum tube, no protection would be necessary because the maximum power of the tube would be based upon the electricity provided to heat the tube filament and to charge the anode (voltage x amps). Therefore, the excess energy comes from the process occurring as the spark gap affected electrical pulses pass through the Switching Tube. The process of extracting the "cold electricity" places danger of overload upon the system. (Please take note that the "electricity" from the Switching Tube is no longer the normal type of electricity we have from our batteries or from an electrical outlet but rather a completely new form with entirely different characteristics.)

The description continues, "Should the potentials (voltages) within the circuit exceed the predetermined values fixed by the mechanical size and spacing of the elements within the switching tube, the energy is dissipated (bypassed) by the protective device to the circuit common (electrical ground)" by two strategically placed diodes (devices that permit the passage of electricity in one direction only). The question now arises "What is the cause of this large amount of excess energy which must be dumped to ground through device acting like a lightning rod in order to prevent the circuit from burning out?"

To examine the cause of the excess energy source, we must go back over a hundred years to 1889. Heinrich Hertz had just announced

in 1887 that he had discovered electromagnetic waves and Nicola Tesla was attempting to duplicate Hertz's experiments. Tesla used abrupt and powerful electric discharges produced with banks of capacitors charged to very high potentials and was able to explode thin wire (copper bus bars). He came to the conclusion that Hertz had mistakenly associated electrostatic inductions (electrified shockwaves in air) for true electromagnetic waves.

The explosion of the copper bars by means of the "disruptive discharges" from the capacitor bank produced sharp shockwaves which struck Tesla with great force across the entire front of his body. Tesla said they felt more like powerful gunshots rather than electrical sparks. They produced effects similar to lightning or to those produced by high voltage DC generators previously discussed; the simple closing of a high voltage DC generator caused a stinging shock. AC generators were not in use in this pre-AC era and it was shown, later on, that AC generators did not produce these effects.

This shock was first assumed to be the result of residual static charging. It stood straight out of highly electrified conductors, seeking ground paths which included workmen and switchboard operators. In long cables, Tesla estimated that this electrostatic concentration was several orders in magnitude greater than any voltage the DC generator could actually produce. It caused a hedge or crown of bluish needles or spicules to point at right angles to the cable or straight from the electrical cable line into the surrounding space. The bluish needles appeared the very instant the switch was closed and disappeared a few milliseconds later, after which the system functioned normally. However, anyone through whom the blue needles passed, especially in large regional power systems which used high exceptionally high voltages, usually did not survive. Generators rated at a few thousand volts produced hundreds of thousands or even millions of electrostatic volts during the start-up pulse. Highly insulated, heavily grounded relay switches had to be installed to protect workers from certain death.

Engineers at this time assumed **the effect to be caused by a "bunching" action which occurred when a powerful force was not able to move charge sufficiently quickly through a system.** (A similar "choking effect" also happened with large steam engines; if steam was introduced too rapidly, the steam engine could explode.) The metal of which the wire was composed somehow offered resistance to the charge carriers before they were able to move away from the generator terminals. It seemed that the wire acted as a blocking force to the electrons or to some unknown part of the electrons for the first few milliseconds, rather than as a conductor. The powerful, deadly bluish spikes sprang from the line until the current charges actually "caught up" with the applied electrical field. It was as if the spicules were a form of relieving pressure on the system by converting the voltage impulse into some other form.

Tesla began wondering why the electrostatic field moved faster than the actual charges. He believed that this effect could help him find electric waves better than his capacitors because the line resistance caused the electrostatic charges to bunch together into a density much greater than obtainable with his capacitors.

Tesla understood that ordinary capacitor discharges were oscillating currents or spark currents which "bounced" between each capacitor plate until their stored energy was dissipated. The high surge voltage of the DC generator exerted such a high one way pressure on the dense line charges, due to the millisecond resistance of the metal, that possible voltage "bounces" were also oscillations of current as was true with the capacitors. Tesla used every means possible to block the "back-rush" (bouncing) of the oscillating current in order to prevent the supercharge to prematurely decline; he wanted to maintain this powerful "bunching" effect as long as possible in order to study it and to make use of it.

His face and hands experienced a penetrating shockwave; a sharp pressure and an electrical irritation were brought on at the sudden closing of the switch. His face and hands were

especially sensitive to these shockwaves which caused a "stinging" effect at close range. Tesla believed that he was being struck by material particles approaching the vapor state as they were thrust from bluish crowned wire.

Later on, he was to discover they were not gas particles. **He placed himself behind a glass shield but to his amazement, he still felt the shockwaves and stinging effects; the glass shield did not protect him.** These stinging rays could be felt at great distances from their sources and, to his amazement, would penetrate shields of both glass and copper. Tesla knew that normal electrostatic charges spread over the surface of a metal (copper, for example) shield so that the stinging effect could not have an electrostatic origin and therefore was not electrostatic in nature but was from some other yet unknown source.

Joseph Henry in 1842 had noticed the magnetization of steel needles by the discharge of a Leyden Jar (type of primitive condenser made with a glass bottle). The Leyden Jar was at the top floor of a building while the needles were in the basement. Dr. Henry, noting the passage of these magnetizing rays through brick walls, oak doors, stone and iron flooring and tin ceilings believed that the spark given off by the discharge released "light-like rays" that passed through the material obstacles with ease before magnetizing the needles.

Elihu Thomson, a physics instructor in 1872 had been attempting to make sparks from a Ruhmkorff Spark Coil more clearly visible for his physics students. He attached one pole of the coil to a cold water pipe and noted that the previously blue spark changed to white. He then attached the other pole to a large metal table top and produced a silver-white spark that would be clearly visible to all attending his lecture. He went to the door of the room to notify his colleague but received a strong shock from the door knob. Turning off the Ruhmkorff coil prevented the brass knob on the oak door to stop shocking. He returned with his friend, again turned on the coil and discovered that all metal objects in the entire building, no matter how distant

from the coil or insulated from the floor, produced long and continuous white sparks upon the touch of a penknife or screwdriver.

The device Tesla perfected was far superior to the Ruhmkorff Spark Coil. The effect of his disrupter device was so strong that a single wire placed in an oil bath produced what he had originally but mistakenly thought to be gaseous streams so powerful that they visibly depressed the oil into a depth of about two inches. Tesla concluded that "besides the air, another medium is present".

...he placed himself behind a glass shield but to his amazement, he still felt the shockwaves and stinging effects; the glass shield did not protect him. These stinging rays could be felt at great distances from their sources and, to his amazement, would penetrate shields of both glass and copper...

Tesla had been able to perfect his device by placing a capacitor between the switch and the D.C. generator thus increasing the power and protecting the generator windings in much the same manner as the diodes Edwin Gray's Switching Tube protected Gray's circuit. Tesla also raised the voltage and quickened the "make-break" rate of the switch to increase the power level by placing a powerful permanent magnet crosswise to the discharge path of the high voltage output of the DC generator wires. The magnetic field caused the discharge arc to automatically "blow out"; the charge passing through the wires in the presence of the magnetic field built up reverse emf (electromotive force or voltage).

Tesla believed he had discovered a new type of electricity with special characteristics. This form of electricity did not consist of alternating waves. **They were longitudinal waves** composed of successive shocking

waves with effects that could be seen and felt at a distance. **Vector components of these shock waves were unidirectional. They were able to force charges in the direction of their propagation.** In his patent #787,412, "Art Of Transmitting Electrical Energy Through The Natural Mediums" (April 18, 1905), Tesla calculated the mean velocity of the waves propagated by his device to be 471,240 Km/sec. Knowing that the velocity of light is 300,000 Km/sec would indicate that the type of transmission to which Tesla is referring is different than standard electromagnetic radiation; these special longitudinal "Radiant Energy" waves moved faster than the speed of light.

After conducting hundreds of experiments, Tesla found that "Radiant Energy" longitudinal waves **could penetrate all materials and cause "electronic responses" in metals such as copper and silver.** Impulses exceeding 0.1 millisecond duration produced effects such as pain, mechanical pressures, explosion of thin wires and vibration of objects. Impulses of 1.0 microseconds caused the sensation of physiological heat; at still shorter lengths white light would fill the room. Impulses less than 100 microseconds were safe to work with and Tesla planned to use them for his power broadcast system since they could pass through all matter.

In 1890, Tesla discovered that placing a long single turn copper helix near his magnetic disrupter became covered with an envelope of white sparks. Effects were strongest when the helical coil was placed within the disrupter wire circle. In this "shockzone", the coil was surrounded by a blast of long, fluid like silvery streamers which clung to the surface of the coil, flowing over the coil at right angles to the windings. Tesla hypothesized the electrostatic-like effect was due to radiant transformation rules requiring measurements of discharge lengths and attributes of the helix used (number of turns, diameter, etc.). (Editor: resonance)

The new induction law he discovered showed that radiant shockwaves became much stronger when encountering segmented objects. The radiant shockwaves

"flashed over" the outer skin of the helix from end to end without passing through the windings of the coil; shockwaves of 10,000 volts introduced to a 24 inch coil rise to 240,000 volts. The greater the resistance in the helix turns, the higher the maximum voltage. This was completely different from magneto-induction. Remember that he was not working with electricity but with radiant shockwaves.

Aether particles were incompressible and could easily pass through space and matter with a speed much greater than the speed of light. This was pure radiant matter but, at the same time, pure Radiant Energy. Cold electricity is one of the forms of this Radiant Energy

This transformer he invented used radiant shockwaves to produce pure voltage without current. Each transformer had to be "tuned" by adjusting the disrupter to a specific impulse duration. At that point, voltage impulses could flow smoothly, flowing over the copper surface much like a stream of water in a pipe. No amperage could be detected but if the stream was aimed at a distant metal plates, "current" was produced which reached several hundred or even thousands of amperes. Tesla then began to wonder what made up this white, currentless stream.

Tesla determined that normal charge carriers (electrons) could not travel as quickly as the radiant pulse; no current moved the coil because the electrons were choked in the metal lattice of the coil. **The radiant pulse moving over the surface of the coil was not electronic in nature.** He placed the legs of a heavy U-shaped copper bus bar directly to the disrupter primary and then connected the short-circuited system to several incandescent lamps. These lamps glowed with a brilliant cold white light as

with Gray's light bulb, proving that the power for the light was not electrical in nature. Tesla believed that the electrons were blocked from flowing through the wire while the radiant pulse was released over the coil surface as a "gaseous" pulse (splitting the positive, according to Gray).

Tesla came to believe that voltage could be viewed as streams of aether under various states of pressure and that his transformers affected the aether as to produce the luminous effects he observed. In his patents, he describes his "light-like rays" as tightly constricted aether streams propelled from his transformers along infinitesimal ray lines along which an incompressible movement occurs instantly through space on all points along its path. Aether streams were being drawn in through his transformer at higher natural pressure and then accelerated in the electrical discharge. The voltage in his transformer could control the brilliance of light in a room but this type of light was almost impossible to register on film. He could heat up a room or cause cool breezes by controlling the voltage in impulse duration in his transformer.

Tesla saw electrical current was really a complex combination of aether and electrons. Through the application of a disrupter, the electrons were removed from the gap by the magnetic field while the aether streams continued to flow through the circuit. He considered aether particles highly mobile with infinitesimally small mass and cross-section as compared to electrons. They were incompressible and could easily pass through space and matter with a speed much greater than the speed of light. This was pure radiant matter but, at the same time, pure Radiant Energy. Cold electricity is one of the forms of this Radiant Energy.


Edwin Gray, Dr. Nicola Tesla and Dr. Thomas Henry Moray all used radiant energy technologies. It is important to understand that the Laws of Thermodynamics and Maxwell's equations do not pertain to "Radiant Energy" technologies.

• Fantastic Projects •

Gravity Conversion Rotary Device

Review is prepared by editor Tatiana Ezhova

Here another fuelless perpetuum mobile is presented.



The system design

The design includes a wheel with spring spokes. Pegs may be fixed inside the wheel to balance movement of weights on springs. All parts should be homogenized as to dimension and weight. The author used 4 oz. lead balls and the 3/16" springs. The wheel measures 9" in radius as measured from hub center to center of each lead ball at outer periphery. This device is actuated and stopped by the hand. Its speed is self governing, it ramps up to speed quickly and simply stays there.

Note: you can find more detailed information about the device at <http://www.greaterthings.com/News/FreeEnergy/Directory/GravityMotors/photos/>. Also read in this issue article "Novozhilov's motor" that describes another wheel motor that does not require any fuel for its operation.

Torsion Technologies

Xing-liu Jiang, Xiong-wei Wen, China

Science School,

Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Beijing 100083

and

Li-jun Han, China

Department of Materials Science and Engineering,

Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Beijing 100083

ABSTRACT

Highly localized nuclear activation in electrochemical systems and other electrical discharge processes have been observed by many laboratories in the world. This report is an attempt to explain such anomalous phenomena by using torsion field theory and axion model. Anisotropic behaviours of radiation products, burst character, "heat after death" of excess energy release in electrical discharge systems are considered to be interpreted by the torsion coherence of vortex dynamics with the zero-point energy induced by localized intense field emission of micro-protrusion of the cathode, and the dynamic Casimir effect of transient evolution of triple region of gas, liquid solution, and electrode protrusion. Axion model and Primakoff's effect are proposed for explanation of nuclear transmutation without noticeable gamma radiation.

Nuclear products with high concentration, unidentified tracks with highly collimated lines of low energy nuclear reactions in the electrochemical systems were recorded by CR-39 solid detectors and photo-films, and localized spots with chemical alterations were observed at our laboratory. It is suggested to carry out intensive study of vortex dynamic for explaining the anomalous phenomena in wide area of nature and laboratories. Analysis of vortex dynamics with wide range from pitting corrosion of electrochemical system, laboratory plasma, tornado, to quasar spiral model with extremely high energy cosmic rays in the center region, leads to a conclusion for that vortex dynamics creates torsion fields responding to the anomalous effects.

I. INTRODUCTION

Many laboratories in the world have observed nuclear reactions and **excess heat** in electrochemical systems. The mechanism of such anomalous phenomena is not being well understood according to normally accepted physics.

Nuclear products with high concentration and tracks with highly collimated lines of low energy nuclear reactions in the electrochemical systems were recorded by CR-39 solid detectors and films at our laboratory [1,2]. These facts suggest that quasar model with spiral structure and extremely high energy cosmic rays in the center could be used to explain the mechanism. It is supposed to use the concept of torsion field to interpret the observed phenomena, typically, the properties of axion acceleration, memory effect, and the polarized nuclear reactions with torsion effect [3].

II. PHENOMENA IN NATURE AND AT LABORATORIES

The vortex and spiral structures are the archetype that appears at all levels of nature and laboratories, for example, atom structure, vortex lattice in superconductors, dense plasma focus, lightning, quasar etc.

Recent report of dark matter annihilation at the galactic center describes that the cold dark matter near the galactic center is accreted by the central black hole into a

dense spike [4]. Particle dark matter annihilation makes the spike to be a compact source of photons, electrons, positrons, protons, antiprotons and neutrinos. It reminds us for that there is a similarity among pitting corrosion with electrochemical noise, laboratory plasma pinching (dense plasma focus, for example), fast laser induced ion beams [5] and quasar spiral model with high-energy cosmic rays in spiral center in spite of large dimension difference. Comparing the experimental results of electrochemical cells with excess heat and nuclear transmutation to astrophysics phenomena, it is supposed that the investigation of vortex dynamics of torsion coherence with the zero-point energy is essential for tapping the zero-point energy.

As it is predicted by quantum mechanics that the vacuum is seething with active energy, even at temperature of zero point Kelvin. This zero-point energy (ZPE) can be thought as an infinite number of virtual photons that are popping out of the vacuum and going back in, but should be a measurable effect en masse. To exam the origin of ZPE background, the interaction of matter with the ZPE can be treated on the basis of charged point particles interaction with a background of electromagnetic zero-point radiation with spectral-energy density.

Based on observation in the experiments, the following features are considered in order to understand the experimental results with electrical discharge systems.

A. ELECTROCHEMICAL DOUBLE LAYER

In an electrolytic cell, the electrolysis with high conductivity and the electrochemical double layer with large layer-capacitance lead to a typical structure of the cathode potential distribution similar to the cathode drop of glow discharge in low gas pressure. For a compact layer the thickness of the double layer is equal to one ionic layer, across which there is a linear fall of potential. Thus, high electric field exists in some regions on the surface of the cathode.

The local enhancement of the electric field on the cathode surface with the double layer is related to the protrusions and cracks similar to the tip discharge in air or in a vacuum. The current distribution depends strongly on the surface roughness and the work function of the electron emission. A high transient current density ($> 10^8 \text{A/cm}^2$) could be expected due to enhanced field.

B. ENERGY CONCENTRATION

On the cathode surface, the high persistent electrical fields ($> 10^7 \text{V/cm}$) and large equivalent capacitance ($> 25 \mu\text{F/cm}^2$) lead to a high energy concentration in the double layer [6]. The concentrated field on the tips of the protrusions or cracks after a long-loading period with deuterium on the palladium cathode surface creates a high transient electron flux because of the large distributed capacitance and the negligible inductance in a localized discharge mini-network. The experimental data show that the reactions take place only in some restricted areas that have specific properties. The idea of micro fusion due to the results of energy concentration and the high deuteron flux could be used to explain the nuclear transmutation.

C. TORSION FIELD AND THEIR EXPERIMENTAL MANIFESTATIONS

Elementary particles have the moment of quantity of motion, i.e. spin. If in any substance the spins of particle have a preferable direction, then it is interpreted as spin polarization of the substance. Every substance creates a torsion-field (or called spin-field or axial field) in the space surrounding it when polarized by spins [7]. The superposition of torsion field, generated by the atomic and nuclear spins of each molecule, determines the intensity of torsion field in the space surrounding each molecule. Torsion field has strong penetration ability and does not interact with the crystal lattice of substances. The torsion field created by rotation of some sort of matter is concentrated in two opposite beams propagating along the rotation axis. The intensity of torsion-field with some

lower constant value can be retained for several weeks after the rotation is stopped. Such property of vortex matter has been recently observed in type II superconductors with magnetic flux line lattice [8]. The studies of vortex matter of type II superconductors have shown a number of puzzling phenomena associated with vortex motion, including: low-frequency noise and slow voltage oscillations; a history-dependent dynamic response, and memory of the direction, amplitude duration and frequency of the previously applied current.

Some behaviors of the torsion-field effect have been observed in the experiments of electrolysis regarding the so-called cold fusion, such as when the gas bubble chains come out from the protrusions of the cathode surface a long time after switching off the electrolysis potential. The more surprising thing is the heat after death, which has been recorded by many labs. This phenomenon could be explained by the persistence effect of the torsion-field produced by vortex dynamics of tip effect.

D. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS IN THE ELECTROCHEMICAL CELL

CR-39 plastic films possessing with a high degree of optical clarity and isotope in track response, and are sensitive to neutron, proton, tritium, alpha, and other charged particles, were used to detect the products of nuclear reactions. The films of CR-39 were immersed in the NaOH electrolyte of heavy water and placed adjacent to the tips of the cathode [9]. After 110 hours, electrolysis experiment with the applied voltage 1 V and current 2 ma, the solid detector was etched by 6.25 N NaOH solution in 70°C for 11 hours. The photomicrograph shows the cluster of tracks with a cycle crater of 100 μm in diameter and 25 μm in depth. According to the etching condition, the energies of the most of the particles, P, T, α are estimated roughly to be in the range of 1-4 MeV. On the backside of same region of the CR-39 film detector, one can clearly see a few of tracks in the circle area or

nearby. Such tracks are believed to be created by recoil-protons of forward incident neutrons. High concentration of the cluster of nuclear tracks could be explained by the quasar spiral model and by the crystal channeling effect [Fig.1]. The experimental results of the generation of tritium with single crystal metal of Pd show that none of the generation of tritium has been revealed by using non-single crystal electrodes [10]. The importance of the crystal channeling effect for nuclear reactions in the electrochemical systems can be inferred.




Fig.1

Schematic presentation of a micropinch spiral by tip effect in the electrolysis cell:

- a) tip of the electrode; b) spiral structure of micropinch; c) electron beam; d) crystal channel; e) ion beam

To determine the spatial distribution of radiation active sites (RAS), Black-white 135 films of 27 DIN have been used to image the position of the RAS. After a 1,5 year of deposition in glass tube of finishing electrolysis experiments with light water electrolyte for more than 200 hours running, the patterns of RSA were clearly formed on the films after exposure of 100 hours [2]. The bright spots corresponding to the tips of palladium cathode edges can be seen due to

the tip effect. The effect of magnetic field on the traces of the charged particles has been observed while the Pd samples exposing to the films, which were folding and wrapping up the sample, were inserted between couple magnets. The tracks stretching along the film surfaces confirm that the tracks were created by charged particles, electrons for example, with low energy about some keVs. Highly oriented tracks can be observed by autoradiography by using normal films locally [Fig.2].




Fig.2

Autoradiography of charged particle tracks of beta delay isotopes on the surface palladium cathode. Some tracks of beta particles are paralleling the cathode surface.

E. SONOLUMINESCENCE AND “BUBBLE NUCLEAR FUSION”

Some scientists of Oak Ridge National Laboratory in America reported their articles of bubbles experiments in Science. Experimental results show that the radiation lights of sonoluminescence possess three characters: short duration with picoseconds; wide continual spectrum; highly oriented thin beams.

Based on those characters, vortex dynamics with axial acceleration of bubble collapse could be inferred.

Nuclear reaction with abnormal gamma radiation was observed [11]. Dr. Claudia Eberlein describes her conclusion on sonoluminescence that only the ZPE spectrum matches the light emission spectrum, which must be a ZPE phenomena [12]. The effect of torsion field on nuclear reactions along the axis of vortex should be taken into consideration

for the low ratio of the nuclear products of n/T due to spin polarization of reaction particles.

Axion model and the Primakoff effect are proposed for explanation of nuclear transmutation without noticeable gamma radiation. Many laboratories in the world have been engaged in several experiments to search for axions, light neutral pseudoscalar particles yet to be discovered. The axion would be produced in the solar core through the Primakoff effect if its mass is a few electronvolts and could be detected in the laboratory.

III. CONCLUSION

Researchers of new energy study of "cold fusion" type should pay great attention to the general processes of electrolysis to find the key points, which could play a major role in the transit from the electrochemical processes to processes of torsion and the nuclear processes. From the authors' point of view, the evolution of double layers is of importance to understand the anomalous effect, typically on the protrusions of cathode. The change of space-time near the tips due to torsion field generated is expected to delivery the zero-point energy, and dynamic Casimir effect for the evolution of gas bubbles on the tips are expected to generate photons and excess heat [1]. The yields of transmutation products are related to the current distribution on the cathode surface. The cathodes of thin wire were benefit to the generation of nuclear reactions and excess heat for electrochemical systems. Careful examination of the evolution of electrochemical double layer will lead to a good understanding of pitting corrosion with electrochemical noise, and further to recognize the anomalous excess heat and nuclear reactions.

Heat after death was observed at many laboratories. It is believed that the persistence behaviors of torsion field can be used to explain such anomalous phenomena.

The contact between beads coated with a thin metallic layer or multilayered film and

palladium black particles could be regarded as point-contact similar to the tip-effect [13].

We may say that it is expected to reveal the puzzles of the mechanisms of high-Tc superconductivity with pinning vortices by the torsion field theory [14,15].

REFERENCES

- [1] Xing-liu Jiang, Jin-zhi Lei, Li-jun Han, Dynamic Casimir Effect in an Electrochemical System, J. New Energy, Vol.3, No. 4, 47(1999).
- [2] Xing-liu Jiang, Chang-ye Chen, Li-jun Han, Tip Effect and Nuclear Active Sites, Proc. of the 7th Intern. Conf. on Cold Fusion, Vancouver, April, 1998, pp.175.
- [3] Don Read, Excitation and Extraction of Vacuum Energy Via EM-Torsion Field Coupling Theoretical Model, J. New Energy, Vol. 3, No. 2/3, p.130(1998).
- [4] P.Gondolo, J.Silk, Dark matter annihilation at galactic center, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1719(1999).
- [5] BPS, Laser light in, stream of protons out, Physics Today, Jan.2000,p.9.
- [6] G.Korluem and J.O.M. Bookris, Textbook of Electrochemistry Vol. II. Elsevier Publishing company Amstorsan, 1951. P.364
- [7] A.E.Akimov, G.I.Shipov, Torsion fields and their Experimental Manifestations, Journal of New Energy, 2(2), 67(1999).
- [8] Y.Paltiel, E.Zeldov Y.N.Myasoedov et al. Dynamic instabilities and memory effects in vortex matter, Nature 403, 398 (2000).
- [9] X.L.Jiang, L.J.Han and W.Kang. Concentrated energy and Micro Nuclear Fusion, ICCF6, Oct 1996, Japan p.580.
- [10] R.L.Matlock, F.E.Collins, G.R.Bancher, Anomalous tritium found in the recombined off gasses during electrolysis using crystal cathodes. Elem. Energy(cold fusion), 26, 28(1998).
- [11] Taleyarkhan R P, West C D, Cho J S, Lahey Jr R T, Nigmatulin R I, Block R C. Evidence for Nuclear Emissions During Acoustic Cavitation. Science, 2002 295: 1850~1862.
- [12] Claudia Eberlein. Theory of quantum radiation observed as onoluminescence, Phy.Rev.Lett.53,2772(1996)
- [13] G.H. Miley, G.Narne, M.J.Williams, J.A.Patterson, J.Nix, D.Cravens, and H. Hora, Quantitative Observation of Transmutation Products Occurring in Thin-Film Coated Microspheres During Electrolysis, Proceedings of ICCF-6, OCT. 1996, Japan, p. 629.
- [14] T. Matsuda, K. Harada, H. Kasai, O. Kamimura and A. Tomomura, Observation of Dynamic Interaction of Vortices with Pinning by Lorentz Microscopy, Science, 271, 1393(1996).
- [15] G.W. Crabtree and D.R. Nelson, Vortex Physics in High Temperature Superconductors, Physics Today, April 1997, p.32.

New Energy Technologies

PRINTED EDITION ADVERTIZING RATES

Full Page Ad

B/W - \$400
Colour - \$800

Half Page Ad

B/W - \$200
Colour - \$400

Quarter Page Ad

B/W - \$100
Colour - \$200

New Energy Technologies is distributed in the leading Scientific Laboratories in Russia and has subscribers in more than 20 countries all over the world

To advertise contact us:
Farady Labs Ltd, Phone/fax: 7-812-380-3844
<http://www.faraday.ru>, office@faraday.ru


• Fantastic Projects •

The Invention of Roman Solomyanny

Our previous issue featured an article about Roman Solomyanny who came to our office and told us amazing things. He said that during his army years (according to his words, he was in the military intelligence) he made a radio technology invention and later on built a free energy generator. The inventor claimed that his device had been working for a certain period of time without any fuel and had been producing power enough for heating and lighting his countryside house. We could not ignore such an intriguing piece of information. Moreover, Roman promised to build and present his device in 2003. We paid him for this interesting story to be published in the issue and prepared for the continuation...

Alas, to no avail... His mobile phone was silent and we started to worry about the inventor's health. Fortunately, we managed to contact his parents on his home telephone (Roman lives in St. Petersburg) and they told us that the chap was OK. It seems he's lost his interest in us. But we still hope that Roman did invent something really exciting and not only polished up his talent for writing science fiction articles. Those people, who want to know the details about this invention or discuss it with the inventor himself, can get in touch with us for his contact information. Anyway, we will be very pleased to see him again full of new exciting stories. We have already introduced the 'Humour' section into the magazine and are planning to start the 'It Just Can't Be So' section.

Editor



Anti-Gravity: The Holy Grail of the 21st Century

Bruce A. Smith, USA

PO Box 1676, Yelm, Washington 98597

Email: brucesmith@rainierconnect.com

A Primer on the Role of Electromagnetic, Electrostatic, and Torsion Fields in Anti-Gravity and Field-Effect Propulsion

Before visiting a University of Washington physics professor to talk about electrostatic propulsion, and hopefully anti-gravity, I realized: *Birds defy gravity. So do 747's for that matter. They apply the laws of physics and lift off the ground. That's anti-gravity, isn't it?* Yes, that's true, I suppose, in a metaphorical sense. But seagulls, jumbo jets and space crafts manifest anti-gravitation effects strictly speaking. What I want to address here is not the overcoming of gravity but the neutralizing of it.

Dr. Eugene Podkletnov, one of the foremost researchers in anti-gravity, and whose work is sought by NASA, Boeing and British Aerospace (now known as BAE Systems) describes the hunt for anti-gravity the greatest scientific quest of this century. He calls for an international effort, akin to the Manhattan Project that developed the atomic bomb, to conquer the secrets of anti-gravity, and usher in a new era of scientific understanding whose technological development will be at a scale so vast that the potential outcomes are merely hinted at by our previous achievements.

Just getting such a project off the ground will require unprecedented international cooperation, and public disclosure as well; the potentials are that vast, that scary, and that dangerous. Dr. Dan Marckus, noted British avionics expert, states in *The Hunt for Zero Point*, the seminal work-to-date on anti-gravity written by Jane's Defence Weekly aviation editor Nick Cook, that the secrets of anti-gravity in the wrong hands will make thermonuclear weapons look like firecrackers.

The secrecy surrounding anti-gravity research is phenomenal. Boeing refuses to publicly acknowledge any activity in anti-gravity development despite the fact that its competitor and sometime sub-contractor, British Aerospace (BAE Systems) is, the latter providing funds for four university research efforts as part of its Project Greenglow, one of which was a Podkletnov replication experiment headed by Dr. Clive Woods at the University of Sheffield,. Further, Nick Cook publicly, and privately to me in an email, states quite directly that George Muellner, former director of Boeing's ultra secret Phantom Works, claims Boeing sought the services of Dr. Podkletnov to unlock the secrets of his gravity-shielding research. Cook says that Muellner states Boeing was denied Podkletnov's services due to the objections of Russian officialdom, which the Russian-born Podkletnov must pay attention to, apparently, despite the fact that he works in Tampere, Finland. Dr. Podkletnov, wisely perhaps, chooses not to clarify these particulars despite our several emails.

Perhaps Boeing can deny any activity on anti-gravity because NASA is doing its own research, and as a prime contractor to NASA, such as by running the Space Shuttle Program, Boeing probably knows what NASA knows. NASA spent \$600,000 recently in its Breakthrough Propulsion Physics program (BPP) to purchase Podkletnov replication equipment. Inexplicably, that equipment sits in boxes in NASA's Marshall Research Center in Huntsville, AL, awaiting more funding; according to an email I received from NASA propulsion researcher, Ron Koczor.

But enough with this business; what do we know about anti-gravity?

The search for that answer has taken me to some exciting and obscure places in this world, like the Aeronautics and Astrophysics lab at the Seattle campus of the University of Washington. I called those folks because Nick Cook in *The Hunt for Zero Point*, mentions that UW received a NASA contract to study theories of inertia as part of its BPP program. *That's a good place to start*, I thought, but it took backtracking to BPP Project Director Marc Millis at NASA's Glenn Research Center in Cleveland to find Dr. John Cramer at the UW Physics Department. His mission was to confirm with Dr. James Woodward the latter's 1996 preliminary research into the loss of gravitational mass in a targeted piece of metal from oscillating capacitors. Although Woodward's initial data appeared encouraging, NASA's Millis told me that their funding dried up before completing their research. Furthermore, the entire BPP became unfunded in 2002 and now in 2003 has become a hazy, privatized version of its former NASA subset self.

However, UW is continuing related research, such as magnetically confined fusion energy generators and that, for me, by using electromagnetism to contain an inner field makes it a close cousin of anti-gravity and field-effect propulsion. I spoke with Professor Uri Shumlak who told me that he and other UW staff from the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, along with a bevy of their grad students, are building a prototype of a fusion generator called HIT, which stands for Helicity Injected Torus.

This donut ring-shaped torus encloses a roundish chamber. Within that chamber a vacuum is first created, and then a volume of hydrogen gas is introduced and heated to a few million degrees Celsius, which separates the electrons and protons from their atoms turning the whole stew into a quasi-neutral foam of plasma. Then the torus envelopes the plasma with a magnetic field to keep it away from the sides of the chamber enabling the plasma mass to stay hot, and

keep the rest of Seattle cool. (While I was standing next to his little eight foot long gizmo, Prof. Shumlak assured me there was no danger of a couple million degrees of heat escaping. The heat density of the plasma was "too low" for me to, well, break a sweat over. His quote was, "There's no more heat mass inside that chamber than what's contained in a cup of coffee." I sure hope you're right, Doc.)

Then, once the plasma field is contained, the magnetic field squeezes the plasma, fusing the nuclei of one hydrogen atom into another. As the hydrogen couples combine, a helium atom is created and a neutron is released, along with lots of energy in the form of heat. One day, such a generator will give us unlimited amounts of electricity, as the heat can produce electric voltage.

Lots of electrical power on the cheap the UW predicts; and the Department of Energy agrees, once the details of building reliable magnetic field generators are solved. What does magnetic fusion have to do with anti-gravity? Two things: first, magnets. Electromagnetism seems to be one of the major players in anti-gravity, particularly the use of electromagnetic fields to contain other fields, such as plasma fields in the HIT, or torsion fields, but more about that later. Secondly, the HIT works, or is about to. It's real and mainstream science embraces it, while anti-gravity is, well, a little more out there and reliable data is harder to obtain. So the technology of HIT lays a base that other research can build upon, such as not only containing other fields, but also building field effect propulsion systems, the most elementary of which is electrostatic propulsion, and aspects of that are already being applied by NASA.

Electrostatic propulsion uses electrical fields differently than electromagnetism does. In EM a current flows and creates a field, while in electrostatic systems the current is static and a charge builds up a field, such as in a capacitor.

These theories are utilized on NASA's Deep Space I, a probe bound for the outer reaches of our solar system. On Deep Space I, the

propellant, a tankful of xenon gas, is excited electrostatically into positive ions. The engine has a negative charge at the exit end, so the charged xenon rushes out the tail pipe with a greater thrust than if it was just using conventional chemical propellants. In fact, the electrostatic propulsion system on Deep Space I allows it to fly at 60,000 mph, or 10,000 mph faster than it would with a conventional rocket. In addition, only 82 kg of xenon is needed for its entire mission, so with its smaller mass and weight Deep Space I will fly along side its intended target, a comet, and drag race on equal footing while filming and conducting studies. Again, not anti-gravity per se, but electrically charging Deep Space I's fuel-field sets the stage for a closer look at electrostatic propulsion.

Taking that closer look is Tim Ventura and his fellow researchers at American Antigravity, an organization based in Kirkland, WA. Ventura and his crew use electrostatic asymmetrical capacitors to create a field that levitates objects, such as their small, kite-like "lifters." These lifters are very light, weighing only a few ounces, and have balsa wood struts that support the capacitors. When two capacitors of different size receive their share of a 30,000 volt charge, the lifter lifts- no motors or wings.

How, no one really knows in my judgment; and the phenomenon is replete with controversy and mystery. But as one who has seen a lifter fly, let me tell you what one looks like and what I saw when Tim Ventura's took off.

Tim has been building lifters since he was a kid and has perfected a four-foot, by-four-foot, by four foot triangular lifter which has flown so many missions in his garage that the silver aluminum foil has turned white. The thin, chopstick-like balsa wood ribs that hold the aluminum foil in place are joined every few inches by a vertical strut (much like a telephone pole on a HO model railroad set) which sticks up and secures the copper or stainless steel wire of the upper capacitor. The ribs are intersected every ten inches or so by the strut of an interior triangle, since the whole lifter is composed of interconnected isosceles triangles which give the necessary strength to the balsa wood frame. All told

there is about 30 linear feet of aluminum foil and a similar run of wire.

The lower and larger capacitor is a strip of aluminum foil stretched between the horizontal balsa wood struts. The second capacitor is a thin strip of 50 gauge wire mounted about one inch above the aluminum foil. As capacitors they store electrical charge but don't pass it on in a current.



Fig.1
Lifter

The negative lead goes to the lower aluminum foil and the positive lead is attached to the upper wire. The three corners of the lifter are tethered to the work table so that the electrical leads from the power source are not broken off in flight.

The power source kicks out 15,000 volts at 250 watts. Tim uses a voltage generator made by Information Unlimited, Inc, but before the current reaches the capacitors, the voltage is stepped up to 30,000 volts by Tim's homemade voltage multiplier stack. At full throttle the lifter is straining at the tethers, bending the balsa wood frame near the point of fracture.

Throw the switch and at around 17,500 volts the lifter begins to quiver in take-off. At the full power of 30,000 volts the lifter is roaring and a noticeable downward breeze is observed. Many physicists call it "ion wind," and say that it is how the lifters fly. But what exactly is ion wind, and can it be the cause of flight?

"Ion wind is the movement of ionized air particles," according to Ventura, "which flow downward according to electrical charge." Here's his theory:

The positively charged wire on the top part of the lifter steals elections from the surrounding air, leaving the effected air molecules positively charged. These positively charged air molecules, or ions, then head downward toward the large source of negatively charged electrons generated by the aluminum foil. These air molecule ions are bigger and heavier than the electrons seeking them, so there is a net thrust downward pushing the whole lifter up. That's the theory, and frankly all I can do to verify the theory is to tell you what others tell me. Before I do that, though, let me tell you what I experienced standing next to a levitating lifter.

In flight the lifter emits a high whining, hissing buzz, and I could feel a good breeze coming up at me from the work table underneath the lifter. Also, standing next to the lifter but not touching it, the hair on the back of my head started to rise up in electrostatic-like fashion.



Fig.2
Lifter

To analyze the air currents Tim blew baby powder at the top of the lifter. The majority of the particulate cloud was drawn into the middle area of the lifter and then sucked downward. A kind of vortex was created at

times, for intermittently I could see a cloud forming into an organized column beneath the lifter and then spreading out in 360 degrees once it hit the work table surface.

Electrostatic propulsion uses electrical fields differently than electromagnetism does. In EM a current flows and creates a field, while in electrostatic systems the current is static and a charge builds up a field, such as in a capacitor

Is that ion wind? Well, there certainly was a breeze, and it sure felt like air, but how would I know if it was ionized? Something definitely sucked the baby powder down, but was it more than just regular air blowing past me? Again, I don't know.

Is the movement of wind why lifters fly, regardless of whether it is ionic or not, or is the wind just a by-product and not the propulsion? Could the capacitors be creating a field that neutralizes gravity, allowing the craft to levitate? Or are they creating some kind of new field that is localized and the surrounding ambient field pushes this "field-bubble" up, much like a helium balloon is pushed up by the surrounding heavier air trying to fill the emptier "field" of the lighter helium?

Ventura thinks at least two phenomena are at work. Ion wind is definitely one he feels, for the breeze is self-evident. However, he thinks a second effect is at work, too, and many agree with him. Most speculation concerns what is called the Biefeld-Brown effect, the "Brown" being T. Townsend Brown, whose name is well known in early quantum research and whose work is prominently discussed in Nick Cook's *The Hunt for Zero Point*.

The Biefeld-Brown effect, according to Ventura, is the theory that high voltage, air-gapped capacitors with different or asymmetrical capacities generate a net

directional force upwards from the larger element to the smaller element, which on the lifter is from the aluminum foil to the wire. This force then pushes against the ambient energy field of the surrounding area, perhaps pushing against a more rigid energy field of the zero point energy field.

Brown apparently made his case for these electromagnetic effects, receiving patents in the 1960's for his research. NASA's Dr. Jonathan Campbell at Marshall Research Center in Huntsville confirmed to me that he also, has received a patent recently for his research into the thrust effects of asymmetrical capacitors.

Editor: By the way, this patent is meaningless from the point of view of a prime claim. Before that there had been many publications on the subject.

A.V. Frolov.




Fig.3
Lifter

However, prominent physicist Hal Puthoff, whose research is a broad swath across the fields of the 'new physics', featured in both *The Field* and *The Hunt for Zero Point*, and who was also the military's "Top Psychic" as the twelve-year director of the CIA's remote viewing squadron, has a different perspective: "I'm quite certain at this point that the so-called 'lifter' phenomena is just an electrostatic ion wind phenomena, not 'antigravity.'"

But Dr. John J. Rusek, Adjunct Professor of Aeronautics and Astronautics at both Purdue University and the United States Air Force Academy, says that "Initial findings of 'classroom' experiments with lifters show ionic wind to be way too small a factor, by three orders of magnitude." Dr. Rusek has formed a technological company, Swift

Enterprises, to continue this research and bring it to the level that is "presentable to the mainstream physics community."

Along these lines, Jean-Louis Naudin shows on his extensive web site, not only how to build a lifter, but also several photos of research into the ion wind issue. Naudin's team has wrapped test lifters in plastic yet they still produce anti-gravitational effects.

Others may have a clue to the second or even a third force at work. Researcher Fran De Aquino, professor of physics at Maranhao State University in Sao Luis, Brazil, is described in the literature as showing that "bubbles of localized space-time" can exist in variance to the surrounding fields. Anecdotal experience suggests that the lifters may be undergoing such space-time anomalies.

Editor: At this point we need to interrupt the author as he has already completely confused the reader. I would recommend referring to Thomas T. Brown's patent: USA patent #3,187,206, 1965.

Alexander V. Frolov

The Hunt for Zero Point states that NASA sought the services of Dr. Eugene Podkletnov, and although their replication research languishes, *The Hunt* claims that researcher Ning Li, of Huntsville, AL is pursuing this line of research as a private contractor to NASA.

Another Huntsville operation, Transdimensional Technologies, is exploring these multi-faceted phenomena as well, and its extensive web site shows it to be a frequent contractor to NASA, including research into "asymmetrical capacitive propulsion," and capacitor-based devices to test "ion wind" forces. Jeff Cameron, of Transdimensional, is said by Ventura to be "the father of the lifter," having developed them while exploring anomalous torsional effects of high energy lasers. The lasers twisted and at the time it was considered a nuisance. But the unknown forces at work later led Cameron to found Transdimensional, develop lifter technology to a commercial level, and subsequently patent many pieces of related technology. Unfortunately, I have been unable to reach Jeff

Cameron or anyone at Transdimensional for any kind of confirmation.

Nevertheless, how would gravitons be blocked, or gravity shielded?

Dr. Hal Puthoff says there are two ways of looking at it. First, one can look at the issue from a quantum point of view, that there is a particle exchange between the gravitons and something else, and the net effect is anti-gravity. The how's and why's of that are speculative, so Puthoff turns to a classical approach for answers. He prefers looking at "engineering the vacuum." To do that one must first look at the vacuum.

As I understand it we are all in the vacuum, everything is. The "vacuum" is the matrix that contains all matter and all energy. It is the engineering perspective of the zero point energy field, or the "*Field*" as popularized by Lynn McTaggart in her masterpiece *The Field*. Puthoff shared with me statements from fellow researcher Dr. T. D. Lee that state: "The vacuum is the seat of energetic particle and field fluctuations, and ... is the seat of space-time structure ... that encodes the distribution of matter and energy.... The vacuum is energetic in its own right."

Thus energy can be drawn from the field; and spacecraft can have "vacuum propulsion systems, or propellant-less propulsion," in other words, field effect propulsion.

At any rate, more and more physicists are thinking that the vacuum can give them a whole lotta oomph, enough to propel spacecraft; and when they learn how to corral it, a whole bevy of new phenomena may be encountered, including anti-gravity. This new potpourri of research is being called by many the "new physics." And although his approach is classical, Dr. Hal Puthoff seems to be sensing what's out there waiting to be discovered.

Puthoff's current research has been to explore "the perturbation of atomic or molecular ground states, hypothesized to be equilibrium states involving dynamic radiation/absorption exchange with the vacuum fluctuations. In this model atoms or molecules ... are expected to undergo energy shifts that

would alter the spectroscopic signatures of excitations involving the ground state." Puthoff says he's had no success so far with this approach, but his words remind me of De Aquino's speculation that objects lose mass as they absorb energy. Pull energy from the field around you and you lose weight. Bingo, lift-off. **But how does one pull energy from the field?**

Editor: Some solve this problem by means of the ether density changes, which are performed with the help of vortex longitudinal-wave technologies.

A.V. Frolov

Torsion fields might play a role here according to many, and the literature on anti-gravity is filled with the phrase, "torsional effects." But what exactly is a torsion field? "It has something to do with spin," Nick Cook told me on the phone. "You have a torsion field when you spin something. Add a little electromagnetism and you might have anti-gravity." That's the short-hand version of it and here's a deeper look.

Mike Wright, resident physics expert at BeyondTheOrdinary.Net web stream radio, told me this: "When forces create curvature (such as rotation) in more than two planes, a torsion field results. Not only does the object go around, but it goes around and 'down' or 'up', and the up/down movement is an additional acceleration in that dimension. EM and gravitational fields differ by having a magnitude of force and only one direction of movement.

"A tornado is a structure of air in air. A whirlpool is a structure of water in water. So, because more than two planes are involved, objects can be created from 'nothing'; that is to say that objects can be created from the medium of the environment, such as a tornado from two air masses of differing temperature."

So spin plus movement is the key. Again, Tim Ventura is on the hunt. He demonstrated to me **that spinning magnets will cancel out their magnetic fields sufficiently so that two magnets facing each other with like poles will not push each other away if one of the magnets is rotating**

perpendicular to the force of opposition. It's not anti-gravity, but it gets us closer to the heart of the matter.

Further, Russian physicists have been researching the torsional effects of both sub-atomic particle spin, and the loss of gravitational mass in planets from the angular momentum of their orbits. Spinning makes something happen, but what? Tornadoes and Mother Nature might have a few clues.

Tornadoes spin, in a sense, although no one is Oklahoma who has spent a night in a storm shelter during an F5 event would describe the tornadoes in the night sky as *spinning*. Nevertheless, tornadoes have anomalous effects that are legendary: blades of grass stuck into mirrors, a piece of straw embedded flawlessly into a tree trunk. How? It seems as if the laws of mass, gravity and inertia are melted as winds swirl at speeds up to 300 mph in an organized vortex pattern. Is this a clue to melting the pull of gravity?

Getting information to this question has not been easy. Many scientists claim not to have even heard of torsion fields, including particle physicists at major US universities. So, again I turn to Nick Cook and The Hunt for Zero Point

Dr. Dan Marckus says that if "you generate a torsion field of sufficient magnitude the theory says you can bend the four dimensions of space around the generator. The more torsion you generate the more space you perturb. When you bend space you also bend time."

Marckus continues, "If you dipped ...one of these whirlpools ... into the zero point energy field, the seething mass of latent energy that existed on an almost undetectable level all around us [in the field would] ... react in an almost magical way by directing that energy."

The torsion field, in effect, is "a pump, a 'coupling' device that could dip into, and then direct, energy out of the zero point energy field." "But," Marckus continues, "the vortex wasn't a three-dimensional phenomena or

even a four-dimensional one. It couldn't be. For a torsion field to be able to interact with gravity and electromagnetism it had to be endowed with attributes that went beyond the three dimensions of left, right, up and down, and the fourth-dimensional time field they inhabited; something that the theorists for convenience sake labeled a fifth dimension-hyperspace."

Cook concluded that the torsion fields "bind with gravity ... to produce a levitation effect - an antigravity effect," but "it wasn't doing so in the four dimensions of this world, but somewhere else." That somewhere else is hyperspace. **So how do we activate torsion fields and enter hyperspace?**

Dr. Eugene Podkletnov may have a clue.

Podkletnov, the Russian researcher working in Finland, has studied the gravity shielding effects of superconductors. Again, Nick Cook in The Hunt, relays vital information. Cook says Podkletnov claims, "If the superconductors are rotated considerably faster than 5,000 rpm ... the disc experiences so much weight loss that it actually takes off." Thus, torsion field creates levitation. I emailed Dr. Podkletnov to find out more about this issue. He replied: "[A] fast rotating object can, under certain conditions, cause the polarization of the volume that it occupies in space and around it. This polarization causes the gravitational effect as it modifies [the] local gravity field. The vortex of the polarized particles will create a vertical thrust with a certain force and spatial momentum. Some scientists call these polarized particles gravitons. The term graviton is an artificial one and at present we are not sure if it is a wave or a particle and what type of particle. Maybe it is a usual tachyon or a superluminal neutrino (a faster-than-light-particle). Polarization of the media means that the spins of electrons, protons, neutrons and of small subatomic particles that constitute the fabric of space or vacuum would be parallel. Then a kind of gravity well is formed and the objects tend to fall into this well. We observe this picture as an object rising to the sky. Polarization of the media (of space) causes some glow around the object as it acquires additional

energy and because of it, the glow around some objects is observed."

What I understand from Dr. Podkletnov is that gravity is the effect of spin -- the spin of all subjected particles, from the sub-atomic level and up being parallel; thus they are all aligned to fall into the gravity well of earth. And spinning objects, such as his superconducting discs, when influenced additionally by an electromagnetic field, will experience a shift in the spin of the sub-atomic and atomic elements. They will be turned and not be aligned in parallel. Thus, they are able to levitate.

But how to polarize the media and get things spinning? Enter Dr. Marcus Hollingshed, an enigmatic figure allegedly from Cambridge University. Dr. Hollingshed claims to have built a six-ringed toroidal coil antigravity device, which achieved great effect using rotating magnetic fields. In January 2003 he announced on the Internet that he has developed a 160kg vehicle able to lift in excess of 2000kg and that it has both horizontal and vertical drive features. His

device cannot only go up and down and sideways, but it can push things away and pull objects to it.

In addition, the field that the device purportedly generates is capable of being broadened and weakened, or narrowed and amplified in a lensing effect, with the field producing an absolute vacuum of 2.2m spherical diameter. Best of all, when it's cranked up the core of it goes invisible, although the term Dr. Hollingshed uses is that there is a "loss of reflected light." There are no reports of independent confirmation, and Nick Cook says he hasn't been invited to see it, so, he's skeptical.

Where does this leave us? Perhaps Dr. Podkletnov's words sum up our current situation. "Modern theoretical physics cannot give you the direct answer to your questions (levitation, torsion fields, etc.,) and a scientist who would agree to give you the answer cannot be regarded seriously, softly speaking. If you had asked Dr. Einstein if he were an expert on gravity, the answer would be NO. I can repeat his words: No, I am not a magician, yet; I am still learning."



Wayne Macleod, USA

Email: dynamars2100@yahoo.ca, cwleod@shaw.ca

Gravity is the incremental slowing of time as we move closer to its source. If we imagine panes of glass stacked on top each other, one pane slightly denser than the one immediately above, a ray of light coming from above will constantly refract in a curved beam downward as it enters the stack. The same is true of a ray of light entering a gravity field. The analogy is not too far fetched because refraction is caused by a slowing of light in the denser medium. Of course, gravity affects material objects as well as light, but this is explained by every object traveling on a 'world line' in

spacetime. We can use light as in the glass example because light is its own world line.

Why the world lines of objects take the paths they do can best be understood by compressing our normal 3 dimensional space into 2 dimensions, length and height only. When we throw a stone into the air it rises and falls in a parabolic arc in these two space dimensions. That path in space is a complete mystery until we consider another dimension, time, a dimension we can imagine measured at a right angle to the plane of the 2 space

energy and because of it, the glow around some objects is observed."

What I understand from Dr. Podkletnov is that gravity is the effect of spin -- the spin of all subjected particles, from the sub-atomic level and up being parallel; thus they are all aligned to fall into the gravity well of earth. And spinning objects, such as his superconducting discs, when influenced additionally by an electromagnetic field, will experience a shift in the spin of the sub-atomic and atomic elements. They will be turned and not be aligned in parallel. Thus, they are able to levitate.

But how to polarize the media and get things spinning? Enter Dr. Marcus Hollingshed, an enigmatic figure allegedly from Cambridge University. Dr. Hollingshed claims to have built a six-ringed toroidal coil antigravity device, which achieved great effect using rotating magnetic fields. In January 2003 he announced on the Internet that he has developed a 160kg vehicle able to lift in excess of 2000kg and that it has both horizontal and vertical drive features. His

device cannot only go up and down and sideways, but it can push things away and pull objects to it.

In addition, the field that the device purportedly generates is capable of being broadened and weakened, or narrowed and amplified in a lensing effect, with the field producing an absolute vacuum of 2.2m spherical diameter. Best of all, when it's cranked up the core of it goes invisible, although the term Dr. Hollingshed uses is that there is a "loss of reflected light." There are no reports of independent confirmation, and Nick Cook says he hasn't been invited to see it, so, he's skeptical.

Where does this leave us? Perhaps Dr. Podkletnov's words sum up our current situation. "Modern theoretical physics cannot give you the direct answer to your questions (levitation, torsion fields, etc.,) and a scientist who would agree to give you the answer cannot be regarded seriously, softly speaking. If you had asked Dr. Einstein if he were an expert on gravity, the answer would be NO. I can repeat his words: No, I am not a magician, yet; I am still learning."



Wayne Macleod, USA

Email: dynamars2100@yahoo.ca, cwleod@shaw.ca

Gravity is the incremental slowing of time as we move closer to its source. If we imagine panes of glass stacked on top each other, one pane slightly denser than the one immediately above, a ray of light coming from above will constantly refract in a curved beam downward as it enters the stack. The same is true of a ray of light entering a gravity field. The analogy is not too far fetched because refraction is caused by a slowing of light in the denser medium. Of course, gravity affects material objects as well as light, but this is explained by every object traveling on a 'world line' in

spacetime. We can use light as in the glass example because light is its own world line.

Why the world lines of objects take the paths they do can best be understood by compressing our normal 3 dimensional space into 2 dimensions, length and height only. When we throw a stone into the air it rises and falls in a parabolic arc in these two space dimensions. That path in space is a complete mystery until we consider another dimension, time, a dimension we can imagine measured at a right angle to the plane of the 2 space

dimensions. By multiplying the time of the object in flight by the speed of light, we have a three-dimensional coordinate system, not of space but of spacetime. We can then graph the world line of the object. So why does the object fall? It is because spacetime near massive bodies is curved. A remarkable fact is that the curved world lines of all objects in the same gravity field, whether of a thrown ball or fired bullet, have the same radius of curvature. Hence all objects fall with the same acceleration.

To neutralize gravity we must somehow 'straighten' the world lines of objects traveling in curved spacetime. It would be as if we had in our stack of glass panes a bubble, around which the density of glass became less on approach to the bubble. Then the ray of light, when close to the bubble, would refract opposite to the path it would normally take when traveling through the rest of the glass. Similarly, if we could manufacture a 'time bubble' in a gravity field opposite to the time slowing known as gravity, we would have any object embedded in the time bubble isolated from that gravity field.

The Electrical Levitation theory can best be understood by first considering an analogy. Let us imagine a wheel spinning on an arm like a child's propeller toy. The arm also rotates, in a direction opposite to the spinning wheel. We consider the rate of spinning of the wheel from the point-of-view of two observers, one observer stationary on the ground, the other observer rotating with the arm. Obviously the two observers will not see the same rate of spinning on the wheel. Because the arm is rotating opposite the spin of the wheel, its rotation must be subtracted from the rate of wheel spin as seen by the stationary ground observer. This is not true of the observer rotating with the arm, who will see the spin of the wheel as if there were no arm rotation.

The concept is easier to envision with linear motion, such as of a man throwing a ball on a moving train. The velocity of the ball seen by the pitcher on the train will not be the same as its velocity seen by a stationary observer on the ground. As the stationary observer sees it, that velocity will have the train's velocity

subtracted from it if thrown against the train's velocity. It is the same with rotary motion: the velocity of the wheel for the stationary observer is slower because the arm's rotation is subtracted from it.

But suppose the wheel rotation is *the same* for the two observers! Something must be different between them, and that is time. As explained by Special Relativity for linear motion, time is not a universal constant; it differs between observers depending on their relative motion. The property of nature that is constant, that produces this relative time difference, is the speed of light. So we must look for a similar constant in nature for a relative time difference between observers in our rotary example. We have one in electron angular momentum, known as electron "spin," $\hbar/4\pi = 5.28 \times 10^{-35} \text{ kg-m}^2/\text{sec}$, where $\hbar$ is Planck's constant. Like the speed of light, this quantity is constant for all observers, whether the observer is on a rotating system or stationary on the ground. Here is the tool for producing our time 'bubble'.

Let us now imagine a series of concentric rings, all rotating in the same plane and in the same direction. Electric current is pushed through these rings in the same direction as the ring rotation. (Current here is considered the flow of electrons, not conventional positive current.) The rotating rings are sandwiched between two magnetic plates, the function of which is to maintain the angular momentum of the current electrons oriented properly with ring rotation.

Analogous to the above example, each electron takes the place of the spinning wheel and the rotating arm is replaced by the rings. Thus, because electron angular momentum is a universal constant, an observer of the electrons in this rotating system will not have the same time as a stationary observer outside it. If each current electron has its "spin" oriented opposite the rotation of the rings, time on the rotating system would run faster than for a stationary ground observer, the same as in empty space relative to the Earth. The rotating system would therefore have the world line of empty space, not that of the

gravity field. Its spacetime would not be curved. Since gravity is a time phenomenon, such a system in a gravity field could not have the behavior of a normal object. This conclusion may seem erroneous considering that ring rotation could never reach relativistically significant velocities, but we have an analogy with magnetism that is caused by a Lorentz contraction of the distance between moving electrons. If we considered the diminutive drift velocity of electrons alone we would never conclude that magnetism was possible, but the effect is *accumulative* over trillions of electrons. The hypothesis here is that the same is possible with the trillions of free electrons oriented with a spinning ring, to produce an accumulative time effect.

We now come to the controversial part of this theory because the above treats electron angular momentum the same as angular momentum of a normal physical object, whereas the electron is a quantum particle and quantum particles have their own realities with bizarre consequences when analogized with our macro universe. For instance, the electron has quantum spin number $1/2$, and such a particle would have 720 degrees in one rotation, not 360. Nevertheless, the electron *does possess* dipole magnetism. It *does behave* as a spinning ball with negative charge. Electrical Levitation is therefore an empirical theory. The property of the electron that gives electron di-pole magnetism cannot be an actual physical rotation, but whatever that quantum property, if it produces di-pole magnetism there is reason to expect it to produce other macro physical phenomena as if it were.

The theoretical finding of General Relativity that time runs slower in a gravitational field was confirmed by the Pound-Rebka experiment in 1959. **It is not that gravity causes time slowing, gravity is time slowing.** Since time and energy are reciprocal, more time on our rotating ring system would mean less energy seen by an observer in that frame of reference than seen by a stationary observer on the ground, the opposite of the red shift of a gravity field. This

energy difference must equal the energy of the mass in a gravity field that is to be levitated, its energy of weight, and lost. Experimenters should therefore be aware that their device might radiate. But this is not a free energy machine. The energy of levitation comes from its magnetic field, and the electron magnetic moment energy turns out to be $V_e = mc^2$ divided by the electrical current, m is the mass to be levitated and c is the speed of light. This is an enormous amount, but becomes practical if enough electrical current can be sent through the rings. That means the electrical resistance of the ring material must be very low. For copper it is not. No material currently exists with sufficiently low electrical resistance at room temperatures, but **superconducting materials** exist that at cryogenic temperatures experience a dramatic loss of electrical resistance.

An experimental device can therefore be envisioned using a superconducting disc substituting for the electric rings. The disc would serve as a conductor for an electric current and would therefore need to be sliced along one radius with an insulator placed in the notch. Both edges of the notch would be connected to a power source by brushes rotating with the disc. With a counterclockwise disc rotation as seen from the top, to have clockwise electron "spin" the magnetic field between the plates would have to be up. Only the moving free electrons of the current will be available for any time alteration effect. These will also **produce a magnetic field, which it is reasonable to assume would be of the same time alteration effect as the electrons, thus producing the required alternate time "bubble"**.

The resources required for an experiment using superconducting material at cryogenic temperatures are beyond this writer's means and an experiment has not been attempted, but an experiment at the Tampere University, Finland, 1992, using a superconducting disc suggests that gravity shielding is possible. Owing to the immense advantages gravitational shielding would give to the present interest in space exploration, effort toward its development would be logical. This essay may offer clues on how to begin.

Editor: I find the article by Wane McLeod quite interesting as his approach is very similar to the idea I first presented in my report at the "Space, Time and Gravitation" Conference which took place in St. Petersburg in 1998. The report was included into The Proceedings of the Conference, Part I, 1999. Before that the brief version of the article was published in English in the 'ELECTRIC SPACECRAFT' magazine, Leicester, North Carolina 28748 USA, Issue 27, 1997 p.30-31.

I managed to demonstrate that the ideas of Thomas T. Brown, especially his USA patent # 3,187,206 of 1965, are something more than just the force asymmetry in the electric capacitor. According to the concept presented in my report, by creating a matter property gradient (in particular, the dielectric permittivity gradient) we actually change the curvature of the electric force line in space. Normally, the natural space curvature accounts for the electric field potential decrease with the distance increase from the surface of the charged object. By creating the dielectric permittivity gradient (described by T.T. Brown in his patent of 1965) we change the natural distance potential gradient law. We can both increase and decrease this change and even reverse it. With the dielectric permittivity change square function, the natural space curvature is completely compensated and with more extent it is reversed and can be turned to negative. At that rate, the potential is not decreased but increased with the increase of the distance from the surface of the charged object. This is the essence of my concept that has never been considered before by any author. By creating the gradient described by the quartic function we get the same distance potential gradient law as in the natural conditions only with a different sign!

T.T. Brown, who discovered a force in capacitors with a special dielectric, offered the practical application of this concept.

However, he did not find the source of this force, which, according to my concept, is conditioned by two factors: the corresponding dielectric property gradient function and the elastic properties of the dielectric material, which is of no less significance. Normally, dielectric particles are attracted to the charged surface but when the reversion of the curvature of electric field force lines occurs, they are repulsed from it and we can use this propulsion force. Elastic deformations (including those occurring during the pulsating operation of the field) account for the reaction forces equivalent to the generated propulsion force. Thus, the momentum conservation law is in action, however, the device is still moving.

T.T. Brown also considered other ways of generating a force, such as the material magnetic property gradient. Logically, by analogy with the electric field we can consider the gravity field, which can be "designed" and "reversed" by means of creating a matter with the density gradient. At that rate, the gravity potential must change in accordance with a certain law when the distance from the gravity field source being increased.

We should also note that the local space volume levitation effect with the accelerated or decelerated time rate was first described in my articles "Physical Principles of the Time Machine", NET #3 (6), May-June 2002 and "Practical Application of the Time Rate Control (TRC) Theory", NET #3, November-December 2001.

Our company conducts experimental researches on creating new materials that possess the properties described. We are interested in serious business contacts with companies in the aerospace industry to further discuss eventual cooperation projects in this field, including *co-patenting*.

Alexander V. Frolov

Perpetuum Mobile Not in the Past but at the Present

Vladimir I. Likhachev, Russia

Krasnodarskaya str., 38/20-34, Moscow, 109386

In several issues of the "Nature and man. Light" magazine in 1995-97 my colleague E. Oparin and I wrote on the unscientific character of absolutizing the law of degradation of energy, on the important issue of creating the perpetuum mobile of the second kind utilizing infinitely available *environmental heat energy*. We even send a letter to Yuri S. Osipov, the Russian Science academy President, but received no reply. Notwithstanding many official discussions, there are no well-reasoned objections to our arguments or proof on the part of "high" official science, because, in fact, we are right.

I have recently received one more confirmation: bright and feasible data on the negentropy cycle (the cycle with spontaneous reduction of entropy) with a chemically active working substance that will be described later.

After the publication of Victor M. Brodyansky's book "Perpetuum Mobile in the Past and at Present. From Utopia to Science and from Science to Utopia", Second Edition, one can not but return to these issues. More so because the foreword of V.A. Fabricant, member of the USSR Academy of Pedagogical Sciences, claims the law of degradation of energy to be the "law of nature" and supports shamefully the deformation of the monothermists, especially P.K. Oschepkov, by academicians P. Kapitsa, L. Artsimovich and I. Tamm ("Pravda" of November 22, 1959), followed by E. Velikhov, A. Prokhorov and V. Sagdeyev ("Pravda" of June 22, 1987).

After the publication of Brodyansky's book I met the author several times (notably at a special seminar in The Moscow Energy Institute) and expressed my opinion about his book: the book avoids serious discussion

of the monothermists, stresses their failures which are quite normal in the initial development of perpetuum mobiles of the second kind.

For example, Brodyansky knew about Tsiolkovsky's discovery. Guay's book "On the Little-Known Hypothesis of Tsiolkovsky" is listed among the First Edition references. But Vladimir Brodyansky avoided analyzing this discovery both "in the past" and "at present". The Second Edition references even list the "Physical Thought in Russia" magazine, issue #1 of 1991, that contained for the first and only time the modern edition of the "Law of Degrading of Energy" by Tsiolkovsky. "At present" V. Brodyansky knows my analysis of Boltzman's mistakes but he paid no scientific attention to my analysis either.

In Brodyansky's book the description level of chemical reaction cycles is even lower than that of Schpilrine. Schpilrine at least tried to present his arguments but here we see unsupported statements "justified" by the phrase "the detailed discussion would take too much space". Vladimir Brodyansky's analysis of anti-Stokes luminescence (pp.216-219) is also superficial. Dissipative and entropy processes prevail in it. But if there is evidence supporting higher frequency quanta than the frequency of radiating flux, **it is the evidence of negative entropy**. Vladimir Brodyansky not only is familiar with it and also shows it by the example of investigating the Sun and the Earth (p.247).

Vladimir Brodyansky's analysis of Rank's tube (pp.235-237) displays the same tendency. In the Rank's tube the dissipative processes also prevail. Its effectiveness as a refrigerator is lower than in the traditional schemes.

But one can not deny the existence of negentropy processes in it. It is these processes that "originate" the cold gas flow. And Brodyansky's "origination" on p.236 "The vortex tube in fact divides the coming gas into two fluxes: heated and refrigerated" - is totally false. That can be performed only by "Maxwell's demon" that does not exist in substance.

The refrigerated flux in the Rank's tube is formed by the "Tsiolkovsky's flux" by way of heat transfer "from cold to hot", from the tube center to its circumference by **centrifugal accelerations**. On its basis (to be more exact, on the basis of Finko's tube) the perpetuum mobile of the second kind can be created. And we have this engineering solution. E. Oparin and I made the corresponding patent application for an invention but the Federal Institute of Industrial Property again refused to consider such applications. Here one can see similarity with the causes of re-edition of Vladimir Brodyansky's book. And one need not be a big politician to admit the reality and understand the sources.

Environmental and, especially, anti-nuclear movement is invincibly expanding. Danger to human life and threat of ecological catastrophe are quite real. The Second Edition of Brodyansky's book protects

behind scientific arguments those who use Russia as a source of easy money and then flee.

But we like our country - vast and austere. This austerity and space form REAL PEOPLE and support humanity and materialistic humanism of the energetic and talented, not just the rich.

In modern Russia the powerfully spread alcoholism, debauchery and drugs are the instruments of slavery. But the monothermy and development of alternative power engineering will become basis for Russia's revival and unprecedented prosperity.

Russians learn slowly, sometimes, very slowly, even disgracefully slowly. But we will learn. And then no one will stop us. The following tips are meant for those who are ready to risk their efforts and facilities in order to build alternative energy knowledge base: dissipative and negentropy processes are inseparable and very often their mechanism is the same. In our environment the dissipative processes are more effective and hide the negentropy ones. No dissipative process combination can generate the negentropy cycle. Look for examples in Brodyansky's book. To create the negentropy cycle and use the environmental energy at least one negentropy process is required that has effectiveness and negentropy exceeding entropy growth at all levels of the cycle.

News from "IntAlek" Company

William Alek [alekws@intalek.com] has updated the latest and greatest ZPOD system drawings:

http://www.intalek.com/Index/Projects/SmartPAK/Projects/ZPOD/ZPOD_System05.pdf

This is release 5.0

Here is the latest electrical schematic: http://www.intalek.com/ZPOD/ZPOD_System05.gif

Based upon his "preliminary" tests thus far, he estimates the COP is around 2.0. This estimate is interpreted from the following scope traces:

<http://www.intalek.com/ZPOD/in.GIF>

Alan Francoeur's Generator Canada

Alan L Francoeur, Canada

Email: alf@shaw.ca

This is my writing and development about the Interference disc generator, and the permanent magnet dynamo machine, and other related inventions. I have always been intrigued with electricity and magnetism and aetheric energies starting at a young age. Back in 1980 I wanted to do something to help get my large vehicles better fuel economy. With this goal in mind, I experimented and designed my first heat

exchanger vaporizer system to do my part to clean up our cars and trucks, fig. 1, 2, and 3 are two earlier vaporizer units under construction. For more information about this fuel system, please refer to my vaporizer fuel system report. The main body of this writing is referring the permanent magnet dynamo system that has been in the making since 1987, starting after the development of the interference disc generator.



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3

I invented the Interference Disc generator concept while I was employed at Giant Yellowknife Mines NWT from 1983 to early 1986. The idea of using metal blocking plates to shield magnetic fields stirred in my mind while working around heavy electrical mining equipment, and 1000hp and other electric motors with exposed coils that have heavy metal around the outer casing. I did a search at the time and found John Ecklin's work very interesting with his application of the shielding effect. During my off time in Yellowknife, I was experimenting with the interesting effect of producing induction with a stationary coil mounted beside a stationary magnet with a small air gap maintained between the magnet and the coil. During the construction of my first disc machine, I called it the Interference Shielding effect because of

the interference the blocking disc does to cause induction, thus the Interference Disc Generator fits the description. The first transformer coils I used to test the interference shielding effect were used from old radios, and the magnets were alnico types. Back in 1986 in Calgary Alberta, a friend Bud Johnson and I constructed the first test disc generator model using alnico magnets, fig. 4, and later ceramic magnets were installed. Months later I designed and began construction of another larger Interference Disc machine with horseshoe shape neodymium 35 grade magnets mounted on the outside, and with the N and S magnetic poles facing toward the coils in the center portion of the machine. The coils and magnets in this larger machine are mounted in reverse of the first Interference Disc generator, fig. 5, 6.



Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6

General description of the interference disc generator

The Interference Disc electrical generator is made of a stator (114) consisting of an array of even number parallel mounted bar magnets (112) arranged and supported (114) in a circular fashion equidistant from each other, where the polarity of the magnets (112) are alternating when viewed at either end. Fig. 7




Fig. 7
Magnetic bars assembly (rotor)

Also in the stator fig. 8, (102) there are twice the number of coils (111) as bar magnets (112) wound in pairs on U-shaped cores (110). One half of the coil pairs mounted at one end of the bar magnets (112) in the same circular fashion, each corresponding to and aligned opposite to a pair of bar magnets (112) and separated from it by an air gap. On the other end of this pair of bar magnets (112) there are another set of coils (111) aligned likewise.

The rotor is made of two magnetically susceptible circular plates (109A or 109B) (such as iron or steel) Fig. 9, centrally mounted on an axle (107) fig. 7, which is in respect to the array of magnets and coils Fig. 9a.




Fig. 8




Fig. 9

The interference discs (109A or 109B) are separated from each other so that they can rotate in the airgaps between the magnets (112) and the coils (111). The interference discs (109A or 109B) have a number of **equidistant opening, either more or less in number than the number of the bar magnets (112).**

The arrangement and size of the openings are such that when the discs (109A or 109B) are rotated by an outside motive force, they open the magnetic field between adjacent bar magnets (112), and the opposing coils (111) at both ends of the stator (114) simultaneously. Hence inducing an alternating electric current in the stationary coils (111) fig. 10.

By opening and closing the magnetic influx to the core (110) of the coils (111), an alternating current will be generated in the coils




Fig. 9a

Magnetic bars assembly (stator)
Option II: multable magnetic coil-disk assembly




Fig. 10

(111) in a retro-order to the rotational direction of the interference discs (109A or 109B). Depending on the number of magnet-coil assemblies three phase AC current can be obtained. The regulation and attenuation of these currents can be achieved by known electric engineering methods (Fig. 10).

The efficiency of the unit would vary greatly depending on the speed of rotation, the width of the air gap, the strength of the magnets, and the materials used to construct the coils. I used nickel base amorphous metglass in the coils in both the smaller and larger machines, which as a result, shows greater efficiency as compared to conventional core laminates. Less energy is needed to spin the balanced disc rotor while causing a larger moving magnetic field to cause induction in the stationary coils. With this effect, it is easy to visualize the advantages this design has over conventional generators and motors. New testing of the coils will be conducted after the machine goes through all the upgrades to eliminate the problems with the warping interference discs.

The Interference Discs Generator described in this document **has the potential to produce more than unity effects** by easy turning of a magnetically balanced (non-warping) interference disc. Optimizing the device with closer tolerances and correctly selected materials and incorporating internal magnetic balancing, will add to the success

and improved performance of this unique generator design.

Improvements can be made with this design while the operating principal remains the same. Looking at the photographs included you will see the 1st proof of concept generator frame is constructed mainly from aluminum, this metal was used only because it was inexpensive material and easy to work with fig. 11. Non magnetic and non-conductive materials should be used to construct the generator frame supports to eliminate the eddy current losses. All of the nuts and bolts used to hold the disc generators together worked well for making the test machines capable to have adjustable air gaps, however, they can all be eliminated with design changes that preset the gaps and tolerances to optimize the conditions of the interference disc effect.




Fig. 11

Many improvements were adopted in the next larger #2 version of the Interference Disc generator fig. 12. Phenolic materials (non conductive and non magnetic) were used in the frame supporting the magnets and the coils, thus eliminating eddy current losses within the frame support. Large aluminum E bars were used to support the magnet frame support and the coil frame support with no noticeable eddy current losses. The aluminum used is positioned further away from the coils and magnets in the larger generator so as not to be affected by the magnets. Larger more powerful neodymium magnets and I shaped transformer coils were installed and tested in the larger machine which showed an increase in output power over the 1st machine fig. 13. Using more powerful neodymium magnets in this larger disc machine created some problems with the interference discs. Not only did they warp the discs they caused them to vibrate and chatter causing the fins to hit the magnets and the coils. I increased the air gap to over half an inch and moved the disc further away from the magnets to prevent damage. The effect was a reduction of magnetic flux reaching the coils that reduced the power output. The discs must be constructed much stronger and rigid if using neodymium magnet grade 35 or greater, then the air gap can be closed so more magnetic flux will reach the coils allowing for a greater electrical output.

The wiring of the coils circuit can be constructed by known engineering methods to achieve AC or DC power, and like any conventional transformer, wind the coils with heavy wire for more amps and more turns for more volts. The coils are stationary in this machine which makes it easy to harness AC power directly from the coils without brushes. All coils that are in phase can be wired in series or




Fig. 12




Fig. 13

parallel, the number of the blocking plates on the interference disc determines the phasing of the coils.

The diagram in fig. 14, 14a shows the path of the magnetic flux movement in relation to the position of the blocking plates of the interference disc. The magnetic field in the core/coil is switching polarity as the interference disc fins alternately moves in and out of the air gaps on each side of the coil. As you can see, depending on the position of the disc, positive and negative induction takes place for AC output of the coils. John Ecklin has achieved this effect using rotating blocking plates. I have achieved the same effect using balanced rotating interference discs with multiple stacks of isolated coils and poles mounted in a circle equal distance from each other.




Fig. 14




Fig.14a

The effect I reproduced with Gary Wesley's permanent magnet motor is related to the Interference Disc generator in the fact that blocking plates are used to shield the magnetic field, only we differ on methods to balance the blocking shields. Gary Wesley used springs to carefully balance the blocking plate, and I used a different number of interference fins working with the magnets to balance the blocking plates. The magnets in my Interference Disc machine become the springs.

More photos of both Interference Disc machines are shown in fig.15, 15a and 15b below.




Fig. 15




Fig. 15a




Fig. 15b

Closing comments on the disc generator

In closing this discussion of the Interference disc generator, I would like to go over and point out some of the concepts that I incorporated into the design of my permanent magnet dynamo.

As I made further progress and completed the later stages of the Interference disc generator, it became clear to me to use certain concepts of the machine and incorporate them into the design of my multiphase permanent magnet dynamo. Some of these concepts are explained here.

I have constructed several interference discs that have a different number of blocking

shields, some with 10 blocking fins and some with 11 blocking fins and others with 12 blocking fins. They are all interchangeable on the machine to change the phase relations between all the isolated coils. The 12 finned disc will produce a single-phase dynamo with all the coils in phase, and the 10 finned disc on the machine will produce a three phase dynamo. One phase represents four groups of isolated coils, and the second phase represent the other four coils, and the four coils left are the third phase, for a total of twelve coils. All just by changing the number of fins on the interference disc and or adding more layers. Using 12 magnet poles and the 10 or 11 interference fins on the disc, the rotor discs becomes magnetically balanced and easy to rotate in spite of the load on the coils. The 12 finned disc has a larger holding force because

of the same number of fins as magnetic poles. However, it can be reduced to almost nil by adding more layers of interference disc and balance them against each other. Many in phase coils can then be added in series or in parallel to build your power levels to any desired potential.

Both machines have stationary coils, and the disc generator has 12 magnetic poles (6N and 6S), and the permanent magnet dynamo also has 12 magnetic poles (6N and 6S). The disc generator uses 10 or more fins on the interference shielding plate per rotor, and the permanent magnet dynamo has 10 or more coils around each rotor. There is the same number of interference blocking plates as there are induction coils on each of the two difference machines and the number of blocking fins and or coils are interchangeable. The back emf effects are different on both machines, the Interference disc generator demonstrates easy turning of the discs while the stationary coils are loaded, and when producing alternating current the permanent magnet dynamo rotor slows down when a load is placed on the stationary coils. Both machines produce AC power out of the coils and the Interference Disc generator has a closed magnetic circuit through the coils, and the permanent magnet dynamo has open magnetic circuit through the coils.

The Permanent Magnet Dynamo

I would like to begin this topic at this point by describing my permanent magnet dynamo machine.

I designed this machine to be completely interchangeable into

many different motor generator combinations. These combinations involve different number of magnetic poles and different number of interchangeable coil poles in the same machine, two versions are possible, one with isolated coils and another with the coils that share a common core fig. 16.

We can change the magnetically balancing effect (*holding force*) by changing the number of coil poles or (*interference disc fins*) in relation to the magnetic poles. This turns the machine into a multipurpose, multiphase, variable output, and variable frequency ac dc permanent magnet motor generator system. I first designed this unique multi purpose dynamo in 1987 after I built my third Interference Disc generator.




Fig. 16

Dynamo description:

Rotor:

It is well known that a bar magnet has its magnetic field concentrated at the N and S pole ends, and the half way line between the poles of a magnet is the neutral zone, this is the region where the magnetic field is canceled or neutralized. The *ferrous* keeper ring I designed for this rotor is mounted near the axle and it has machined flat decks so the magnets attach flush to the deck of the




Fig. 17

keeper ring. Because of this feature, flux leakage is reduced at the keeper ring and the magnetic field concentrates at the rotor poles. With the poles of the magnet positioned near the axle and attached to the keeper ring in the rotor, the magnetic field that was there is now forced to add magnetic field density to the outer magnetic poles on the rotor rim. The density of the magnetic field at the poles is now greatly increased which makes a very powerful small size rotor. The *ferrous* inner keeper ring (*active iron*) that is installed inside this rotor design, is also the *neutral zone* of the magnetic field when viewing all the combined permanent magnets in the rotor. Shown is a cut away view diagram of the rotor, fig. 17.

I utilize rare earth rectangular shape neodymium grade 35 bar magnets with the dimensions of 3" long and 1"x1" on the end poles. There are 12 bar magnets mounted 30 degrees apart in NSNS configuration, and the bar magnets are attached to a precision

machined inner keeper ring which makes the active iron the region of the middle of the magnetic field (the neutral zone). Another way to view this is to imagine two 3" long bar magnets attached together, you now have a 6" long magnet. Bend this magnet in half and install iron at the U and it now becomes a horseshoe magnet with two 3" long pole ends. It's a powerful rotor design in the fact that each of the 3" long bar magnetic poles has the field strength of a 6" long bar magnet. More poles on the rotor will allow for higher frequency ac generation at lower rpms, twelve magnetic poles on each rotor is shown in fig. 18, 19.



Fig. 18




Fig. 19

The drawing in fig. 20 and picture in fig. 21, shows twelve magnet poles in each rotor in relation to the position of the coils. Ten *amorphous* cores are shown mounted around each of three rotors before they were wound with magnet wire fig. 21. The machine I have constructed houses a total of three rotors side

by side that are mounted 10 degrees apart in relation to their *magnetic poles*, each rotor has twelve magnets installed for a total of 36 magnetic poles shown infig. 20. This dynamo concept can be constructed to any size with unlimited numbers of rotors and coil combinations to fit any power requirements.




Fig. 20



Fig. 21

It is well known that a magnet exerts a force of attraction to iron bringing them closer together, after they have come together, they are in their **static position**. A force must be exerted to either the magnet or the iron in order to pull the magnet and the iron apart, removing them from their static position. The term **static position** is used to describe the point

when the magnet and the iron bar are at their nearest point.

As in the Interference Disc Generator, this Permanent Magnet Dynamo I am describing also uses an internal magnetic balancing effect which reduces startup torque on the rotor.

In this system, one set of magnets is in their static position in relation to the coils, such as the impulse coils. Another set of magnets on one side of the rotor is not in the static position, and there is another set of magnets that is not in its static position on the opposite side. One side is half in to the coils, and the other side is half out the coils, allowing the rotor to have minimal start up torque in turning the rotor. The magnets function in two jobs, the first with its velocity is to cause induction into the transformer coils producing ac power.

The second function, is the opposite pair magnetic fields on the rotor balancing each magnet out of their static position in relation to the coil positions at any given time, they cancel their holding force which puts the rotor at equilibrium. This reduces the amount of start up energy required to rotate the rotor resulting in higher efficiency. It allows the rotor and or multiple rotors to turn easily and efficiently despite the inherent powerful holding force of the rare earth neodymium magnets. With the three rotor machine configuration, I have connected 6 impulse coils in three phase to function as the motor impulse circuits with a hall effect brushless motor controller fig. 22.

Star wound three phase motor impulse coils.



Fig. 22
Star wound
three phase motor impulse coils

Two more pictures of the one rotor dynamo option are shown with a 1hp dc motor turning the rotor with an 800 watt load on the coils, six 100 watt AC light bulbs, and two 100 watt 12 volt DC light bulbs, Fig. 25, 25a.




Fig. 23




Fig. 24



Fig. 25




Fig. 25a

The efficiencies of this dynamo are so far inconclusive with regards to producing an endless self running machine. Our research is continuing to improve the efficiency by eliminating all conventional type ac and dc motors from this dynamo, and incorporating high voltage dc impulses of very short time duration's as Ed Gray did with his technology years ago. However, a description of my early self running attempts is included near the end of this dynamo report. These tests have manifested an abundance of heat in the 24 volt dc drive motor.

Another picture of the three-rotor dynamo configuration is shown with 12 regenerating ac to dc coils, and with the motor impulse coils removed fig. 26.



Fig. 26



Fig. 26a

Another close up of the regenerating coils and three phase motor impulse coils is shown on the dynamo fig. 27.



Fig. 27

Tests to produce an abundance of heat

I have testing the machine so as the output coils are connected to regenerate a 12 volt battery as the motor is turning the rotors. I used a brand new conventional permanent magnet 1/4 hp 24 volt dc motor that is running on 12 volts to turn the 110 pound 3 balanced rotors, and or the 35 pound 1 balanced rotor version.

The regenerating output coils produce AC to DC power with no noticeable ripple on the scope. The generated direct current from the coils is connected to go back into the battery, in which the motor uses to produce the torque needed to rotate the rotor. As this happens, the regenerated dc power from the coils is again going back into the battery first, and then to the motor. The result is that the battery supplies less power to the motor when the regenerating DC current is going into the battery. The motor takes on a load from two sources, one is from free wheeling the rotor with out loading or drawing current from the coils, and the other is the load induced on the motor when the coils are loaded to produce current.

The dc permanent magnet motor has shown that during one of many switch mode tests, it consumes 15 amps at 12 volts from the battery while a chosen number of regenerating coils supply the amount of current going back to the battery. The battery supplies 50% of the amps and the regenerating coils supply the other 50% amps. This effect can be changed depending on how many open isolated regenerating banks of coils are activated and loaded.

This test shows a 15 amp draw the motor while it is turning the rotors under load while regenerating current from the coils. It may be they balance each other and both battery and coils supply 7.5 amps each that make the total 15 amps draw on the motor. This accounts for the 15 amps total load that is shown on the dc drive motor. It produces an excessively large amount of heat in the dc motor that is useable output energy. On a larger scale the heat generated in a given motor can be enormous. More accurate measurements are needed to determine the true energy in verses energy out.

However, this electric heat pump effect can be altered to produce more or less heat depending on the size and type of the drive motor, and the amount of regenerating coils that are placed under load. Cooling tubes wound around the motor with water flowing through them is a way to pull the heat out for useful applications. Hot water from the drive motor and electric power from the coils at the same time.

After running the machine, the amorphous regenerating coils remain cool to the touch whereas the conventional dc motor gets very hot with higher amps going through it. The machine also has a longer running time when the coils are regenerating the battery, as compared to running an outside equal load with the same amp draw, in that case the battery drains much faster.

This sheds light with the observation of high heat build up in the motor side (less efficient side) of the circuit while being cooler on the generator side (amorphous side) of the circuit. After hours of run time the battery

begins to drain, when this happens, the battery begins to show tangible temperature changes from one side of the battery to the other. The whole negative side of the battery remains cool to the touch (temperature drop), while the whole positive side of the battery gets warmer to the touch (temperature rise). The effect is repeatable and only happens when the regenerating coils are connected to charge the battery as it is running. It may be approximately 20 degrees difference in temperature, depending on how many regenerating coils are activated sending its current to the battery. There must be exothermic reactions and endothermic reactions going on inside the battery motor generator combination that is causing this temperature difference. The load and heat generated can be changed the on the motor from the flick of a switch that activates and loads the output coils, which in turn dumps its energy back into the battery pack or outside load. The efficiency ratio of the machine changes depending on how many and which banks of regenerating coils are activated, which again also effects the temperature difference manifesting on each side of the battery, motor, and amorphous coils.

Other tests

An Automotion dc brushless 3 phase motor controller with hall sensors was used to test the machine in fig. 26a. Tests have shown the 1hp dc motor and the three phase brushless dc motor controller will not be the answer to closing the loop on this motor generator machine. The brushless controller has a 50% duty cycle which will not manifest the electro radiant effect, and it also has a constant draw on the battery as a regular dc motor does. It is much less efficient than using very fast and short unidirectional dc impulses in the motor impulse coils to kick the rotor around. This brings the subject of Nikola Tesla's magnifying transmitter and Ed Gray's radiant energy impulse motors to light, and a possibly answer to closing the loop for a real auto-rotating machine.

Before I go further with this, I want to point out the many phase possibilities this dynamo has. If you look at the coil arrangements in the 10 coil machine, you can clearly see the coils that are in phase with each other. When a second and third rotor is installed with all the coils attached, one pair of coils on the first rotor is in phase with another pair of coils on the second rotor, and another pair of coils is in phase on the third rotor. With the ten coil to twelve magnet pole rotor assembly, the machine has five phases of isolated banks of coils. If twelve coil mounting bars are attached around the three rotors, and the three rotors are spiraled off set by 10 degrees, you will have a powerful three phase dynamo. If the rotors are not off set by 10 degrees and are lined up in phase with each other, all the coils on the machine will be in phase for a powerful single phase dynamo. The volts and or amperage can be tailored for an application by the winding of the transformer coils, and the machine produces 60hz at 600 rpm. This dynamo machine concept is also interchangeable into many other motor generator combinations, and sizes, and any volts or amps requirements, it all depends on the needs of the end user.

Cooling tubes wound around the motor with water flowing through them is a way to pull the heat out for useful applications. Hot water from the drive motor and electric power from the coils at the same time.

It is possible to have super efficiencies with this system using unidirectional hv dc impulses of very short duration's at the make brake contact at the closure of the switch.

I have acquired two of Ed Gray's radiant energy impulse motors #4 and #5 to revive an almost lost technology. I am proposing to combine a special permanent magnet dynamo with less back EMF that is tailored to produce 5kv dc under 2000rpm. This dynamo

will energize a 5kv dc capacitor bank and replace Gray's 12 volt to 5kv dc converter. The energized capacitor bank will discharge through the conversion tubes in the very same manner as in Gray's original circuit, only the capacitors will get energized from the high voltage dc dynamo instead of from a battery through an inverter. This version of a high voltage dc dynamo will be connected to and turned by Ed Gray's EMA motor, a starter motor will disengage after it begins running.

There are three banks of high voltage coils that are connected 120 degrees out of phase from each other, each bank represents 12 - 100 watt coils for a total of 1200 watts per phase. All isolated coils in each phase are wired in series to produce the high voltage dc potential to energize the capacitor bank. The isolated capacitor bank will discharge their potential through its conversion tubes in 120 degree increments each time the impulse coils are at their closes point toward each other. One isolated capacitor bank will discharge through the conversion tube with it disconnected from the dc dynamo circuit. The second isolated capacitor is at this point beginning to receive its charge from the dc dynamo, while the third capacitor phase is fully energized just before the switching circuit disconnects it from the dc dynamo for it discharge phase. This fully aligns all the isolated 3 coil phases in this permanent magnet dynamo system to the 120 degree three phase radiant energy impulse system of Ed Gray's EMA motor #5.

The plan is to eliminate all the batteries and converter and use a rotating high voltage dc dynamo in its place. Nikola Tesla used high voltage dc dynamos with capacitors as part of his magnifying transmitter to produce radiant electricity. So it seems logical to do the same thing, merging Ed Gray's radiant energy motor and our version of a high voltage dc permanent magnet dynamo. Could it regenerate a car, or power a home, and or a factory?

Shown below are pictures of Ed Gray's motors in fig 28, 29.




Fig. 28



Fig. 29

I would like to acknowledge two friends of mine who have helped make the Interference Disc machine possible, Bud Johnson 1986 shown in fig. 30 and the late Dr. Carl Reich shown 1987 in fig. 31. I would also like to acknowledge Wilbert Griffith and Brian & Rose Langan for their contribution in helping us make these projects possible, and there are others who wish to remain anonymous. Bud Johnson also an inventor, was one of the first people who I met after relocating to Alberta from the NWT, he made arrangements for us to construct the first disc machine in a machineshop.



Fig. 30
Bud Johnson



Fig.31
Dr. Carl Reich

Dr. Carl Reich was a pioneer in the alternate medical field and he published a book along with Robert R. Barefoot called "The Calcium Factor", copyright 1992. Through Carl's dedication and hard work with alternate health and energy, Dr. Carl Reich contributions have also helped made the Interference Disc machine and our Permanent Magnet Dynamo possible.

I also would like to thank my wife Jan Francoeur for being part of making this research possible, she has spent countless hours to prepare this report and assist in the reconstruction of these machines.


The test results and hypothesis I have written are not complete, and the research and development of the described magnetic energy machines is on going, and as such, the potential and performance and true efficiencies of these machines are still yet to be determined. This author knows that the aether is real and free energy systems are possible, and I will continue to work until I have completed the integration of Ed Gray's

radiant energy motors with our larger version dc dynamo machine. When this happens, a new round of testing will begin with our attempts to run the two machines together in closed loop regenerating mode.

I hope I explained these energy machines in a way that you all can understand, and more information will be released as progress is made. ***You may find this information useful with your quest to be energy independent. However, if you profit from any of my ideas I have described in this writing, then in all fairness, please remember to reward the inventor so research and development can continue.***

This is my gift to humanity.

Interesting Information



A NEW PARADIGM FOR TIME

EVIDENCE FROM EMPIRICAL AND ESOTERIC SOURCES

Donald Reed, USA
1032 Borden Road, Depew, NY 14043
Email: torsionpower@yahoo.com

The author of this article presents an experimental approach to the teleportation problem and time pace control and space regularity questions.

Part I of II

ABSTRACT

The following essay seeks to establish awareness, through a far-ranging careful examination of various empirically documented anomalous research results in the field of new energy, the long-suspected evidence provided by associated key legendary secret government project work in the USA and former USSR, maverick new theoretical models in foundational physics for elementary particles/fundamental electromagnetic wave-field structures, as well as remarkably corroborative related information from esoteric (psychically channeled) sources, of the necessity for the development of a new paradigm for mass, energy and especially time. After having been guided through this process, the reader will hopefully be motivated to acknowledge the importance of this imperative for a new understanding of the workings of nature, as well as gain hints for the associated future development of new viable sustainable energy sources and related technologies.

Introduction

When we come to examine the annals of physics over the past century, we find them replete with several competing core theories

of the physical world, each attempting to demonstrate a unified conception of space, time, energy and matter. Notable among these, the most successful and formally canonized in academia, are special and general relativity, and quantum theory, the latter of which includes quantum electrodynamics and quantum chromodynamics as recent offshoots.

However, even these fundamental theories have fallen short in achieving this coveted goal, due to many reasons, not the least of which is their failure to account for the anomalous but substantial documented evidence continually presented over the years by new energy research and other related empirical evidence considered outside mainstream science. In this author's opinion, these weaknesses in current physics and its relative ignorance and/or selective omission of the findings of non-orthodox scientific research, stem primarily from an ill-conceived institutionalized conception of **time**, as an immutable linear flow against which everything involving **change** can be measured. This "relational" concept of time treats it as a specific passive property of physical systems and changes happening to them. It is a one-dimensional continuous and homogeneous entity geometrically describing the property of duration. This antiquated relational view of time is abstracted from our unique prejudiced viewpoint as sentient beings whose particular point of waking conscious focus is this camouflage physical reality, which is apprehended through the limitations circumscribed by the physical senses.

Accordingly, modern physics is built on the basis of this relational conception of time. However, the use of this conception has not

so far resulted in resolving all the problems associated with time. Moreover, so far even an essential definition of time has not been formulated in physics, there are only operational definitions indicating different methods of measuring time intervals.

In contrast, the "substantial" conception of time, advanced in this paper, implies that time is an independent phenomenon of nature existing side by side with matter and physical fields, whose active essence can and does affect objects and processes occurring in the universe. Moreover, the following dissertation argues, by positing that active substantial **change** is the basic concept and relational **time** is its derivative, that those objects and processes can also have a reverse action on time.

Accordingly, we will attempt to show, through a wide-ranging examination of new energy research and other information, the necessity for the establishment of a fluidic, elastic, field nature for true active time which is malleable; one in which even the local pace of time, and mass and energy content can be influenced artificially by intelligent technological control, or naturally by conscious intent, or by a combination of these two methods. To help motivate the reader's awareness for this imperative, we will also examine several esoterically-based sources from key selected psychically channeled transcripts. These will be taken from the following sources: unpublished comments by Jane Roberts on an altered state of consciousness[1] (indicated in this manuscript by J.R.), or published transcripts from **The Seth Material** and **The "Unknown" Reality** (indicated in the manuscript by UR)[2], published chronicles from the entity Kryon, channeled by Lee Carroll [3], and concepts from Wilbert Smith's legendary discourse: **The New Science** (NS) [4]. For easier reference, all psychically channeled transcripts will appear in italics, with my additional explanatory comments in regular type within parentheses.

Granted, our unique investigative foray, ranging as it does from the concrete arena of knowledge represented by the cutting edge

of visionary science to the intangible arcane realms bordering on the spiritual, will of necessity lack the exacting logic of scientific rigor.

Nevertheless, we hope to provide, through just such a unique eclectic format, the beginnings of a possible fresh understanding of the workings of nature and perhaps ultimately furnish a conceptual basis for extending the structure of current physical theory to compatibly encompass the elements of a unified framework of physics and metaphysics.

As "unscientific" as this proposed venture may appear, especially to the contemporary physicist or theoretician, we unabashedly press on, secure in the belief that the currently perceived "mutually exclusive" bodies of knowledge defined through psychic means and that of orthodox physics are more closely linked than is currently suspected. Indeed, it will be demonstrated that that some of the psychically defined data bears a striking resemblance to the tenets of present empirical knowledge.

New Research

Theories Indicate Necessity for Novel Time Concept

A body of work which postulates a fluid-field substantial nature for time, is the esoterically-based book written by Wilbert Smith in the early sixties, **The New Science**. Here, Smith outlined a unified theory of all physical interaction by positing that an active mass-free field energy he termed the *tempic field* exists, and is the parent field structure out of which our passive relational linear clock time emerges. To be sure, this book and its specific format of exposition has its weaknesses, not the least of which is a writing style which taxes one's comprehension to the limit. All researchers who have attempted to connect Smith's knowledge with the frontiers of current scientific knowledge, new energy research, etc., have been frustrated by his introduction of terms which may or not have the same meaning as the corresponding terms in mathematical science. This practice

causes many passages to appear so vague as to cause total bewilderment on the part of the reader.

...the establishment of a fluidic, elastic, field nature for true active time which is malleable; one in which even the local pace of time, and mass and energy content can be influenced artificially by intelligent technological control, or naturally by conscious intent...

In coming to investigate the source of Smith's theory 25 years ago, I came into contact with Kenneth Killick of Canada. Killick was the individual who served as the original mentor and for a time a colleague of Smith in the mid-1950's. Smith, whose background was in electrical engineering, found it very difficult to accept or understand the philosophical overtones of Killick's thought. Consequently, he resorted to the use of psychic mediums to try to verify the information provided to him by Killick. This is the origin of Smith's association with discarnate entities known to those familiar with his work as "the boys topside". Thus Smith's subsequent writings promote such confusion perhaps because he only obtained a partial understanding of these cosmic ideas, and he opted to writing in a kind of code basically to try to hide his own confusion. Through my own association with Ken, I discovered that The New Science is able to be put into reference with known facts once the spiritual-philosophical elements of Killick's teachings are duly integrated. When this is done, "Wib" Smith's book can teach much and can become a cornerstone to new energy science. Otherwise, it remains at best, a fragmentary enigma.

The work by Smith and Killick is pertinent to the theme of the present paper, since they both showed through experimentation with a special caduceus-wound coil, that so-

called relativistic parameters of mass and gravity, energy, and time-flow, can be altered through intelligent artificial control. Adequate delving into some of the elements of these theories will enable us to glean new insight for transforming the current paradigm of time. Further details on Ken's so-called tachion energy theory can be found in this author's earlier expositions on this subject in issues of **Energy Unlimited** (1978-1982)[5].

Smith's main error is in postulating a fundamental "spin" dynamics based upon conventional continuous rotation. As Killick points out, such a concept of spin on the microscopic level, precludes any possibility of true evolutionary change, in the cosmic sense.

Also, as we shall see presently, the continuous spin concept obviates expression by anything in the universe, of its personal moral responsibility. However, with tachion energy theory intact and untrammelled, the impersonal dualistic concept of interaction between two polar entities, such as is expressed not only in ordinary classical Newtonian physics, but its 20th century successors, relativity and quantum theory, is supplanted with the omnipresent workings of a **trinity** at all levels and manifestations of reality.

Indeed, the fundamental tachion field (mass-free) energy has **three** components: two polar opposite entities (a positive "charge" and a negative "charge"), and the ability for these two to be in what is called "static-dynamic balance". The static-dynamic balance completes the trinity.

A simple physical macroscopic manifestation of static-dynamic balance can be seen in two people arm wrestling. As the energy of one participant is brought to bear against that of the other, we will see a vibration or oscillation once a balance of their energies is obtained. As more effort is expended, the vibrations will increase in frequency and decrease in amplitude. At this point we will see a static state relative to the two arms; neither causes loss of arm position of the other. But simultaneously we also have a dynamic situation in the rapid oscillation which

maintains, and is in turn sustained by the muscular energy which produces the static state of the arms. Both states mutually support each other's existence. The two component entities (the arms) resonate together, but without losing their own identity.

The implications of this last statement, missing in current physical theory, is unique to the system of tachion energy. We will see in the following that the dynamic functioning of these sub-atomic tachions is such that by their inherent ability to manipulate space and the time-frame of matter through static-dynamic balance, they do not harm anything else in their environment, nor are they affected (forced to lose their own identity) by the ambient environment. Accordingly, inertial mass is not an inherent component of tachion energy, but is a derivative of certain tachion field modes.

We spoke earlier of tachion "charge". We keep the word in quotes to underscore that this is not to be equated to electrical charge, but merely signifies two polar energy states of the primordial ground-form, out of which all known physical forces come to be manifest. These tachion energy states can be defined in terms of "rotational" motion. Again "rotation" must also be placed in quotes as it

does not signify the common idea of continuous spinning. Such a concept was suggested above in connection with evolutionary progress in its cosmic interpretation.

The tachion-pair could be described as executing a "back-and-forth" ratcheting movement. The tachion-pairs are analogous to the ends of a drum majorette's baton. But unlike the twirling motion of the baton, the tachion-pairs do not demonstrate the classic macroscopic continuous spin motion, but oscillate in a 3-component "clocking" action.

In Fig. 1 we have drawn a circle and have divided it into three 120 degree arcs. The first motion in the tachion cycle is an arc from the 0° - 360° location, to the 240° degree position. This movement is stated philosophically as the question, "Can I go?" In the second phase it swings back from the 240° degree position to the 120° degree point, and then returns to the 240° degree position. This graphically represents an analysis of the first question, and can be phrased as the "Let me think" component. Finally, then it proceeds forward another 240 degrees taking it past the 360° degree point to 120° degrees. This last stage is the action motivated from the analysis in stage two, and is entitled, "Yes I Can". In this activity it will be observed that all path lengths are 240 degrees.




Fig. 1
The 3-Component Tachion Movement

However, as representative of true evolutionary progress, the tachion-pair does not remain confined to the plane in its 3-phase cycle. After each "Let me think" stage, the entire pair unit could be considered to advance "upward", perpendicular to its plane of "rotation". The

combined motions can be viewed as an elevation up an inclined ramp, screw-fashion (Fig. 2).




Fig.2
Tachion "Ratcheting"

Thus, while they clock themselves backwards in the plane, they never go back to where they were originally but only seem to do so from the point of view of an observer in the plane. This entire activity can be visualized as a

diametrically opposed pair "rotating" in a plane, but there must still be considered an upward ratcheting movement. They execute the clocking motion simply because they adhere to the three principles of observation, analysis and motivation. A meaningful application of this idea to the structure of physics would eliminate the necessity for a totally impersonal type of interaction governed solely by force. Unlike all current theories of elementary particles, the tachion-pair, by its unique activity, thus expresses a personal moral responsibility. So this elemental energy of the universe never goes where it is not wanted, but only where it will not disturb its immediate environment.

The topological structure of each tachion in the pair is also significant in regards to this "ethical" modus operandi. First, tachions in their primordial state are massless, toroidal shaped fields which always occur in pairs. Like toroids, tachions will singly exhibit three motional degrees of freedom, to wit;

1. rotation around its major axis.
2. inner (P), or outer (N) rotation about its cross-sectional (poloidal) axis.
3. expansion and contraction of the field (each toroid pulsates radially about its cross-sectional axis).

As a pair-unit, the combined motions will give rise to the following possible orientations: two identical (N) rotations; (N) rotation - (P) rotation; two identical (P) rotations (Fig. 3).




Fig.3
The Three Tachion Modes

The dual (P) or (N) rotations will always lie in the same plane, whereas in the "mixed" rotation mode, the orientations of the tachions will be mutually perpendicular. In the pair unit, the energy will shuttle

back and forth between the tachions by virtue of their harmonious expansion and contraction. The contraction of one pair partner is immediately compensated for by an equal amount of expansion in the other. When one is fully expanded and the other completely contracted, they will reverse roles executing the second portion of the cycle. There will be two points in the clocking cycle where the tachions will share an equal amount of energy ("field equity") stage. In each cycle of a tachion-pair the field equity stage is passed twice, a fact which is significant when topology of the field dynamics is considered (see [5]). Now, looking at the field geometry for the tachion-pair unit at the two field equity stages of the cycle, we observe that the two geometries are not identical but are *mirror images* of one another via a non-orientable field structure. See Fig. 5, which shows the isomorphism between the dynamics on a Moebius band (a non-orientable topological structure) and the tachion pair clocking cycle.





Fig.5

This suggests the remarkable idea that the space time points that the pairs generate are not, as commonly assumed, identical, static, and bereft of intrinsic character, but exhibit *enantiomorphic* topologies, which by virtue of their mirrored relationship exert upon one another a potential for dynamic interaction. For now we present a second diagram to illustrate the three pair energy modes: N-N, N-P, P-P, as well as one sequence of oscillation of the N-P pair (Fig. 4).

a) Three types of pairs:



b) Stages in clocking cycle of N - P pair (I thry V)




Fig.4

In this figure we note a unique trinity of field operation: two stages of maximum field disparity (I and III) connected by the intermediate condition of field equity (II). Upon postulating the additional requirement that the pair will create a new space-time location every time the field equity state is reached, we see that with each cycle the unit will not return to its original state with respect to the environment, but will advance to new evolutionary states with each pulsation. In accordance with the above comments, the tachion-pair unit "ascends" the evolutionary spiral via this unique action we have termed "clocking" motion. In accordance with the description in Fig. 4A, in Fig. 4B, stage I represents "Can I go?", stage

II represents "Let me think", and stage III represents "Yes I can".

Now, in this regard, the previous analogy of the tachion-pair as likened to the ends of a baton, where the baton rises and falls as it rotates, is quite apt. However, unlike the ends of the baton tachions are not rigidly connected but possess much freedom of movement outlined above. On the other hand, much like the baton ends, they are mutually supportive of each other's activity and requirements, never seeking to overpower, or to be eclipsed by the identity of their partner. In this manner they exhibit personal moral responsibility, as we have previously stated. By their mutual

harmonious action tachion-pairs will progress in a true evolutionary fashion, while never harming anything else in the universe by their action.

...the dynamic functioning of these sub-atomic tachions is such that by their inherent ability to manipulate space and the time-frame of matter through static-dynamic balance, they do not harm anything else in their environment...

Now, the above is an inherent characteristic of tachion-pairs as long as they go about their business individually- that is, in their pre-physical state. Now, when the three fundamental tachion-pair energy modes described above exhibit a slow clocking frequency, there is opportunity for them to join together whereupon we begin to see matter being formed. The element of mass, or inertia is provided by the binding activity of the (N-P) mode, which is a function of the slow oscillation of the pair unit. Besides the clocking frequency, the factor of inertial mass or density of physical substance is also dependent upon the amount of separation between the two pair components in each individual sub-atomic tachion-pair unit. In general, the denser or more massive an object is, the slower its microscopic tachions will clock, and the farther apart will be the individual toroid components. This is a classic push-pull situation, i.e., there is a key inverse static-dynamic balance relationship between tachion-pair pulsation frequency and their area of operation (density of field). The rest mass that any physical substance displays to macroscopic observation, is thus a direct function of the amount of energy supplied by the master field to sustain the oscillation of the pair-unit. Thus, a primary feature of tachion dynamics is the following: if we change the energy, we must expect to observe a corresponding change in the manifestation of the mass, and vice versa.

Consequently, in tachion dynamics there is a built-in interchangeability of energy and mass, an idea which concurs with similar conclusions in Einsteinian relativity (notably, $E = mc^2$).

But here is where tachion theory and relativity part company. We have seen that our postulated "clocking" pulsation of the pair is the factor, which determines both space and time. Both **space** (area of pair operation), and **time** (frequency of pulsation) are mutually dependent on what **we shall call the master field of thought and intention, which determines the clocking frequency.** From the dynamic influence of the master field arises subsidiary conditions of time and space which are truly "fluid" qualities of tachion operation. This is indeed consonant with the assumptions of a proper unified field theory in which all the factors of our objective world as apprehended by the physical senses, are subsumed under the relative state of a guiding master field. Moreover, under the geometrical constructs of such a theory neither space nor time should be subservient to the other but should retain their unique qualities in a mutually supportive relationship. This is guaranteed in tachion theory under the fluid give-and-take of the pair operation. Relativity, on the other hand, despite its logical consistency, does not meet the above requirements. To his great credit Einstein recognized the need to integrate time with space in a synthesis that would retain the distinctive qualities of both. The result was a theory, which extended the classical Euclidean concept of 3-dimensional space as a kind of "cosmic container" of matter, to embrace a structure of space, which arises from the laws interrelating its material content. Thus, for instance, in his General Relativity theory gravitation became interpreted as a distortion of the spatial fabric by sources of mass-energy in a non-Euclidean framework. Unfortunately, as a foundation of his theory, Einstein mistakenly assumed a subservient role of time to space. He tried to "freeze" time down into spatial-like dimensions utilizing metric equations, which were variants of a basic form. Before a true understanding of

gravitation is developed, the rationale for applying such metrics to this end should be seriously re-appraised. In short, instead of freezing time down into a spatial dimension in order to make it fit a world ruled by nothing but gravity, we must develop a conception of space sufficiently fluid to let true time have its proper place therein.

These and other similar ideas are articulated by Andrea and Paulo Correa in their recent insightful essay on the various serious shortcomings of relativity theory. They write: "Time is not treated by relativity as distinct in nature or in quality from the set of topological locations or lengths between points. Whether in the SR (Special Relativity) form of a flat Minkowski spacetime or in the GR (General Relativity) form of a curved Riemannian spacetime, the essence in relativity lies in its treatment of time as the fourth length of a 4-dimensional space. As the actual metric of a length of time is not fixed, only the intervals being invariant, one can no longer speak of the reality of timelines or of synchronicity" [6].

In their second installment, the Correias even imply the existence of a mass-free energy, which is an integral element of the Killick tachion energy theory: "From a strict physical viewpoint, only an energeticist position can make sense. While space and time may be considered to exist outside the function of matter, independently from it, *they cannot be conceived outside the function of energy*...the field remains conceptualizable (in Einsteinian relativity) only by the pseudo-Riemannian manifold, which, on its own, fails to analytically treat the difference in dimensionality between Space and Time, fails to differentiate between them as distinct manifolds, and fails to account for them as the intrinsic properties of energy in flux".

The tachion theory is such a model that delineates the functions of time and space in terms of a basic mass-free energy substratum. Now as we have seen, since tachion dynamics pre-supposes a static-dynamic balance feature connecting frequency of the pairs (fundamental time elements) with their area

of operation ("fluid" space configurations), it represents such a foundation upon which to construct such a theory which will harmoniously treat time and space on an equal footing without neutralizing the unique structural features of each. Since the decrease/increase in the clocking frequency of the tachion-pairs will result in a corresponding increase/decrease of area of operation, the local *pace of time* will also decrease/increase at that space-time location. This is due to the push-pull relationship between energy and time. The intrinsic energy of a tachion-pair will be defined to be its energy of "motion" (energy in the "convergent", pre-physical state). Thus we see that an increase/decrease in the clocking frequency also implies that the intrinsic energy of the pair will also follow suit. Consequently, the intrinsic energy available for a manifestation will increase/decrease as time pace allotted for this purpose decreases/increases. Moreover, the product of intrinsic energy and time interval will always be constant due to the perfect trade-off between both factors in the tachion clocking action. This relationship is remarkably similar to the quantitative statement of the Uncertainty Principle in quantum mechanics in the form: $E \cdot t = h$. This formula states that the product of the energy exchange of a quantum mechanical particle and the time interval required for that exchange to take place, is equal to the so-called "quantum of action", or Planck's constant.

Thus, as a concomitant of tachion dynamics, which is argued could also be the basis of electron spin geometry among other things (see [5]), *time* is made malleable; no longer continuous, or rigidly uniform as in Newtonian conceptions, or subservient to space as in Einsteinian relativity, time "flow" can go to zero, and can even reverse under the application of certain electrodynamic field configurations such as provided by the caduceus coil and other devices [5]. With tachion dynamics even the laws of causality will no longer hold. This is due to the fact that tachions have a much broader field of operation than the purely physical realm. Thus, many unusual demonstrated features relative to quantum theory, such as the principle of Indeterminacy, quantum non-

locality (EPR experiment), "entangled particles" as well as hypothesized qualities of an *aether* of space, can find their source in this microscopic non-linear electrodynamic clocking oscillation attributed to the tachions. In fact, the ultimate expression of time as well as space to our sensory perception, could be a direct function of these substratum pulsations. From this consideration there emerges a new dynamic model for **fundamental time** as a "fluid-elastic" field effect arising from the unique ratcheting oscillatory motion of tachion-pair entities. Ultimately, it will be seen that tachions may even form the structural essence of consciousness itself, thus providing the long sought-for unifying link between physics and metaphysics.

N. Kozyrev

Other Recent Russian Research Implying New Dimensions for Time

Another key researcher who postulated an active substantial role for time was the Russian astrophysicist Nikolai Kozyrev [7]. He argued that the gap between the exact (physical) sciences and the natural sciences will not vanish until the principle of causality differentiating cause and effect is placed at the basis of the exact sciences. This implies the objective directionality of time or its flow. We encounter numerous manifestations of this principle in our life and in natural science. Yet this principle is new in both mechanics and physics as a whole. The natural scientist's time is not Minkowski's geometrical time, nor is it the time of mechanics, theoretical physics, etc.

Nikolai Kozyrev argued that the gap between the exact (physical) sciences and the natural sciences will not vanish until the principle of causality differentiating cause and effect is placed at the basis of the exact sciences

As Kozyrev argued, mechanics has been using only the "geometrical" property of time, its duration size, i.e., the intervals between the events under study. Timed, these intervals acquire the same passive properties as the interval measured between two points in space. It is only this property of time that the objective sciences assumed to be existing objectively, postulating that all other time properties are subjective. However, if we want to differentiate causes and effects, in reality the *flow of time* must be a physical quantity, duly expressed mathematically and entering the equations of mechanics. The physical meaning and mathematical expression of the flow of time can be obtained from the space-time properties of causality. Thus, processes in the world occur not only in time, but also *with the aid* of time. Time flow is an active property owing to which time may act on a material system. Consequently, it is in the properties of time that the source maintaining the vital processes of the world must be sought.

From these arguments, we can also derive a fundamental property of time. Let us assume that we have changed by a certain technique the flow of time in a material system. In this process we have perhaps changed the stresses within the system and hence its energy.

However, it is impossible to change the total amount of motion of the system, i.e., to obtain a momentum equivalent to an external action. In other words, time may carry energy but no momentum. Time is a non-momentum material reality. From the above property of the flow of time, Kozyrev concluded that it follows directly that the rotation of a body may be used as a device for changing the flow of time in a system. Time flows into the system in the cause-effect direction, the rotation increases the flow, and hence the system obtains additional energy.

In attempt to verify his theories, in the 1950s, Kozyrev thus performed experiments with spinning gyroscopes and discovered, in agreement with his predictions, that the weight of the spinning system changes slightly depending upon the magnitude of the angular velocity and the direction of

rotation. Although the effect detected was not large, the nature of the arising forces could not be explained by existing theories. By applying a vibrating framework to the gyroscope, Kozyrev also found that not only does time deliver an energy, but that near the cause-effect system *time density* changes. Time is rarefied near the generator and becomes denser near the receiver. In other words, time becomes stretched by cause and compressed by effect. In addition, the variation in time intensity is in inverse ratio to the first degree of the distance. This can be seen by the following consideration: Time is expressed by rotation and hence involves planes passing through a pole of the rotating system with any orientation in space. **In the case of lines of force issuing from a pole, their density decreases in inverse ratio to the square of the distance, while the density of planes can readily be shown to decrease with the first degree of the distance.**

Kozyrev discovered another property of time when investigating irreversible processes such as crystallization of a substance, condensation, or evaporation. This influence can be effected by any irreversible process and is registered by a measuring system without any preliminary excitation, i.e., when the cause and effect positions are not separated. In other words, apart from flow and density, time seems to have another property, causal orientation, resembling in a way the polarization of light [8]. This property proves to be so variable that even the sign of the observed influences may change and hence the reciprocal cause and effect positions become reversed.

Continuing his studies on the properties of time, Kozyrev (with V.V. Nasonov) conducted astronomical observations using a receiving system of a new type. When the telescope was directed at a certain star, the special detector positioned within the telescope registered the incoming signal even if the main mirror was shielded by metal screens. This fact implied that electromagnetic waves (light) had some component that could not be shielded by metal screens. When the telescope was directed, not at the visible but at the true position of the star, the detector

registered a signal that was much stronger. The registration of the true position of different stars could only be interpreted as the detection of star radiation that had velocities billions of times the velocity of light. It was concluded that the radiation from stellar bodies hence had a component, which was non-electromagnetic. Kozyrev also found that the detector measured an incoming signal when the telescope was directed at a position symmetrical to the visible portion of the star relative to its true position. This fact was interpreted as a detection of the future positions of stars [9].

From the 1960's onward, additional Russian research groups continued and expanded upon the work began by Kozyrev on the unique non-electromagnetic radiation that was apparently a concomitant of all rotating bodies, whether accompanying macroscopic spinning objects of great mass (cosmological or terrestrial), or at the quantum level exhibited by elementary particles possessing recorded anomalous spin features which were not accountable by standard theories. This emanation which was exhibited by rotating bodies was termed torsion radiation [10]. Subsequently it was learned that this unique radiation was a part of all living or inert substances. This so-called "torsion field" research was carried on mainly in secret in the former Soviet Union, and only became known to the Western nations after the fall of the Iron Curtain. Many effects attributable to alterations in time and gravity have been reported through this research. For more information on this topic the reader is directed to this author's review in reference 10 and references therein.

Part II of II

Summary of Part I

It is the intention of this multi-part dissertation to motivate an imperative for considering the eventual establishment of a more expansive paradigm for the phenomenon of time, one which views time from the "substantial" as opposed to the orthodox "relational" standpoint. The

substantial concept of time implies the existence of an **active** essence which can and does affect objects and processes occurring in the universe. Furthermore, approaching time from such a fundamental basis implies that by positing active substantial change as the ground form **prime mover** and relational time (**passive** "clock-time", or entropy changes) as its derivative, that those objects and processes can also have a **reverse** action on time.

Towards this end in Part I (hereinafter referred to as I), we considered the key research of the late astrophysicist N.A. Kozyrev who, in carefully controlled experiments which were subsequently successfully replicated, recorded phenomena which have hitherto been unexplainable by standard contemporary received knowledge-whether from the standpoints of Newtonian, Relativistic or Quantum physics. Kozyrev pointed out that only by considering time as an active essence, could these cited "anomalous" phenomena, which are virtual conundrums from the viewpoints of a relational (passive) concept of time, be duly formulated on a logical basis. Accordingly Kozyrev's associated "causal mechanics" incorporates an objective directionality of time flow, implying differentiation between "cause" and "effect". **Consequently, by applying a thermodynamically irreversible process to a physical system (example: vibration to a spinning gyroscope), the rotor in this instance would be observed to lose (or gain) a small but detectable percentage of its weight, depending upon the direction of rotation.** Moreover, in this process **linear momentum** (linear speed of rotation) is not changed, but energy is nevertheless delivered to the system by additional forces directed along the axis causing a change in **angular momentum**, and that near the cause-effect system of the irreversible process, **time density** is altered - rarefied near the generator and concentrated in proximity to the receiver. Kozyrev concluded that time is a non-momentum material reality.

Other experiments of an astrophysical nature showed that registration of the true

position or future position (as opposed to visual-present-position) of different stars via the non-electromagnetic component of star radiation, demonstrated the existence of an energy essence, which was apparently instantaneous, or had a propagation velocity of at least a billion times the velocity of light. In this regard, Kozyrev opposed the conventional astrophysical paradigm, which posits energy generated/emitted by stars is a physical consequence of thermonuclear conversion. Instead he maintained that via rotation, stars converted time essence into non-physical (or pre-physical) energy. The latter was nevertheless detectable on Earth by transduction through reflecting telescopes shielded by metal screens, of stellar radiation by a Wheatstone bridge. Hence the "impact" of time was detected by resistor electric conductivity changes (see I and references therein).

Leaving no stone unturned in our investigation, we also took up a discussion of the related Killick-Smith tachion energy model for fundamental (pre-physical) reality. Here we coined a new term- a **trinitivity** of action, which was underscored as a key feature of the operation of tachion-pair entities. Accordingly, we posit the existence of two polar topologically orthogonal toroidal fields, with the unique ability, by the mutual shuttling back-and-forth of their energies, to exist in a third auto-generated state called "static-dynamic balance". Now, since tachions are hypothesized to transfer energy instantaneously, inertial/gravitational mass is not a primordial component of tachion operation (see I). Here we see a striking similarity to the Kozyrev conception of the operation of time in interaction with a physical system - where energy changes are manifested without linear momentum alterations delivered. Likewise, the Smith book, **The New Science** (see I) treats **the hypothetical tempic field as a scalar quantity, which only has direction in relation to its distribution (or "density" change). Only through establishing what Smith termed a tempic field gradient does the tempic field possess vectorial nature.** Similarly,

Kozyrev viewed time like space as possessing both geometrical and physical properties. The geometric property of time is duration (its scalar component), while physically the course of time is similar to vectorial field propagation and the density of time to field intensity. Although Smith and Kozyrev apparently never were aware of each other's research, the following quote from Kozyrev reveals that they both made key profound discoveries about nature which indeed bear more than a superficial similarity: "Time density is a scalar quantity, just the one observed in the above experiments. Time density decreases with increasing separation from the process creating it. Therefore, a vector property corresponding to density gradient and tractable as time obtaining, should also be observed"[7]. However, in I we also enjoined the reader to observe caution and selectivity when attempting to separate the wheat from the chaff in Smith's book.

Accordingly, the previous ideas are substantially correct, whereas his concept of "continuous spin" of tempic field energy is basically flawed. Killick replaces this outmoded counter-productive notion with a key ratcheting motion, like the escapement of a watch, which is performed by the tachion entities composing a pair-unit. Through this unique "clocking-motion", a cycle of tachion-pair operation exhibits what could only be described as an **ethical modus operandi**, passing through the three stages of observation - reflection - action. By virtue of this 3-stage process, tachion-pairs demonstrate in their individual activity and in interaction with their environment - *personal moral responsibility*.

This is indeed an unprecedented notion which implies that a type of conscious thought process can be ascribed to tachion operation. This can be related to current notions from quantum physics, relative to quantum coherence of states - such as wave-function collapse, Indeterminacy, quantum non-locality (EPR experiment), "entangled" particles, etc. This may be an indication that in such cases, whenever the Principle of

Causality is breached or at least compromised, we may be witnessing the unique effects of the inherent conscious microscopic non-linear clocking oscillation of the tachions.

Along these lines, although Kozyrev did not report observing any effects of time energy, which could be considered as "value-motivated", he did report on an equally mysterious "after-effect" in many experiments, which could only be construed as signifying a **memory** process. For instance, it turned out that in experiments with a vibrating torsion balance (or pendulum), at points of support the emerging additional forces did not disappear when the vibration was stopped, but remained in the system for an appreciable time. Interestingly, **their relaxation times were found to be independent of the mass of a body but were dependent on its density**. The largest effects with maximum preservation times were observed on porous materials like brick or volcano tuff. In enumerating on this unusual preservation action Kozyrev observed: "...a body placed for a certain time near an (irreversible) process and then brought to a torsion balance, produced the same effect on it as the process itself...aluminum showed no memory. The largest memorizing effect for processes of both signs has been shown by sugar"[7]. Also, his colleague Danchakov later observed in conjunction with biological experiments that water with decreased viscosity due to having been subject to the action of a process, exerted a distant action on water that had not been under such an action, causing its viscosity to decrease as well.

In this second part of the current dissertation, we will examine other important related information gleaned principally from esoteric sources, referenced in I. These have been obtained from books by Jane Roberts: **The Seth Material, The "Unknown" Reality** (UR) [2], unpublished transcripts (J.R.) [1], and transcripts from the entity Kryon channeled by Lee Carroll [3]. This information is remarkably corroborative with that from Kozyrev research and the tachion energy theory. Finally, we will cap off

our exposition with a brief examination of a bold new maverick physical theory of sub-atomic electron structure, featuring variable time/mass attributes, which could be the catalyst for bringing the cited elements from esoteric knowledge and empirical physics research (Kozyrev, etc.) out from their hitherto relative obscurity, to the forefront in our ongoing mainstream investigations in probing the cutting edge frontiers of not only physics, but those of the natural sciences as well.

Other evidence

When one compares the transcripts from various esoteric sources it's remarkable how similar is their description of nature's fundamental operations at the pre-physical level. For instance, a careful reading of the Jane Roberts' channeled transcripts from the entity Seth, reveals a remarkable close connection with the particles termed EE (electromagnetic energy) units and the above Killick teachings on tachion pair dynamics. But first a word of caution to the reader. We should resist the tendency to put into the frame of reference of our sentient physical experience, structures whose essence is fundamentally non-physical. The customary physical characteristics of duality and dichotomy of fields, and the related yardsticks of mass, length and time, for the most part, may not apply in this situation.

It would be impossible, however, to formulate a comprehensive model for the EE unit dynamics were none of the factors for gauging physical reality to be able to be applied to higher dimensional realms. Fortunately, one characteristic does survive the transition to the non-physical framework- the element of **pulsation**. Seth reports in [2] how EE units, much like tachion-pairs are built up and dynamically pulsate in response to thought patterns. First, depending upon the relative intensity of the emotional energy, they will instantly be drawn together in clumps or may just as quickly disperse. Furthermore, being of a pulsating nature, these units can expand and contract. Theoretically, there is no limit to

their size or frequency of pulsation. Seth describes their operation in the following manner: **Now, there are electromagnetic structures, so to speak, that are presently beyond your instruments, units that are the basic carriers of perception. Their size varies. Several units may combine, for example. To put this as simply as possible, it is not so much that they move through space, as that they use space to move through. There is a difference.**

On this point, if our conceptions remain "earthbound" we will not perceive any difference between these actions, but once we cast our mental gaze beyond physical experience, an important distinction becomes apparent. To understand this, we need only reflect on the similar non-classical logic that is the basis for the non-orientable Moebius topology that we have seen governs the dynamics of the tachion-pair unit [5]. Tachions, whose translational movement is governed by the relative pulsation frequency, apparently enter and leave our level of reality at two times (field equity stages) during each pulsation cycle. Before leaving our plane, the tachion-pair constitute what we call a space-time point.

Upon returning to our level, due to the Moebius topology of the clocking cycle, their essence creates a new space-time point with enantiomorphic (mirror image) characteristics to the first. The resulting progress of the units in physical reality is thus manifested by a "jerkily discontinuous" movement. Summing up, since the units **are** the point they create, it can now be understood that the units **use** space (or the nature of the space-time points) to move through, rather than the case of macroscopic matter which moves continuously (or appears to) through space.

Seth continues his description of the EE unit dynamics: *Laws of attraction and repulsion are used and, in a manner of speaking, thermal qualities are involved, and this is the only hint that your scientists have received of them so far. The units charge the air through which they pass, and*

*draw to them other units. The units are not stationary in the way that, say, a cell is stationary. These units have no "home". They are built up in response to emotional intensity. They are one form that emotional energy takes. They follow their own rules of attraction and repulsion. As a magnet will attract with its filaments, so these units attract their own kind and form patterns which appear to you as perception. They are electromagnetic, in your terms, following their own patterns of positive and negative charge. In this instance, like definitely attracts like. The units are just beneath the range of matter. None are identical. However, there is a structure to them. The structure is beyond the range of electromagnetic qualities as your scientists think of them. Consciousness actually produces these emanations, and they are the basis for any kind of perception, both sensory and extrasensory. These emanations can also appear as sounds and you will be able to translate them into sounds long before your scientists discover their basic meaning. One of the reasons they have not been discovered is precisely because they are so cleverly camouflaged within **all** structures.*

*Being just beyond the range of matter, having a structure, but a non-physical one, and being of a pulsating nature, they can expand and contract. They combine qualities of a unit and a field, in other words. Since they are beyond the range of matter, upon which matter is formed, they will not follow the laws of matter, although at times they may **mimic** the laws of matter. It is almost impossible to detect an individual unit, for in its dance of activity it constantly becomes a part of other such units, expanding and contracting, pulsating and changing in intensity, in force, and changing **polarity**. It would be as if the positions of your north and south poles changed constantly while maintaining the same relative distance from one another, and by their change in polarity upsetting the stability of the planet- except that because of the greater comparative strength at the poles of the units, a newer stability is almost immediately achieved after each shifting. Even the altruistic motives of the tachion-*

pair as cited by Killick parallels similar behavior of these EE units.

Again from J.R.: The behavior of these units changes in the following manner. When a unit is in the act of combining with another, it aligns its components in a characteristic way. When it is separating itself from other units, it will align its components in a different way. The polarities change in each case, within the units. The unit will alter its polarities within itself, adapting the polarity-design of the unit to which it is being attracted; and it will change its polarity away from that design on breaking contact.

Ken Killick has described the mutual action of several tachion-pairs bonding, as the origin of standard sub-atomic particles possessing features known to modern science such as mass, charge, spin angular momentum, magnetic moment, etc. Thus, according to tachion energy theory, the electron attains its validity, and maintains its structural integrity, by virtue of relations between the component pair dynamics [see [5]]. Since for the bulk of their independent existence, tachion pairs are in the pre-physical state, we can speculate that they may actually be superluminal entities. Remarkably, J.R. echoes this view with similar notions: *...the electron achieves its validity because of these (possibly superluminal) orbital units (tachions?). What we see as an electron is not made of the same things as the orbital units, however; it is an **effect**... when you change the structure of the electron, you are changing the relationships between the orbital units. This change will require an application of energy. It may be possible to construct a chamber that would give the electron more freedom.*

*Whatever we do now in the handling of electrons is not giving them enough freedom and we are structuring the way in which they can appear. The next observation made by Seth could prove to be essential in clarifying the true nature of time: ... If electrons were given more freedom they would affect **our notion of time in perceiving them. The way the measurements (on electrons) are made forces the electron to appear***

in a particular way, but actually it's flitting around, seemingly in many places in rapid succession (pulsing in and out of physical reality). Turning to the structure of elementary particles in [2], Seth offers a similar observation in which he describes the electron as commonly perceived by scientific measurement apparatus, as merely a shadow or facade of the actual electron entity which constitutes a multi-dimensional structure.

In terms of a structural model for the electron, Seth says it would be more appropriate to view these units as composed of *interrelated fields* rather than as the current conception of a particle or a wave. Correspondingly, both of these criteria are key elements of the tachion theory, which posits the electron as composed of two-pair tachion fields. The electron achieves its stability-integrity from the interaction of these free-wheeling units.

Electron Spin and Structure of Fundamental Time

The electron "spin" feature is also claimed to be integral to the exhibit of "time's arrow" in UR [2]: *The electron spin determines time "sequences" from your viewpoint. In those terms, then, a reversed spin is a reversed time motion...electrons, however, spin in many directions at once, an effect impossible for you to perceive. You can only theorize about it. There are "electromagnetic momentums" thus achieved and maintained- certain stabilities that operate and maintain their own integrity, though these may not be "equal" at all portions of the spin. There are equalities set up "between" the inequalities...time, in your terms, then, is spinning newly backward as surely as it is spinning newly into the future. And it is spinning outward and inward (pulsing inward and outward of physical reality) into all probabilities simultaneously.*

It is vital that you understand this inward and outward "thrust" of time, however, and realize that from this flows the consecutive appearance of the moment. The thrusting gives dimension to time that so far you have not even begun to realize... This inward and

*outward thrusting allows for several important conditions that are necessary for the establishment of "relatively" separate, stable universe systems. Such a system may seem like a closed one from any viewpoint within itself. Yet this inward and outward thrusting condition effectively sets up the boundaries and uniqueness of each universal system, while allowing for a constant give-and-take of energy among them. By their unique operation, the EE-units, which form the elementary particles such as the electron, serve as the conduit for this energy flow once it is transduced by elements of thought that Seth terms "consciousness units" (CUs). Continuing in UR on this subject Seth **describes how time can be alternately created or "broken down"**: *The consciousness units serve as source points or "holes" (Black holes or White holes?) through which energy falls into your system or is attracted to it- and in so doing, forms it. The experience of forward time and the appearance of physical matter in space and time and all the phenomenal world, results. As CUs leave your system, time is broken down. Its effects are no longer experienced as consecutive, and matter becomes more and more plastic until its mental elements become apparent. These observations are corroborated by Kryon in his dissertations to follow.**

Specifically, in the Kryon channelings, further insight on this electron "freedom" is given in the context of change of frequency: *...There is something we call the electron haze which is around the nucleus of the atom. The space between the energy haze and the nucleus (which is great), varies more than you think in matter. As the space varies, the speed (frequency) of the haze must change. It is in the physics where the speed of the haze is strong and fast that you have a different time-frame than your own...you have not yet accepted that an object can seem to be stationary- yet traveling (vibrating) very fast. Not in a linear time from point A to point B, but in the electron haze of its vibrating parts.*

J.R. corroborates these comments in this dissertation: *Einstein considered relationships of this sort that hold in the far universe as one increases velocity towards the speed of light,*

but there are also "inner" situations (altered **stationary** states) that relate these quantities, and that are much easier to get into. In regards to atomic structure to allow for frequency changes, Kryon adds: *What is it that makes the distance between the parts change? This is the puzzle for the scientists. For the area between the nucleus and the energy haze although vast, is not void. It is a patterned soup of energy (the so-called "vacuum"), and it is within the pattern of this null that changes the distance, and therefore the speed (frequency) of the haze.*

Mass, gravity and time are intimately related to this frequency change in the atom. Witness the comments from J.R.: ***Time exists as an invisible dragon matter and this is interpreted as gravity. Time exerts a definite force like gravity, and this is connected with the behavior of the electron.*** However, since we always look at electrons in a certain way and gravity in a certain way, we miss the connection. And this related comment as well: *There is another equation to be discovered that expresses the relation between time, mass and gravity.* Kryon reiterates this sentiment, along with relatively detailed scientific information: *Gravity is an absolute product of the characteristics of mass and time... one of which you may change.*

*What has been missing so far in your thoughts is how gravity is related to time, and that the entire issue of gravity, mass and time is non-linear. You have yet to develop the formula of physics. It is not here yet, and we are going to give you three attributes of the formula, but without the formula. These attributes are understood but the way they relate are misunderstood. Your science is just now beginning to see the shadows of them, however. The first attribute of the formula is the ability to understand the **density** of mass. Why is the electron haze so far from the nucleus? We have told you that you can change this distance, and in doing so, the density will change in the mass that is defined by the atoms being shifted. The electron haze will have to vibrate faster (this is possibly the "freedom" referred to earlier by J.R.), the closer it is to the nucleus; when the electron*

*haze vibrates faster, its **time-frame** will shift. Therefore, the second attribute of the formula is a time-shift, and they go together. Also, be aware that you make an erroneous assumption that the actual speed (frequency?) of the electron haze must always be the same no matter what the distance. This is not understood yet either. There is a difference between speed (velocity/ translatory rate) and vibratory rate, and it has to do with the actual physical definition of the **electron haze**.*

Mass discrimination is the ability to control the density of mass. With a density shift will come a time-shift, and with a time-shift will come a third attribute... we will call the **reality of location**, or where the matter is... in which dimensional reality it goes to when shifted. Here is an axiom: **shifts in dimensionality when matter is changed in this fashion create a reality where the matter has to be to exist in its new form.** It might be inches from where you changed it or miles. That depends on how much it got changed. The difficult thing to explain to you is a concept that has not been recognized... that matter has a **reality index**, and that its core attributes are linked to where it exists in time and space. Therefore, the three attributes that must work together are **density, time-frame and location.**

Electromagnetic Field Manipulation of Cosmic Lattice and Time-Space-Matter

In regards to tapping this (mass-free) energy-haze-soup, between the atomic nucleus and electron shells, Kryon speaks thusly: *There's something we are going to call APD (atomic phasic displacement). This is a term that is going to refer to the ability to tap the (Cosmic) Lattice for unlimited energy. The Cosmic Lattice is balanced, but it is not quiet. The Lattice has astounding power. It has a flow of energy that I cannot explain to you, for there is no paradigm model for it yet in your thinking. Therefore, you would not easily understand. The Lattice has vents that we can best describe as necessary for the flow of energy. It balances the slight inequity of the*

polarity. The vents also have to do with time...you will always find two vents together. One will be prominent, the other will be secondary. You might graphically and clearly see one, but you will have to look carefully to see its partner. There are always two. This is an axiom or physical rule of the Lattice energy, and of the universe: This information remarkably parallels Killick's teachings on tachion energy pairs.

Witness this Kryon pronouncement: *Hiding within common atomic structure is a marvelous peek at something that will totally and completely mystify you, for it will seem to break all the laws of time and space. The "twins" are a pair of atomic parts that always relate to each other, and are always found in pairs. You will discover that when stimulated correctly, they will always move together as a pair. When you start separating them by distance to experiment, they will continue to move exactly together. If one's energy is converted, then the other will do the same. This will cause you to totally reexamine your ideas of time and space, for this condition will not follow the "ultimate speed" of transmission that you thought was correct...that of the speed of light. You will have discovered something that travels faster than you can ever measure. Compare this statement with the torsion energy claimed by the Russians to travel at a billion times light speed [10].*

In returning to the discussion on how to tap the Cosmic Lattice for energy, Kryon continues: *The vents are very necessary for the balance of your universal energy. The vents are also energy portals, (drains, to you), and are where the front of each Lattice cell touches the back...Like so many other physical processes, however, its going to take a tremendous amount of energy in order to unbalance even one cell's null attribute...Once you understand how to "prod" the null to unbalance itself, you will be rewarded with a steady flow of energy, far beyond what you put into it. This is accomplished since you create your own tiny "vent". An unbalanced cell creates a situation where the other cells around it will try to "feed" energy to the one that is unbalanced. This creates a tap that will pull upon the Lattice indefinitely, as long as*

your work matches the properties the Lattice expects to see. Kryon further expands on the specific method to accomplish this...Here's how it works. Two magnetic fields together, postured in the correct way- a way that is very three-dimensional in your thinking process- will create a "designed magnetic field" that is very specific.

...your math told you that there might be a possibility of invisibility and that was your goal. This was again because you did not understand that because the distance between the parts change, it doesn't necessarily mean that the overall size will dramatically change or that it might vanish...

It's one you have never seen, and does not exist naturally. Start with trying several magnetic fields postured against one another- of unequal force and pattern, and at right angles. Don't make any assumptions. Think freely. Done in the right fashion, these two fields will create a third pattern which is unique and is the product of the original two. This third custom-created pattern is the one that you want to deal with, and is the one that has the potential of manipulating the Lattice. Once you have created it, you will know of its special qualities by how dramatically it changes the physics around it. And this important admonishment is given: Here is a caution. Keep this experiment away from your body. Keep the experiment in check with your scientific methods. Go slowly. Understand what you're seeing before you go on to the next step. Do not expose yourself to any magnetic fields. Remotely conduct all energy experiments. Remember that magnetics also plays an important part within your body (DNA components).

*Here is another. Understand that if you unbalance the Lattice too grandly and too greatly, you will have a **time displacement**,*

*for the process also involves the property of **time**... One of the actual physical players in the creative process of unbalancing the Lattice is the manipulation of the time-frame of matter. **This is not time travel, but time displacement.** It is where you are actually addressing tiny parts of matter, and changing the time-frame they are in. When the inequity of time-frames meet one another (matter mixed up with differing time attributes), the result is a displacement of distance (reality of location). Although there is no horrendous danger for Earth within this time displacement, it can and will affect the local situation within the experiment. In other words, it can create a matter-distorting effect, completely stopping the experiment, and actually dislocating the parts. We are not going to say any more about this at the moment, but the more astute scientific minds reading this will go to the next obvious step... and the answer is "yes", the Lattice is also the key to quick travel of large physical objects... even of very short distances.*

Possible Documented Demonstrations of Time-Space Warping

Such fantastic transformations were possibly evident in the Hutchison experiments [11], in which metal samples were catastrophically fractured when exposed to radiation from the unique electromagnetic field effect. It was also noticed that many physical objects of different composition which were separate in space before the electromagnetic field was applied, became inexplicably embedded in each other once these objects were radiated by the field effect. For instance, **pieces of wood contained metal knives**, etc. Other metal samples were catastrophically fractured in their molecular-atomic crystal arrangement, producing a substance which was uniform and geometrically symmetrical at one end but appeared to have the resemblance of corrugated cardboard at the other.

Also, similar to Kryon's assertion of the inevitability of time-shift with mass altering

phenomena, in Hutchison's work anomalous inertial impulses were recorded on film, sometimes resulting in the complete lift/levitation of various substances of different mass. We notice also anomalous inertial impulses were a feature of the Zinsser "kinetobaric" effect [12], in which due to excitation by **sawtooth microwave impulses with very fast rise time**, a sample attached to a torsion balance, deflected the balance for days and weeks after the original excitation was extinguished. Notice the similarity between these results and those of the Kozyrev experiments, some of which reportedly featured a memorizing preservation effect on a torsion balance, etc.

We also find additional confirmation from the basically anecdotal evidence from the alleged legendary Philadelphia Experiment in 1943, which apparently caused either large time- or space (teleportation) shifts in a Navy ship. In fact, in another piece Kryon himself possibly speaks directly about the Philadelphia Experiment, providing us with further insight into important possible new paradigms for time, mass and energy: *In all your questions regarding magnetics and the massless condition, you have never asked about what happened in your year 1943. You tried to create a massless condition with coarse equipment and little understanding of what you were doing. In the process you actually did create an unstable massless condition for a moment. Its instability created a situation where, instead of a true massless condition, you received one where the time-frame changed but the parts within the sphere of the time change did not have the fine-tuned synchronicity needed for a massless object. The result was an actual distance displacement of the object instead of a true massless condition. Indeed, humans were involved on the large object and their biology was damaged greatly. Your experiment was done in an atmosphere of desperation, and your goal was flawed. For your math told you that there might be a possibility of invisibility and that was your goal. This was again because you did not understand that because the distance between the parts change, it doesn't necessarily mean that the overall size will dramatically change (or that it might vanish).*

*Although this may seem like a paradox to you, the internal mechanics of small particle behavior supports this. The change is measurable but very small, much like what happens with heat and chill... Many of you have guessed correctly that **magnetics and electricity play a critical role in the determination of the real attributes of mass...** and the magnetic variables that determine the mass product, are often working within very small particles to create the density of an object and also its time-frame. The time frame of a massless object is slightly different than your own, making you to appear slightly slower than the massless object. Its reaction to more traditional mass molecules around it is also predictable: because of the very slight time displacement, it tends to change the number of electrons within the atoms directly in contact with it. This is a clue on how to detect a massless object even if you can't see it.*

The reason you felt the object (ship) would vanish was that you were able to simulate a "vanishing" in the laboratory with smaller objects. This observation was not consistent however, so you again were in desperation to try this experiment on a larger object. The "vanishing" was an illusion and was due to a distance displacement rather than an "in place" vanishing... does this give you a clue to long distance travel using magnetics and the vibratory rate of matter?... Only one human on the planet has ever captured a true massless condition, and even this was a coarse one and lasted for only for a few moments that were uncontrollable in scope. This massless condition was created in the primitive workshop of a great electrical scientist in your culture on the American continent not too long ago. If you were able to visit his workshop, you would note the holes in the ceiling and the patched glass-covered light port where his massless objects took off and wildly flew everywhere. If he had been born 50 years later he would have been able to control the attributes of the experiment. As it was, he did not have the precision tools you have now to direct and control such an experiment. It was his great passion to understand this phenomena, but because it was so uncontrollable and sporadic, he was

never able to bring others to see it work, for he could not accurately create it consistently. This depressed him in his later years, for his was a great three-dimensional mind... When asked the identity of this scientist Kryon replied: The inventor of your multi-phase current, born in the land you now call Yugoslavia.

From the above information, there emerges a remarkably consistent picture suggesting that atomic structure may not be as rigid or immutable as formerly thought. Changing the fundamental frequency of vibration of atomic structure can perhaps apparently not only change the density of matter by altering the distance of electron shells from the nucleus, but in so doing alter the time-frame of that object, its mass and also the reality of location of that substance. Certainly, the implications of the Kozyrev research, among others, can possibly form the basis for the eventual technological implementation of these futuristic principles. Perhaps those experimenting with the relatively detailed data provided by Kryon on APD can actually realize this coveted goal.

Maverick New Theories in Physics Suggest Fluid Nature for Time, Space, Energy

The Dirac theory is to be understood as a theory of the electron with electromagnetic interactions. It consists of the Dirac wave function and its properties, including the Dirac equation and relations to physical variables such as energy-momentum, spin and position probability current. In spite of its indisputable mathematical successes, the Dirac theory is still without a completely satisfactory physical interpretation. In particular, the so-called *zitterbewegung* ("jitter motion") is a distinctive feature of the Dirac theory which continues to be the subject of conflicting interpretations in the standard scientific literature. The concept of *zitterbewegung* was introduced by Schrodinger to interpret high frequency oscillations in free-particle wave packets of the Dirac theory. These oscillations with angular frequency $2mc^2/\hbar$ arise from

interference between positive and negative energy components of a wave packet. Schrodinger interpreted the oscillations as fluctuations in position of the electron about an average motion. However, this concept has not been interpreted in the bulk of the literature as having an objective significance, but has erroneously been viewed by standard theory as a mathematical artifact of the one-particle Dirac theory which does not appear in a correctly formulated quantum field theory.

However, as noted theoretical physicist David Hestenes suggests in the first of his recent article series in the journal, **Foundations of Physics** [13], it is only by interpreting the zitterbewegung literally and objectively as point particle motion, that a complete and coherent interpretation of the Dirac theory can be achieved. Moreover, this model has implications that have not yet been previously considered by established physics that relate directly to some of the key features of sub-atomic behavior enumerated in the tachion energy theory and also in the microscopic dynamics of elementary particles revealed by the selected esoteric channelings that we have previously considered in the present exposition.

In particular, it implies that the electron is the seat of a fluctuating electromagnetic field which oscillates with the DeBroglie frequency of the electron (1.6×10^{21} cycles/sec).

Thus, it tells us that a kind of electromagnetic wave-particle duality is implicit in the Dirac theory. Of course, this contradicts the conventional view that wave-particle duality is a property of matter which is completely independent of the nature of its interactions. If the zitterbewegung is an objective phenomenon, then it originates from electron self-interaction, since it persists in the absence of external fields. In this new theory which considers the zitterbewegung as an objective physical phenomenon, the electron mass and spin can be identified with the energy and angular momentum of electromagnetic interaction. It suggests that the self-interaction is such that there exist certain stable, non-radiating but accelerated states of motion; in particular, for a free

particle, this implies motion in a circle with the radius of a Compton wavelength- the zitterbewegung. The zitterbewegung implies that some of the mass, at least, is kinetic self-energy associated with a spin, and it reconciles the mass with a zero mass of the bare electron. Thus, according to this bold new theory, the electron spin arises from a helical world-line in spacetime. To be sure, some researchers have previously postulated such a helical electron dynamics. Unfortunately, such models have failed to explain why a helical motion for spin should depend on interference between positive and negative energy states or why the zitterbewegung should depend on the way a wave packet is constructed, or even how the zitterbewegung can be the origin for electron spin despite the fact that it vanishes for plane wave states that certainly describe a particle with spin.

The Hestenes model does offer an explanation for such effects and accounts for the ubiquitous feature of spin angular momentum as a function of the zitterbewegung. The essential unprecedented feature of the Hestenes' zitterbewegung idea is the association of the spin with a local circulatory-helical motion characterized by the phase factor of the electron wave function.

Thus, we reach the conclusion that the complex phase factor of the electron wave function can be associated directly with an objective helical motion of the electron, which is, in turn, a derivative of the zitterbewegung. Although the idea of helical motion connected with the electron has been considered before, it has not previously been related to electron phase to produce a complete interpretation of the Dirac theory.

Moreover, in the next installment of his dissertation [14], Hestenes argues that the zitterbewegung is not only an objective dynamical phenomenon associated with the electron, but is a ubiquitous phenomenon, with manifestation in every area of quantum mechanics, even in the non-relativistic domain. For instance, by showing that spin angular momentum can

be regarded as angular momentum of the zitterbewegung fluctuations, the zitterbewegung interpretation of the Dirac theory begun by Hestenes in reference 13 provides an explanation for the electron spin and magnetic moment in the physical circulation of momentum and charge. It also explains the mass as the energy of this circulation. Thus, the origin of the zitterbewegung is attributed to self-interaction of the electron with its own electromagnetic field. The relations derived by Hestenes in this article suggests that the interaction is of magnetic origin, since it has the form of a Larmor precession energy if spin angular momentum is proportional to a self-generated magnetic field. The so-called "rest mass" of the electron is thus a kinetic energy of self-interaction. It is this that gives the electron its inertial properties, and the flywheel-like nature of this inertia may be the ultimate origin of spin dependence in electron scattering. And the Heisenberg Uncertainty relations can now be attributed to the "zitterbewegung fact" that an electron cannot be confined to a region smaller than a Compton wavelength. Also, the stationary states of a bound electron exhibit a resonance of the orbital frequency with harmonics of the zitterbewegung frequency, which is imposed formally in the standard theory by requiring single-valuedness of the wave-function. Evidently, such resonances, so prominent in quantum mechanics, can be interpreted as zitterbewegung resonances. This leads to a new explanation of penetration of a potential barrier by sub-atomic particles as due to zitterbewegung fluctuations in momentum, and the Aharonov-Bohm effect as a shift in zitterbewegung phase. The zitterbewegung phase factor literally represents a physical rotation. The rotation rates of this phase in time and space directions are the source of the electron's energy, mass and momentum.

In his third paper [15], Hestenes draws a closer relation of the zitterbewegung dynamics to the Dirac theory by suggesting that the latter actually describes a statistical ensemble of possible electron motions, which are actually governed by the zitterbewegung sub-

structure. Since the energy-momentum of the electron can now be interpreted in terms of a rotation rate in the spin-plane, Hestenes then derives a corresponding relation which defines a variable mass for the electron—another unprecedented idea which is in agreement with the information given by Kryon in his dissertations and Ken Killick's tachion theory. The mass m , the scalar radius of curvature r and the zitterbewegung frequency all covary with changes in the rotation rate in the electron spin-plane. Hestenes obtains a relation demonstrating that the electron mass is inversely proportional to the zitterbewegung frequency. This conforms to the relativistic concept of mass as a measure of energy content. But here, mass is concluded to be primarily a **frequency** measure. This also conforms to DeBroglie's original idea that the electron contains an internal clock with frequency determined by its mass, though for a free particle, the zitterbewegung frequency differs from the DeBroglie frequency by a factor of 2. Moreover, the new key relation derived by Hestenes: $m \cdot r = 1/2h$ (h , Planck's constant), says that this frequency measures the radius of curvature of the electron world-line, so it is a thoroughly geometrical quantity. Thus, as mass increases the radius of curvature decreases, in concert with the tachion dynamics as well. All this suggests that the electron mass relates our externally imposed time scale to a time scale intrinsic to the electron.

The reader can see that the information transmitted by J.R. is also remarkably similar in this connection. It should be evident that there is introduced a new concept of mass here, though, to a certain extent, it was already implicit in the Dirac theory. The formerly vague concept of mass as some kind of material stuff is completely gone. Also, no longer is vanishing mass a distinguishing feature of particles moving with the speed of light.

Corroborating Research on Oscillatory-Fluid Nature for Time

In his recent thought-provoking dissertation [16], Tom Bearden relates of

the dynamic influence of time on a material system: "But we can also legitimately state that 'time is energy' and be rigorously accurate...Time is extremely compressed EM spatial energy...Without further elaboration, we speak of a 'mass' in which a small portion exists as 'masstime' rather than mass, as having been 'time-charged' or 'time-excited'.

The t-polarization wave in the time dimension is quite unique: The *spatial* energy of the wave is in equilibrium and not vibrating at all; instead, the photons comprising the wave are vibrating in their **time**-components... In short, mass m is changed into masstime mt by photon absorption... Rigorously, a mass does not really 'travel through time' continuously, per se, but proceeds with an overall serial change mechanism, driven by its total virtual and observable photon interactions, as $m \rightarrow mt \rightarrow m \rightarrow mt \rightarrow \dots$ We propose that this may account for the duality of particle and wave...The particle actually oscillates at a high rate between the m and mt states... Mass 'travels through time' by an extremely high oscillation between corpuscle-like state and wave-like state". Notice how Bearden's description of the macroscopic operation of time on a physical system closely parallels Hestenes' proposed model for of the zitterbewegung dynamics at the sub-atomic level which provides the electron (and possibly photons, etc.), with a time-substructure (its internal "clock").

We can see many other places in Bearden's treatise where the unique terminology chosen can now be put into direct reference with equivalent concepts and unique phraseology employed by many of the researchers examined in the current exposition. This cross-referencing certainly provides much needed clarification about key ideas for researchers currently involved with the development of this fledgling discipline that we shall term causal mechanics, after Kozyrev's designation.

All such efforts will help to place investigative endeavors currently scoffed at by

establishment science on a firm rational foundation, as well as assist in synthesizing seemingly disparate but surprisingly related "anomalous" phenomena. For instance, to implement the science he has termed "vacuum engineering", Bearden often speaks of the necessity of establishing a "local time-stress of the **vacuum potential**". This process, which as we hope to have shown here is completely legitimate, is identical to what Smith calls creating a "tempic field gradient", Kozyrev's "alteration of time-density", or Alexander Frolov's "change in the local time-rate".

It can clearly be seen that such ideas can only be perceived as science fiction fantasy, if we observe strict adherence to all current received paradigms (even in superstring theory), which consider space and time purely from their relational standpoints. These theories must of necessity involve models employing scalable metrics for their proper description. However, once we embrace the novel view posited here that vacuum energy is primary and both local space and time are derivatives of this mass-free non-scalable dynamic non-local oscillating substratum, then we can conceive of space and time properly as complementary aspects of non-local conscious reality, neither fixed in a scalable manner or subservient to the other, but fluid and mutually interdependent features of energy in constant flux (see the related ideas voiced by A. Correa and P. Correa in I).

With this approach we will then come to acknowledge the technological feasibility of many of the "futuristic" claims made in the channeled transcripts. For instance, we can see that it will no longer be necessary to propel elementary particles to relativistic velocities, or to probe astrophysical systems possessing large gravitational fields, to recognize alterations in space, time and mass parameters, since these phenomena can equally as well be accomplished in the laboratory by modifying atomic structure of stationary matter through electromagnetic means. Once again, peruse the Kryon dissertations on this process, for it may be key to future technology.

For those who wish to further investigate these theoretical possibilities, the papers of **A. A. Nassikas** are recommended. Nassikas has postulated what very few researchers before him have theorized: the existence of a ground-form probability density function for energy, as a necessary precursor to the malleable (deformable) characteristics of time and space [18]. One of Nassikas' conclusions is significant in light of ideas articulated in the present exposition concerning the hypothetical tachion-pair model for reality (see I). Here we refer to the key push-pull oscillatory ("breathing") operation between space and time, which may be responsible for the structural integrity of matter as we know it (again re-read the Hestenes zitterbewegung model).

Researcher **Alexander Frolov** has taken Nassikas' theory even further to suggest an explanation for the **anomalous over-unity effect** that has continually been demonstrated in low-energy nuclear reactions (LENR) over the past decade [19]. In such so-called "cold fusion" cells the Palladium cathode is over-saturated with protons, producing a local imbalance in the vacuum engine, causing a local alteration in the time-frame of the experiment to compensate the local change in energy density. **Subsequently, as Frolov claims, this time-frame change converts non-local time-energy directly into heat energy, similar to Kozyrev's description of energy production by stars.** Perhaps this observation might provide the hitherto missing key in our understanding of fusion by electrolytic action.

Conclusions and Prospects

In the preceding paper it is sincerely hoped that the author has demonstrated ample evidence that will spur on researchers of a kindred spirit towards the development of new paradigms for space, energy, mass and especially time. All these concepts, as well as the foundations of current classical electromagnetic theory, are in need of a drastic overhaul before we can fully appreciate and understand the operations of

nature as it interrelates the metaphysical-mental realms with the physical level of reality.

At any rate, the continual appearance of research results, such as the Kozyrev effect, which up to present apparently resists explication in terms of conventional scientific paradigms, certainly offers clear evidence of the incompleteness of our knowledge of nature, even in this enlightened era since the dawn of the new millennium.

Accordingly, hints as to how the Kozyrev effect arises in association with the postulated active properties of time, and how the latter interacts with known physical forces, can assist us towards the development of a more comprehensive paradigm which embraces a wider spectrum of human knowledge - one in which the current conundrums rampant in the foundations of theoretical physics, and biological science disciplines as well, which have hitherto prevented the establishment of a unified model of all physical interaction, can find satisfactory solution. Also, such empirical evidence which generally flies in the face of current scientific wisdom, presents the greatest challenge to our ongoing search for new sustainable energy sources which will be of absolute necessity in the future.

In this light, if formally integrated into scientific thought, the tenets of tachion energy theory, which is based on an altruistic modus operandi, also may hold profound implications for the status of our very social, political and religious structures as well. Indeed, unlike the impersonally motivated interactions believed to be the basis for force interactions in modern sub-atomic physics, which help sustain our illusory belief in the supremacy of the ego, the philosophical basis of tachion dynamics is an able reminder of the humility of purpose which should be the guiding force whatever our walk of life. Like tachion-pairs, in the ideal social structure individuals will work side-by-side, yet achieving a common accord. United in the circle of their activity they will work together joyfully in freedom, each with his own task, yet always conscious of the common bond.

With the full import of these simple truths appreciated, tachions may afford a rational justification of the formerly "unprovable" mystical doctrine of the omnipresent intelligent plan behind the working of the universe at all levels of conscious reality. We will come to realize the profound truth of Einstein's vision of ultimate reality couched in his heretofore cryptic statement, *"God is subtle, never malicious"*.

REFERENCES:

- 1 J. Roberts, unpublished remarks as transcribed by W.H. Kautz.
- 2 J. Roberts, **The Seth Material**, Prentice-Hall, Inc. 1970. Pp. 292-298.
- The "Unknown" Reality**, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1986.
- 3 L. Carroll, **Alchemy of the Human Spirit**, (Kryon Book III), The Kryon Writings, 1995.
- Letters from Home** (Kryon Book VI), The Kryon Writings, 1998.
- Passing the Marker** (Kryon Book VIII), The Kryon Writings, 2000.
- 4 W. Smith, **The New Science**, Fern-Graphic Pub., 1964.
- 5 D. Reed & K. Killick, "Tachion Energy Theory" (Parts I-IV), **Energy Unlimited**, 1978-1983.
- 6 A. Correa & P. Correa, "Consequences of the Null Result of the Michelson-Morley Experiment: The Demise of the Stationary Aether, the Rise of Special Relativity and the Heuristic Concept of the Photon", **Infinite Energy**, no. 38, July-Aug 2001, pp. 47-64.
- "The Sagnac and Michelson-Gale Experiments", **Infinite Energy**, no. 39, Sept.-Oct. 2001, pp. 32-49.
- 7 N. Kozyrev, "On the Possibility for the Experimental Investigation of the Properties of Time", **Time in Science and Philosophy**, Prague, 1971, pp. 111-132.
- "An Unexplored World", **Soviet Life**, 1965.
- A.P. Levich (ed), **On the Way to Understanding the Time Phenomenon (Part II: The Active Properties of Time According to N.A. Kozyrev)**, WorldScientific, Singapore, 1996 (rare English language excellent critical review of Kozyrev research and theories).
- 8 M. Lavrentiev, "Detection of the Reaction of Matter to an External Irreversible Process", **Sov. Phys. Dokl.**, Mar 1991, vol. 36, no. 3, p. 243.
- 9 M. Lavrentiev et al., "Remote Effects of Stars on a Resistor", **Sov. Phys. Dokl.**, Sept 1990, vol. 35. No. 9, p.818.
- "Detection of the True Position of the Sun", **Sov. Phys. Dokl.**, Nov 1990, vol. 35, no. 11, p. 957.
- "Scanning the Celestial Sphere with a Kozyrev Detector", **Sov. Phys. Dokl.**, Apr. 1992, vol. 37, no. 4, p. 163.
- 10 D. Reed, "Torsion Field Research and Implications for New Physics and Energy Technologies" **Jour. New Energy**, vol. 4, no. 2, Fall 1999, pp. 151-164 (and references therein).
- 11 J. Hutchison, "The Hutchison Effect Apparatus", **Proc. Of the 1st Symp on New Energy**, Denver, May 1994, p. 199.
- "Inside View of the Hutchison Effect", **Extraordinary Science**, vol. III, issue 4, Oct-Dec 1991, pp. 23-26.
- 12 T. Valone(ed), **Mechanical Energy from Gravitational Anisotropy**, Integrity Research Institute, Washington, DC, 1996.
- W. Peshka, "Kinetobaric Effect as Basis for a New Propulsion Principle", **Raumfahrt-Forschung**, Feb 1974 (in German); trans. By D.Reed, **Infinite Energy**, vol. 4, issue 22, 1998, pp. 52-56.
- D. Reed, "Translator's Analysis and Comments on the Zinsser-Effect Device", **Infinite Energy**, vol. 4, issue 22, 1998, pp. 57-59.
- 13 D. Hestenes, "Quantum Mechanics from Self-Interaction", **Found. Phys.**, vol. 15, no. 1, 1985, pp. 63-87.
- 14 D. Hestenes, "The Zitterbewegung Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics", **Found. Phys.**, vol. 20, no. 10, 1990, pp. 1213-1232.
- 15 D. Hestenes, "Zitterbewegung Modeling", **Found. Phys.**, vol. 23, no. 3, 1992, pp. 365-387.
- 16 T. Bearden, **Extracting and Using Electromagnetic Energy From the Active Vacuum**, Association of Distinguished American Scientists, Huntsville, Alabama, 2000.
- "Giant Negentropy in the Common Dipole", **Proc IC-2000**, St. Petersburg, Russia, 2000 (in press).
- 17 A.A. Nassikas, **The Hypothesis and the Equations of the Unified Matter Field**, in New Ideas in Natural Sciences (Part I: Physics), St. Petersburg, Russia, 1996.
- 18 A. Frolov, **Work Created By Means of the Potential Field**, in New Ideas in Natural Sciences (Part I: Physics), St. Petersburg, Russia, 1996.



an online and published magazine about Electric, Hybrid, Fuel Cell Vehicles, advanced batteries, ultra capacitors, fuel cells, microturbines, free energy systems, events and exhibitions worldwide

63600 Deschutes Mkt Rd,
Bend Oregon, 97701
541-388-1908 fax 541-388-2750
etimes@teleport.com
www.electrifyingtimes.com
Subscription \$13/3 issues

On the Rotating Permanent Magnet System

Alexander V. Frolov, Russia

7 Lev Tolstoy Str., St. Petersburg, 197376, Faraday Laboratory Ltd.

In one of our issues we published an article about the results of the experiment conducted by S.M. Godin and V.V. Roschin. They started in 1990 at Moscow Aviation Institute (MAI) when a technical physics laboratory was created in Machine Industry Projects Institute at the "Aeropromservice" Association. Their research work was financed by private investors and was continued in close cooperation with Chair 310 of MAI, especially with Prof. PhD. L.K. Kovalev, Prof. PhD. V.V. Rybakov and PhD. K.V. Ilyushin. By 1991 "a device for inner energy of matter conversion" had been designed and tested (according to the Technical Design Assignment). The prototype was finished in the middle of 1993 (Fig.1).




Fig.1

The experiments resulted in the possibility of creating a 7 kW output power in load after the rotor was sped up to 10 rps, but in the autumn of 1993 the laboratory was closed due to the investors' financial problems. Now their research work is continuing in Moscow and we hope the scientists will obtain new experimental results.

You can email S.M. Godin for more information at: smgodin@online.ru

Considering the theoretical basis of the functioning of these systems I should suggest a number of interesting details for prospective researchers.

S.M. Godin, V.V. Roschin et. al. (Physics of Negative Viscosity Phenomena. Prof. Victor P. Starr. Massachusetts Institute of Technology) spoke of vacuum (quantum medium) as **a physical medium possessing negative viscosity**. At that rate, it is worth making assumptions that can be useful to the developers of this topic. I think that standard (positive) viscosity of matter, from the physical point of view presupposes the presence of positive friction and a "work-to-heat" entropy transformation. Consequently, **negative viscosity** should correspond to the **negentropy cycle** "heat is converted to work". This is exactly the stray heat conversion once described by Tsyolkovsky in 1914. Apparently, **the medium of the kind supports self-sustained vortices** characterized by the surrounding medium heat absorption, which was mentioned yet not explained by S.M. Godin and V.V. Roschin.

It is possible that all the elements of matter are the self-sustainable vortex structures. To mathematically describe these physical mechanisms, the notions "heat-work-heat" transformation and "time" or "gravitation" should be interrelated. Russian philosopher Pavel D. Uspenskiy had been making related research since the year 1910.




Fig.2

From the conventional point of view, this device (Fig.1) creates a circulating energy flux described by the Umov-Pointing vector (toroidal vortex) as the roller magnet field vector is vertical and the electric field is radial.



S.M. Godin (on the left) and A.V. Frolov (on the right), St. Petersburg, June 2001.

Inventor's Week Conference 2003, USA

Review is prepared by Editor Tatiana Ezhova

This year the annual Inventor's Week-end Conference was held on September 19-20 in Seattle, Washington. The conference featured several interesting inventions, including MEG.



Bill Alek demonstrated a device that he believed could let him detect Vortex-Energy. It was attached to a meter, and his belief was that if he walked into a naturally-occurring energy vortex carrying the device it would show him changes in gravitational and other energies. He cited the Vortices in Santa Cruz and Oregon as examples that might be good for experimentation.



Bill Alek

In his report "Introduction to Parametric Mass Fluctuation - a breakthrough in Energy and Inertialess Field Propulsion" Bill Alek presented the Z-Pod, developed to test a theory of solid-state parametric mass fluctuation. Here is the URL for a good overview of the device that he published online at www.intalek.com:

http://www.intalek.com/Index/Projects/SmartPAK/Projects/ZPOD/ZPOD_System03.pdf




Z-Pod, Bill Alek



Rio Von Sternberg

Rio Von Sternberg was presented as the original inventor of the MEG. He apparently has a patent on the device; however, from his presentation I understand that his configuration is somewhat different than the model that J.L.Naudin and T.E.Bearden have been experimenting with.

Alexander Peterson had a very interesting presentation on optical-mirror magnetic field systems. The crux of his presentation involved cutting precise notches into magnetic coils to separate them into identical optical-isomeric shapes. Apparently, by cutting a thin line directly down the center of the transformer-core is believed by Peterson to increase the efficiency of electric motors by up to 50%.




Alexander Peterson

Mark Plotkin, a well-known Washington DC attorney also took part in the conference. He is interested in new energy technologies and works with new technologies in collaboration with Mark Whitford at www.awetec.info. The photo of Mark shows him rotating a steel coil apparatus that was passed around the conference by Slim Spurling.



Mark Plotkin

Slim Spurling is an inventor from the Southwest, shown holding a helical-wound coil that he claims provides health benefits through an interface with zero-point energy. Spurling's belief is that this specific circumference of the coils provides a "Casimir-like Effect" that concentrates the energy.



Slim Spurling




Donald Smith

Note: Donald Smith, a well-known inventor, also took part in this year's conference. His work is described in more detail in a separate article of this issue.


Full information on the conference and reports is presented at <http://ionvalve.com/iw/2003/conference2003.htm>.

USA "Inertia" Patents


Information from www.rexresearch.com/inertial/




№ 6,290,622 (7/18/01)
Mechanical Force Generator
Murray, Lawrence D.




№ 6,259,177 (7/10/01)
Motion Imparting System
Deschamplain, Daviv




№ 5,890,400 (4/06/99)
**Apparatus for Generating
a Propulsion Force**
Oades, Ross A.









№ 5,937,698 (8/17/99)
**Centrifugal Propulsi
on System**
Kunz, William T.




№ 6,089,511 (7/18/00)
**Method & Apparatus
for Propulsion**
Rasmussen, James K.




№ 5,966,986 (10/19/99)
Propulsion System
Laul, Virgil R.







№ 5,167,163 (12/1/92)
Energy Transfer Device
McMahon, John C.




№ 5,182,958 (2/2/93)
Non-Linear Propulsion & Energy Conversion System
Black, James W.




№ 5,557,988 (9/24/96)
Centripetally Impelled Vehicle
Claxton, John C.




№ 5,782,134 (7/21/98)
Electromagnetically Actuated Thrust Generator
Bouden, James D.




№ 5,156,058
Converting Rotary Motion to Lineal Motion
Bristow, Theodore R., Jr.




№ 5,488,877 (2/6/96)
Centrifugal Inertia Drive
Lieurance, Richard L.




**№ 5,024,112(6/18/91)
Gyroscopic Apparatus
Kidd, Alexander D.**




**№ 4,801,111(1/31/89)
System for Propulsion &
Positioning
Rogers, Charles E., et al.**




**№ 4,991,453(2/12/91)
Centripetal Device for
Concentrating
Centrifugal Force
Mason, Lyle M.**




**№ 4,784,006(11/15/88)
Gyroscopic Propulsion
Device
Kethley, Lancelot I.**




**№ 5,111,087(5/5/92)
Propulsion System
Butka, Kemal.**




**№ 5,090,260(2/25/92)
Gyrostat Propulsion
System
Derloy, M.S. & Derloy M.**




**№ 4,884,456(12/5/99)
Centripetal Device for
Concentrating
Centrifugal Force
Zachystal, George J.**




**№ 4,788,882(12/6/88)
Flywheel
Fulop, Charles.**




**№ 5,042,313(8/27/91)
Conversion of
Rotational Output
to Linear Force
Montalbano, Paul J.**




**№ 5,054,331(10/8/91)
Controllable
Gyroscopic Propulsion
Apparatus
Rodgers, A.T.**




**№ 4,770,063(9/13/88)
Universal Propulsion Powerplant &
Impulse Drive Unit
Mundo, James D.**




**№ 4,856,358(8/15/89)
Conversion of
Rotational Output
to Linear Force
Montalbano, Paul J.**




**№4,744,259(7/17/88)
Apparatus for
Producing a Directional
Unit Force
Peterson, Oscar F.A.**




**№4,712,439(12/15/87)
Apparatus for Producing a Force
North, Henry.**




**№4,242,918(1/6/81)
Mechanical Propulsion System
Srogi, Ladislaw G.**




**№4,674,583(6/23/87)
Impulse Drive
Peppiatt, Alvin C., et al**




**№4,409,856(10/18/83)
Propulsion System
de Weaver, Fred, III.**




**№4,579,011(3/1/86)
Propulsion Apparatus
Dobos, Elmer M.**




№4,577,520(3/25/86)
Mechanical Propulsion System
Colla, Joseph.




№4,631,971(12/30/86)
Apparatus for
Developing a Propulsive
Force
Thornson, Brandson R.




№3,889,453(6/17/75)
Propulsion System
Mast, Oscar.




№4,726,241(2/23/88)
Continuous Force & Impact
Generator
Melnick, Harry S.




№4,238,968(12/16/80)
Conversion of Centrifugal Force
to Linear Force &
Motion
Cook, Robert L.




№4,261,212(4/14/81)
Unidirectional
Force Generator
Melnick, Harry S.




**№ 3,968,700(7/13/76)
Converting Rotary Motion
into a
Unidirectional Linear Motion
Cuff, Calvin I.**




**№ 4,347,752(9/7/82)
Converting Rotary Motion
to
a Rectilinear Force
Dehen, Frederick L.**




**№ 3,998,107(12/21/76)
Converting Rotary Motion
into
a Unidirectional Linear
Motion
Cuff, Calvin I.**




**№ 3,979,961(9/14/76)
Propelling an Object by an
Unbalanced Centrifugal
Force...
Schnur, Nicolas J.**




**№ 4,398,431(8/16/83)
Mechanical Power Transmitting
System
Melnick, Harry S.**




**№ 3,555,915(1/19/71)
Directional Force Generator
Young, Hersey W., Jr.**




№ 3,750,484(8/7/73)
Centrifugal
Thrust Motor
Benjamin, Paul M.




№ 3,863,510(2/4/75)
Inertia Engine
Benson, Everett H.




№ 3,916,704(11/4/75)
Vibratory Motion
Gaberson, Howard A.




№ 3,756,086(9/4/73)
Propulsion System
McAlister, Roy E., et al.




№ 3,810,394(5/14/74)
Centrifugal
Mechanical Device
Novak, Leo J.




№ 3,807,244(4/30/74)
Device for Transforming Kinetic
Energy
Estrade, Fernand.




№ 3,584,515(6/15/71)
Propulsion Apparatus
Matyas, Laszlo B.




№ 3,196,580(7/27/65)
Toy Vehicle Having...Self-Contained
Drive Means
Rakestraw, Robert G.




№ 3,266,233(8/16/66)
Inertia Propulsion
Device
Farrall, Arthur W.




№ 3,177,660(4/13/65)
Propulsion
Apparatus
Haller, Paul.




№ 3,653,269(4/4/72)
Converting
Rotary Motion
into
Unidirectional Motion
Foster, Richard E.




№ 3,683,707(8/15/72)
Propulsion
System
Cook, Robert L.




№ 3,182,517(5/11/65)
Variable
Oscillation System
Dean, Norman L.




№ 4,095,460(6/20/78)
Converting
Rotary Motion into
Unidirectional Motion
Cuff, Calvin I.




№ 4,087,064(5/2/78)
Orbital
Propulsion
Apparatus
Knap, George.




№ 2,639,777(5/26/53)
Method & Device for Imparting
Lateral Movement
Dull, Marshall L.




№ 2,886,976(5/19/59)
System for
Converting Rotary Motion into
Unidirectional Motion
Dean, Norman L.




№ 3,203,644(8/31/65)
Gyroscopic
Inertial Space Drive
Kellogg, H. Dudley




Nº 2,636,340(4/28/53)
Direct Push
Propulsion Unit
Llamozas, Juan D. M.




Nº 5,150,626(9/29/92)
Translational Force
Generator
Navarro, T. L.




Nº 3,238,714(3/8/66)
Thrust Motor
Schur, George O.



Nº 2,009,780(7/30/35)
Centrifugal Variable Thrust
Mechanism
Laskowitz, Isidor B.



Nº 2,088,115(7/27/37)
Reaction Motor
Neff, Tom.



Nº 3,404,854(10/8/68)
Apparatus for
Imparting Motion to a
Body
di Bella, Alfio.

The Free Energy Centrifugal Force Experiment

This experiment is conducted by Faraday Lab Ltd
in cooperation with V. I. Bogomolov

In the previous issue we wrote about the invention of Bogomolov V. I. The device shown in Fig.1 (and also on the cover) was constructed and tested in our laboratory.



Fig. 1
The Device

The simplified diagram is known as "Maxwell's Pendulum" (Fig.2). This is a disk set onto the horizontal axis with two attached strings. The upper ends of the strings are fixed to the crossbar.




Fig. 2

If we wind the strings about the axis, the disk will lift (the height h) and store the potential energy of the Earth's gravitational field $E = mgh$ (m - mass of the flywheel; g - free fall acceleration; h - the height of the mass fall). If we let the pendulum go, we can observe periodic "up-and-down" damped oscillations: first, the string spins and potential energy converts into rotation kinetic energy; upon reaching the lowest point the disk, still rotating, goes up using rotation kinetic energy and then converts it again into potential energy. This device is interesting: due to the energy conservation law we can observe the usage of rotation kinetic energy of the flywheel, measuring only the h -parameter, the lift height of the flywheel strings in the second semi-oscillation compared to the height with which the pendulum started falling in the first semi-oscillation, the difference $h_1 - h_2$ of the two semi-oscillations is directly proportional to the losses of rotation kinetic energy for air resistance work and friction.

We have made "Maxwell's Pendulum" more complicated by substituting the flywheel disk for the Watt centrifugal governor in accordance with its description in the article (1). The main difference of the device from Maxwell's flywheel is that the flywheel inertia momentum changes by the centrifugal force operation at the lever transferring of weights (the total weight - 1200 g) from the minimum radius position (40 mm) to the maximum radius position

(90 mm). At that, the levers compress the string with the force of about 16 N.

There have been three stages of the experiments. During the first stage we define the necessary lift height of 1100 mm at moment when the string winds around the axle. When the flywheel falls from this height, the rotation speed and centrifugal force are achieved, which move apart the loads to the maximum radius (90 mm) and which **completely compress the string**. During the second stage the loads are fixed at the minimum radius position (40 mm), thus the compress string centrifugal forces are not involved any more and we can measure the air resistance and friction **losses of kinetic energy**. At this stage the flywheel lifts up to 980 mm losing 120 mm. During the third final stage the load fixing rods are taken away and **centrifugal forces are applied**. Just like during the second stage the Watt governor starts spin-falling from the height of 1100 mm. It compresses the string and lifts up to the height of 1030 mm thus **exceeding the second stage height by 50 mm!**

The experiment conclusions of the author:

1. At the third stage the flywheel exceeds the "loss height" $h=980$ mm. This means that the compression of the string was performed "for free".

2. At the third stage the flywheel converted kinetic energy into potential energy and lifted up 50 mm more. This means that in accordance with the principle of conservation of moment of momentum, the **outer compressed string energy force** has caused the moment of momentum change of the rotating masses, thus giving the additional acceleration to the flywheel and **increasing its kinetic energy "for free"**!

3. For the practical application of the Bogomolov generator in order to generate free energy it is necessary to achieve a high rotation speed (more than 10 000 rpm) and involve centrifugal forces to transfer the rotating mass to the less possible inertia radius difference.

The described centrifugal regulator diagram is not sufficient for larger experiments. For the industrial generator the author has a hydraulic-principle pneumatic string device drawing (know-how).

Editor: Also in this issue: the article on "Glen Gates Motor" by A. Akau. The principle is almost the same. The further implementation of this ideamay soon design purely mechanical power-generating systems, which use inertia and centrifugal forces.

References:

1. Bogomolov V.I. "The Bogomolov Generator", New Energy Technologies, Issue # 4, 2003.

Magnetic Power Inc. (MPI) is developing Self-Powered Generators. Together with its subsidiary, Room Temperature Superconductors Inc., (see the website www.ultraconductors.com), MPI has raised a total of more than \$7 million from Angel investors to date.

Due to a pleasant surprise, solid-state electric power generators might be fabricated by modifying off-the-shelf utility hardware. If confirmed in coming weeks, this could result in serial fabrication by this time next year, since large devices of the type needed for conversion are presently manufactured worldwide.

Multiple modules may prove able to replace power plants. Smaller units appear practical for powering homes. Later, optimized designs might replace engines in every variety of vehicle. These generators may make possible very rapid utilization of fuel and pollution free electric power --a revolutionary, new, renewable energy alternative.

Accredited Angel investors can help speed the work needed to bridge into major capital, and accelerate this remarkable alternative. Multi-million dollar funding is on the horizon. Additional information is available privately. We welcome due diligence by qualified parties.

History of Perpetual Motion and Free Energy Machines

Web site <http://www.phact.org/e/dennis4.html>

Hundreds of people for centuries have attempted to solve the holy grail of energy production - **Perpetual Motion Machines**. Still to this day Eric Kreig gets weekly emails from people who feel they are about to get one working. Eric is very skeptical about free energy. But his collection of information is very interesting. Eric offered a special prize for those who will agree to publicly test their over-unity devices.

- ♦ **Villard de Honnecourt** 13th century had a drawing of one.
- ♦ **Leonardo da vinci** made a number of drawings of things he hoped would make energy for free.
- ♦ Jesuit priest, **Johanes Taisnerius** worked on a magnetic based perpetual motion machine.
- ♦ **Mimara** in 1518 designed a "self-blowing windmill".
- ♦ **John Dee** of 16th century reported seeing one - but wasn't allowed a closer look.
- ♦ **Cornelis Drebbel**, 1610, was an alchemist and magician supposedly made one.
- ♦ **Robert Fludd** 1630 proposed many machines - people were trying to patent variations of Fludd's device in the 1870's.
- ♦ **Edward Somerset** 1638 demonstrated many free energy water wheels to the king of France.
- ♦ **1635** - first of many English perpetual motion machine patents granted. - By 1903, 600 such patents had been granted. Free energy claimants love impressing people with patents.
- ♦ **Ulrich von Carnach** in 1664 in Germany designed a perpetual ball-moving machine.
- ♦ Scientist **Jean Bernoulli** (1667 - 1748) proposed a fluid energy machine.
- ♦ **Bockler** in 1686 made designs for self powered water mills.
- ♦ In 1712 **Johann Bessler aka Orffyreus** investigated 300 different perpetual motion models and claimed he had the secret of perpetual motion and got much investment money.
- ♦ **Dr Conradus Schiviers** in 1790 made a belt driven wheel.
- ♦ **Sir William Congreve** in 1827 tried a machine running on capillary action.
- ♦ Britisher **Henry Prince** 1866 described the first partially submerged perpetual motion machine.
- ♦ **Mark Zimara** of Italy had a huge air powered machine that never worked.
- ♦ **Horace Wickmam** of the USA got a patent to a machine with many balls that just rotate around.
- ♦ Austrian, **Alois Drasch** patented a machine in the US in 1868.
- ♦ German, **George Andreas Bockler** proposed 'self operating mills' using variants of Archimedes screws.
- ♦ **E. P. Willis** of Connecticut made money off a perpetual motion machine in 1870 - people eventually found out a secret source of power to it.

♦ **Charles Redheffer** in 1812 in **Philadelphia** made much money on a perpetual motion machine, tried to restrict scientific evaluation, but was eventually debunked.

♦ Scottish shoemaker **Spence** designed a magnetic based machine, which was debunked.

♦ **John Worrel Keely** of Philadelphia in 1872 (he also had a traveling show of exhibitions). He fooled many scientists with a machine, which appeared to run on water.

♦ **John Gamgee** in 1881 got considerable support for a machine very similar to Dennis Lee's, which used liquid ammonia - it got vaporized from heat readily available, thus expanding it would drive a piston. Gamgee thought the vapor would condense to liquid to start the cycle over again. The Navy appeared to have been fooled and showed it to president Garfield - it never went anywhere. Tom Napier agrees that Dennis Lee may have resurrected Gamgee's engine.

♦ **J. M. Aldrich** was arrested for getting investors for his free energy machine in 1899 - he somehow was able to avoid conviction and conned many investors. One of whom was finally able to inspect the machine found a hidden spring.

♦ **T.H. Moray** in the 20's demonstrated a "radiant energy device" to many people who were unable to find a hidden power source. Somehow, the secret was said to have been forgotten.

♦ **Lester Hendershot** in 1928 got an Army commandant to endorse his free energy machine - but it was later found to have a hidden power source in the motor. His sons believe Lester lost his notes and that maybe they can rediscover how to get it to work.

♦ **Viktor Schauberger** claimed to have discovered some special vortex energy in water. Since he died in 1958, I don't know if his claims have been replicated, but people are still studying his works.

♦ **Mr Papf** in 1966 was a conspiracy believer. An alternative car engine got a few investors but killed someone during a demo. He tried to blame the problem on an investigating skeptic. He disappeared and became part of urban legend of scores of people, which the big conspiracy has been silencing for generations.

♦ **Guido Franch** was convicted of fraud in 1954 and 1973 of selling rights to distribute little green pills that would convert water into gas. He hid behind conspiracy theory and secrecy to avoid fair testing. A number of people have run this scam and many people still believe there are pills repressed by the oil companies.

♦ **Garabed T.K. Giragossian** in 1917 claimed to have a free energy machine. He was one of the early frauds to hide behind conspiracy theory. Woodrow Wilson signed a resolution offering him protection from some kind of conspiracy. After much fanfare, and delaying tactics his machine turned out to be a giant flywheel, which was charged up with energy slowly and put out a lot of energy for just a second. In spite of lack of proof of anything significant his followers still bothered the US congress for recognition.

♦ **Otis Carr** in 1958 sold stock for a company to manufacture UFO's and free energy machines from Oklahoma. He claimed inspiration from Tesla.

♦ **Edgar Cayce** even babbles about "Motor's with no Fuel".

♦ **McClintock** was claimed to use air as a fuel and had a patent.

♦ The **Evgray** machine scammed many investors (who didn't know how to test 'depleted' batteries).

♦ **Arnold Burke** in 1977 collected \$800,000 of investor money (again, mostly from bible believing farmers) for a 'self acting pump'. He tried to hide behind religion. He called his device Jeremiah 33:3. Finally, an open test was done in 1979 and found a hidden source of electricity. His believers (with

an infinite supply of denial) still raised \$250,000 to get out of a fraud conviction. He still went on making lots of claims with no evidence.

♦ **Robert Adams** in 1977 made all kinds of conspiracy and OU claims in New Zealand. Many still believe in the Adams Motor. - He had the obligatory battles over patents, debates over theory, debates over power measurement and dabbling in other areas of alt physics. He's 80 years old and said to have a scientific education. He has a lot of theories about Aether. Skeptical information.

♦ **Robert Stewart** in 1978 got over \$3,000,000 of investment money (much from farmers) for a closed cycle engine using freon rather than water. This 'engine' used the same scheme John Gamgee tried to sell the navy in 1882. -Eric Krieg thinks this is the same approach Dennis Lee's free energy machine works on. I think he just faded away (people claim he was silenced).

♦ **Rory Johnson** of Elgin Illinois, claimed to have invented a cold fusion, laser activated, magnetic motor that produced 525 HP, weighed 475 lbs, and would propel a large truck or bus 100,000 miles. After signing a number of dealers, he moved all his equipment out of his labs, moved to CA and died. (To this day, folks say he was silenced by OPEC).

♦ **RJeseph Maglich** was a physicist and claimed to have a device in 1978, which harnessed fusion power from seawater. They say they put power in and get more power out. I've never heard of them since.

♦ **Howard Johnson** got a patent for a device that claimed to make free energy from a motor like device. As of 11/02, Stephen was claiming he would soon mail out samples of working ones. By 12/12/02, Stephen dropped out of contact.

♦ **Keith Kenyon** had a device claimed to produce more energy than consumed. Calculations seemed to not take power factor (also known as phase angle) into account. It never openly had its output

hooked up to its input. Even Dennis Lee tells his followers that all the *over unity motors* (claim to make more electricity than consumed) usually just fool people who can't measure power factor.

♦ **Muller:** Bill Muller and Carmen Muller of Germany raised money on an over unity motor and got a few followers, but never actually demonstrated one working.

♦ **Dennis Lee** Since 1988 has been promising to demonstrate free electricity "in a month or two". He is much like Newman in his mixture of religion & extremist politics, evasion of qualified investigators, endless promises, threatening detractors, etc. He had a Fischer engine, a CRD device and now, and OU motor device. Dennis has invested in Searle and Stanley Meyer and joined Pantone in 2001 in a 50 state tour.

♦ **Stanley Meyer** 1996 claimed to have a water powered car and was also big on mixing Christianity and patriot politics in with fringe science. Meyer was found guilty of fraud after his Water Fuel Cell was tested before an Ohio judge. It is rare for an inventor to be prosecuted for an invention that does not work, but Meyer's problem was that he had been selling "dealerships", offering investors the "right to do business" in Water Fuel Cell technology. Meyer refused to allow anyone else to measure his device. Dennis Lee invested in him. He died in early 1998.

♦ **Joseph Newman** in 1984 claimed to have a free energy machine based on alternative physics. Like many perpetual motion inventors, he sued the US patent office. Many people wrongly measured the true power output of this machine, (they didn't realize you must specially calculate power for non sinusoidal current consumption). He now refuses to ship a unit for testing. Ten years ago, inventor Joseph Newman gave an open week-long demonstration in the Super dome in New Orleans. Over 9,000 people attended from across the country (including Dennis Lee who reportedly wanted to join his ideas with Newman). Newman is suing some former

investors he claims are trying to steal his invention. I give more information. Evan Soule of Newman's organization offers a rebuttal.

♦ **Bruce De Palma** had a machine in 1986, which appeared to one Electrical Engineering professor to put out 4 times more power than consumed. Turned out it was just a measurement error. (We could go on and on with such examples).

♦ **Dr. Potapov** sold a device that was claimed to produce more energy than consumed.

Editor: Eric's skeptical remarks can be tolerated but sometimes he writes about the things he does not know. Potapov and his team have created a technology, which have been replicated and sold by dozens of companies in Russia (see the cover picture of the Susorov heat generator). There are several devices in St. Petersburg to be tested. The efficiency is 200 % and more. The operation principle: electric motor rotates in water and causes the heating of water. We have also received the information about another Russian invention, namely the close cycle vortex generator: "water rotation – vapour – turbine – electric power " (the power is from 100 kW).

Alexander V. Frolov

♦ **John Bedini** claims to have a free energy device.

♦ **Mr. Finsrud** is a Norwegian artist who made a sculpture where a metal ball moves for weeks apparently with no outside influence.

♦ **Don Watts** of Las Vegas in around 1990 had a patented **CEACU**, which stands for Centrifugal Energy Amplification and Conversion Unit - it turned out to be one more investment fraud.

♦ **Stephan Marinov** claimed to have proven much alternative physics and to have contacted a Methernitha that claims to have a Free Energy Machine. He committed suicide on July 15, 1997 but he left behind some intense rants.

♦ **Greg Watson** sold kits for a rolling ball and track that were thought to have overunity in 1997. Attempts of replication I know of have failed.

♦ **CETI** These people have claimed to have a device that puts out anomalous yet small amounts of heat - maybe cold fusion. They raised millions. As of 10/97, they have not been willing to have me come over and see for myself. They have said, *we want people to think it doesn't work so we won't have competition*. I've wondered if the energy may have come from not accounting for friction effects from the cooling flow through the pellets. **Jed Rothwell**, a rational editor of Infinite Energy Magazine says "*however, tests with CETI cells at Motorola, SRI and the French Atomic Energy Commission show no measurable friction*"). Milton Rothman has a response: "I openly admit that I have not followed all the history of cold fusion claims and am generally ignorant on the subject".

♦ In January 1998 **Barbara Hickox** allegedly has a patent dating from 1981 for a fusion powered free energy system.

♦ **Paramahansa Tewari** of India claims to have a device that is 200% efficient.

♦ **RQM** is a Swiss company selling FE machines found at www.rqm.ch.

♦ **Ted King** is looking for people to buy stock for a car he plans to drive across the country using just 2 12-volt batteries. You can contact Ted if you want to buy shares.

♦ **Bruce Perreault** has claimed to have discovered a new element, the plasmatron, ion pump, radiant energy device, etc.

♦ **Daniel Pomerleau** of Canada claims to have something that works, but he isn't interested in releasing it (as 12/97).

♦ **Entropy Systems** of Ohio 1999 Sanjay Amin got 1.6 million investment dollars for a device that would violate the 2nd law of thermodynamics. I've asked them to apply for my prize for proof. - They seem to have folded. See a rational review of Amin's claims.

- ♦ **Brian Collin** of Australia claims revelation from God (*like most of these people*) to make a free energy device. A Stephen Mark claimed he invented it.
- ♦ **Kawai** and **Takahashi** - both of Japan claimed in the 90's to have overunity devices.
- ♦ **Carl Cella** claimed to have one of the many cars said to run on water.
- ♦ **Robert LeBreton** in 1999 claimed to be making a 600 hp free energy machine.
- ♦ **Renzo Boscolio** in 1999 in Italy claimed to have low-energy nuclear reactions but refused to supply real proof that he promised to people who came out from Infinite Energy Magazine.
- ♦ **Doug Konzen** of Seattle says he has an overunity motor in Jan of 2000 that anyone can see.
- ♦ **Troy Reed** of Oklahoma was ready to issue licenses for manufacturing his permanent magnet motor etc. He's taking investment money for a device he claims puts out more heat energy than input energy. He says he's dumped a few million into his designs over the last 10 years and had little interest in my prize offer when I contacted him in 2001.
- ♦ **Kipper Motor.** In 10/00, Steve Elswick thought it was overunity. But David Sligar who paid \$175 for plans could not get it working.
- ♦ **Ludwig Brits and Victor Christie** in 2001, claim the **Lutec** free electricity over unity motor/generator will soon be in Australia.
- ♦ **Jasker** in 2002, an Irish company has claimed to have free electricity. (Some have said it is just a joke).
- ♦ **Confidential Technology -Wayne Cochran** died 12/29/02 Crazy Jack Carey took over. They have been promising FE soon for about 20 years now.
- ♦ **Tom Bearden's MEG device:** many articles on the Internet.
- ♦ **Gurbakhsh Singh Mann of India** claims to have invented gravity and buoyancy perpetual motion machines.
- ♦ **Michael J. Marshall** in Las Vegas has a device called QSFG, which stands for quick start fuel-less generator he says 64 nations have asked him to build factories.
- ♦ **Carl Tilley and Robert Kibbey** in 6/2001 in Tennessee have claimed some over unity device and other stuff. Their demonstration failed in 9/02 and as of 11/02, they have been evading proper demonstrations.
- ♦ **Stephen Walker** in 9/2002 promised to send me and several others a free energy machine in mail.
- ♦ **PerEnDev** promised to make some kind of free energy by means of magnets.
- ♦ **Bill Muller** of Canada in 2003 claimed to have some kind of OU device. Independent tests found it under unity.
- ♦ **Energie:** In 2003 this Greek company promised a homopolar magnet (De Palma design) FE design by June of 2003. They actively seek investors.
- ♦ **GWE Genesis World Energy** In 2002 this group claimed to have 400 people who developed some device that sounds like it separates water into H₂ and O₂ using less energy than mainstream science says is possible. As of 2003, they have evaded independent confirmation. More information is available.
- ♦ **Steven Greer (Disclosure Project)** In 02.2003, Steven announced he had discovered some real sources of Free energy, which he promised to make sure get proven to the general public.

Tesla Energy Science Conference and Exposition

1220 L Street NW, Suite 100-232 Washington DC 20005

Tel: 800-295-7674

Fax: 301-513-5728

www.IntegrityResearchInstitute.org

Email: iri@erols.com

Saturday, November 8, 2003
Wireless Electricity Seminar

9:30 AM 10:15 AM

Opening Address: "Space Solar Power" – Dr. **Paul Werbos** Program Director, National Science Foundation

10:15 AM 12:15 PM

Special 2-Hour Presentation: "Nicola Tesla and the development of RF Power Systems" - Dr. **James Corum**, Physics Professor, research Scientist and Inventor and **Kenneth Corum**, Physicist, teacher, consultant.

2:00 PM 3:00 PM

"Power Engineering Scalar Field Theory: Faraday vs. Maxwell and Longitudinal Wave Demonstration" – Professor **Konstantin Meyl**, Engineer, Author, Inventor of the Demo-Set, Professor at the University of Berlin

3:15 PM 4:15 PM

"Wireless Energy Through the Earth-Ionosphere Cavity" – Dr. **Elizabeth Rauscher**, Nuclear and Astrophysicist, Inventor of the ELF Earthquake Predictor and Triangulator.

4:15 PM 5:15 PM

"Masters of the Ionosphere HAARP Modifies the Polar Electrojet" – **BBC Video on Tesla Technology**, with William Terbo, demonstrating ionospheric excitation by radio waves.

6:30 PM 7:15 PM

Masters of the Ionosphere – BBC Video Presentation **repeated** for the Special Tesla Evening Event attendees

7:30 PM 8:30 PM

"The Wardenclyffe Dream: Tesla's Plan for Wireless Worldwide Distribution" – **Dr. Marc Seifer** Professor, Author of the best-selling book *Wizard, The Life and Times of Nikola Tesla*, presents an illustrated historical account.

8:30 PM 9:00 PM

High Voltage Tesla Coil Demo – **High Voltage Device** with **Music**: The Tesla Tower wind ensemble composition by Prof. **Holland**, Skidmore College

Sunday November 9, 2003
Electrotherapeutic and Tesla History Day

9:30 AM – 10:30 AM

"A Family Perspective on the Personality of Nikola Tesla. Review of the Popular Interest in this Scientific Icon" with Q and A Session – **William Terbo**, Engineer, Closest living relative of Tesla (Grand-nephew). Founder and Director of the Tesla Memorial Society.

10:30 AM 11:30 AM

“The Search for Free Energy: Genius, Vision and Psychology of Invention” – **Keith Tutt**, British Writer and Author of the book *The Scientist, The Madman, The Thief and their Lightbulb* that includes Tesla.

11:30 AM 12:15 PM

“Electrotherapy with Tesla Coil Design: Introduction to Bioelectromagnetics” – Dr. **Thomas Valone** Physicist, Professional Engineer, Author of the new book, *Bioelectromagnetic Healing: A rationale for its Use*.

12:15 PM 1:00 PM

Exhibitors Presentations – **Brief Presentations by exhibitors** (5-10 minutes each)

2:00 PM-3:00 PM

“Emerging Opportunity: cancer Electromagnetic Frequency Therapy” – Dr. **Mark Neveu** President, the National Foundation for Alternative medicine.

3:00 PM 4:00 PM

“Turn of the Century Electrotherapy Discoveries” – **Jeffrey Behay** Director, The Turn of the Century Electrotherapy Museum.

4:00 PM 5:00 PM

“How a Crushed Leg Helped Me Discover High Voltage Electromagnetic Healing Device” – **Ralph Suddath**, Third generation Tesla Electrotherapy Inventor, radio Host, Entrepreneur.

5:00 PM 5:15 PM

Closing Remarks – **Thomas Valone** Program Coordinator

**The Conference Report Compilation can be purchased from T. Valone,
Integrity Research Institute.**

“Nornikel” and Alternative Energy

“Norilsky Nikel”, the largest Russian non-ferrous metal producing company has announced the beginning of the cooperation with the Russian Academy of Science. Metallurgists are planning to invest into the development of the hydrogen energy and fuel cell R&D projects of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Accordingly, last week an agreement was signed. **Mikhail Prohorov**, the General Director of “Nornikel” said that they are ready to invest 20-40 million dollars annually into R&D projects of the Academy in this field.

“Expert” magazine, # 43, November 17-23, 2003

PERENDEV Magnetic Motor

Review

www.perendev.co.za/products/magnetic_motor/index.html

Shown below is a Magnetic Motor with Alternator (Patent Pending):



Fig.1

This is the "PerEnDev" (Perpetuum Energy Devices) company latest motor predicted to deliver some 60 kW. Motors can be built up to 4mW.

Background of the Magnetic Motor

The Company started in 1969 with the development of a magnetic motor to drive an alternator to produce electricity. The concept was sound but the problem they faced was that the magnets that were available at the time (ferrite magnets) were not very powerful. The unit ran but had very little power and the project was abandoned.

In 1996 the "Perendev" company started to investigate the possibility of reviving the

original idea, magnets had now come a long way and were very powerful (rare earth).



Fig. 2
Rare earth magnets

After the engineers successfully designed a new model, the company developed a 3 rotor system producing 6 kW, coupling this to an alternator through an 11:1 gearbox to produce electricity in 220volt and 380volt, single or 3 phase.



Fig.3

The units will be ideal for the small and large user, such as emergency, military, farms, plots,

industrial and large users... in fact any application requiring power.

Key Benefits Foreseen:

- ◆ No fuel costs
- ◆ Constant running
- ◆ Reliable
- ◆ Cost Effective
- ◆ Portable (6kw-120Kw)

Editor: In 2002 "Faraday Lab" got an offer from the company to buy their 6 kW generator for testing at the price of about \$6,000. However, no contract was signed. The company is currently engaged in redesign of their motors and is looking for regional partners but they are not yet ready to produce on the line. In our issues we will try to inform the readers on their activities.

Alexander V. Frolov

Internet Pages



Jasker Power System



Lutec 1000



Electric Radial Motor

industrial and large users... in fact any application requiring power.

Key Benefits Foreseen:

- ◆ No fuel costs
- ◆ Constant running
- ◆ Reliable
- ◆ Cost Effective
- ◆ Portable (6kw-120Kw)

Editor: In 2002 "Faraday Lab" got an offer from the company to buy their 6 kW generator for testing at the price of about \$6,000. However, no contract was signed. The company is currently engaged in redesign of their motors and is looking for regional partners but they are not yet ready to produce on the line. In our issues we will try to inform the readers on their activities.

Alexander V. Frolov

Internet Pages



Jasker Power System




Lutec 1000




Electric Radial Motor




18 Meter Perpetual Wheel




Minato Wheel, E. Vogel, Sweden




Generator from James W. German



Konzen
Pluse Motor



200-300 percent over-unity energy Space
Power Generator




Adams Motor, Robert Adams




The RotoVerter




Bendini Pulse Motor




Motor based on Flynn Technology



Finsrud Device



The Muller Unit



Alte Olsen Generator

Vladimir Matveev's Electrical Generator

Entirely New Kind Of Generator Invented!

(1-26-2003) TASHKENT, Uzbekistan (UPI) – An Uzbek inventor said he has created a type of electrical generator that does not rely on the principle of electromagnetic induction – on which all existing generators are based.

The new generator employs a concept called **magnetic conductivity modulation** and it has potential applications in industry, communications, households and even the military, explained Vladimir Matveev, the inventor, a specialist in electronics.

Matveev said he is convinced he has created a fundamentally different machine.

"All electrical machines I know are based on the principle of interaction between the magnetic fluxes (lines of force) of their rotor (rotating member) and stator (portion that remains fixed)," he explained.


Such machines, Matveev said, are based on electromagnetic induction, a property of energy discovered by Michael Faraday, an English physicist and chemist, in the 19th century. The machines produce electrical current either by moving a conductor across a magnetic field or by regulating the flux of that field.

"My machine has a principal difference," Matveev told United Press International. "The magnetic field of its stator does not interact with the magnetic field of the rotor (because) its rotor is not a magnet – the rotor only changes the magnetic resistance of the stator," he said.


The stator in Matveev's generator contains a magnetic core with a permanent magnet and a detachable winding. A rotor with changeable magnetic resistance is placed at a cutoff point in the core's magnetic field. It is composed of alternating magnetic and air parts and can operate in either linear or rotary form.

When the rotor is set in motion, its alternating components pass through the magnetic core's cutoff point. When the magnetic part passes through the cutoff point its magnetic resistance decreases. When the air part passes through, its resistance increases.

This pulsing of resistance results in changing the magnetic conductivity of the magnetic core, which in turn produces an alternating electrical current in the core's winding. The frequency of the winding's current can be controlled by regulating the rotor's speed or by changing certain qualities of its magnetic or air parts. Also, the generator's electrical



The Muller Unit



Alte Olsen Generator

Vladimir Matveev's Electrical Generator

Entirely New Kind Of Generator Invented!

(1-26-2003) TASHKENT, Uzbekistan (UPI) – An Uzbek inventor said he has created a type of electrical generator that does not rely on the principle of electromagnetic induction – on which all existing generators are based.

The new generator employs a concept called **magnetic conductivity modulation** and it has potential applications in industry, communications, households and even the military, explained Vladimir Matveev, the inventor, a specialist in electronics.

Matveev said he is convinced he has created a fundamentally different machine.

"All electrical machines I know are based on the principle of interaction between the magnetic fluxes (lines of force) of their rotor (rotating member) and stator (portion that remains fixed)," he explained.

Such machines, Matveev said, are based on electromagnetic induction, a property of energy discovered by Michael Faraday, an English physicist and chemist, in the 19th century. The machines produce electrical current either by moving a conductor across a magnetic field or by regulating the flux of that field.


"My machine has a principal difference," Matveev told United Press International. "The magnetic field of its stator does not interact with the magnetic field of the rotor (because) its rotor is not a magnet – the rotor only changes the magnetic resistance of the stator," he said.

The stator in Matveev's generator contains a magnetic core with a permanent magnet and a detachable winding. A rotor with changeable magnetic resistance is placed at a cutoff point in the core's magnetic field. It is composed of alternating magnetic and air parts and can operate in either linear or rotary form.

When the rotor is set in motion, its alternating components pass through the magnetic core's cutoff point. When the magnetic part passes through the cutoff point its magnetic resistance decreases. When the air part passes through, its resistance increases.

This pulsing of resistance results in changing the magnetic conductivity of the magnetic core, which in turn produces an alternating electrical current in the core's winding. The frequency of the winding's current can be controlled by regulating the rotor's speed or by changing certain qualities of its magnetic or air parts. Also, the generator's electrical

output – its voltage – can be controlled by changing the configuration of the rotor's components, Matveev explained.



**Electrical machine assembly -
FEDORO V F 1996.10.10 1996RU-119822
(1998.09.27) G01R 31/34, 31/02**

Addnl.Data: VOROB EI V K MATVEEV V A

NOVELTY - Device has electrical machine, non-brush field exciter with diode rectifier, two dynamics double-winding transformers, which primary windings are located on rotor and secondary windings on stator. One end of primary winding of second transformer is connected to rotor housing; another end is connected to common point of conductor which is connected between capacitor and cathode of semiconductor diode, which other terminals are connected to direct current terminals of diode rectifier. Semiconductor diode is connected to cathode group of rectifier; capacitor is connected to anode group. Secondary windings of transformers are connected to actuating member through generator of signal, which is proportional to resistance of insulation in excitation winding circuit.

USE - Electric power production

Matveev said his generator is different from an invention by Howard Johnson of the United States. Johnson discovered how to build motors that can run without input of electricity or any other kind of external energy. He obtained a patent in 1973 for describing electrical generation using only the energy contained in the atoms of permanent magnets.

Matveev also said some Russian inventors have experimented with a generator similar to his. Their generator changes its magnetic conductivity by changing temperature. However, the machine requires a lot of time to be heated and cooled alternately and results in a current frequency much lower than what generally is used in industry. Moreover, the Russian generator requires high steel density and greater mass.






Matveev's machine generates electric energy of industrial frequency. Furthermore, he said his machine is simple, reliable and requires less steel and mass than conventional generators. It also can be adapted to flows of low speeds, such as weak water or wind streams. Matveev tested the generator in his former household in Kazakhstan before he patented it in Uzbekistan.

"I want to pass the invention on to all mankind," he said.

Boris Abdurakhmanov, director of the Uzbek Koinot (Cosmos) design office and head of the laboratory of semiconductors and photoelectricity of the Institute of Electronics of the Uzbek Academy of Sciences, told UPI: "Matveev has offered a fundamentally new approach to a problem of the creation of electric power generators."

Utilization of the Gravity Force in Power Engineering

Editor: In the previous issue we made a review of Mikhail Smeretchanski's invention "Perpetual motor with magnetic control elements" (# 4, 2003). This invention was patented; shown below are some pages from the patent itself. You can contact the author by e-mail: smeretchanski.mikhail@wanadoo.fr, or the postal address: 13 av. Rochambeau 3800 GRENOBLE FRANCE



The Most Interesting Articles - 2003

In the year 2003 we have published more than 120 articles, mainly on the alternative energy, new propulsion principles and the development of ether dynamics.

"Cold fusion", low energy nuclear reactions (LENR) and highly-efficient electrolysis were represented by the works of Professor Kanarev, E. Storms, P. Hagelstein, T. Chubb and E. Cartlidge.

Almost every "New Energy" issue features articles on highly-efficient permanent magnet motor or generator experiments or patents of the kind. The articles by T. Valone, E. Vogel, A. Akau, T. Hardwood, A. Francouer and S. Abramov are of great value for engineers and researchers in this field.

The interesting articles by M. Filo, R. Koontz and V. Bogomolov reveal several principles of designing and building purely mechanical energy generating systems. All these systems possess one common feature: namely, the fact that a substance (a solid or fluid working mass) is accelerated in the gravitational field or in the centrifugal force field. The design characteristics of the system make it possible to obtain free energy.

We have published several articles by S. Gerasimov and his colleagues on the reaction-less propulsion

device experiments. We hope that in the future we will keep in touch with these researchers.

The article by D. Reed on the new physics development concepts is worth mentioning too. The article by E. Sorokodum on new energy sources and the article by V. Chernobrov on the research into the active properties of time are of no less importance.

A. Egorov's article on the ball lightning makes it possible to form a number of experimental approaches to the problem of creation multi-purpose stable plasma objects.

Electrogravity questions, water-powered vehicles, longitudinal electromagnetic wave technologies, the Searl effect commercialization, capillary engines, resonance high-efficiency power-engineering, single-wire power lines, heat pumps and other similar research works were presented to our readers in the year 2003. We have minimized theoretical articles and we hope that the magazine has become more interesting and useful for the new energy practice development.

Alexander Frolov
St. Petersburg, Russia

**There is interesting newsgroup of the site: <http://www.overunity.com>,
Stefan Hartmann, email: harti@harti.com**

Stefan Hartmann writes: This is the answer I got from Finsrud; it seems that the film they have done about him will be shown [on Discovery Channel] in 2004 when [if] I interpret this norwegian text right...

Subject: Documentary Film

Reidar Finsrud,

Dear Sir,

The Australian Broadcasting Company together with The Discovery Channel, have funded my company to make a documentary film entitled 'A Machine To Die For', the story of Perpetual Motion and the search for 'Free Energy'.

I have read extensively about your Perpetual Motion sculpture and we would be interested in traveling from Australia to Norway in order to film this now famous piece of work. At the same time we would request an interview with yourself.

If you are in agreement with this it is our intention to be in Norway on the 10th and 11th of July 2003. This documentary will be released worldwide and should produce considerable interest in your Gallery.

Mark Eliot

Catherine Jarvis and Mark Eliot

Romany Mill Studios

Hydrogenic Power Engineering

Hydrogenics Secures \$3 Million from Japan Auto Makers

Letter from RemyC remyc@prodigy.net

Contacts: investors@hydrogenics.com

Hydrogenics Secures \$3 Million in Test Equipment Orders Japanese Auto Makers Select Greenlight Power for Fuel Cell Testing Equipment

TORONTO, Sept. 30 – Hydrogenics Corporation (Nasdaq: HYGS; TSX: HYG), a developer and manufacturer of fuel cell products, announced today that its wholly owned subsidiary, Greenlight Power Technologies (Greenlight) has received orders totaling US \$3 million for fuel cell test stations from two leading Asian automotive customers. Both are repeat orders for multiple machines.

Cumulatively, Greenlight is providing seven Fuel Cell Advanced Test Stations (FCATS) to two major customers. The first order is for three 3 kW PEM FCATS L-Series test systems. The second is for three 12 kW PEM FCATS H-Series test systems and one 60 kW PEM FCATS HX-Series testing station. The stations are expected to be delivered over the next two quarters.

"Our decision to open an office in Tokyo, Japan over three years ago continues to pay dividends," said Pierre Rivard, President and CEO of Hydrogenics. "The Japanese market is advancing quite aggressively towards the commercialization of fuel cell technology, as evidenced by our growth in test equipment sales to the region over the past three years. We are delighted to secure these major contracts with customers who are clearly setting the pace in fuel cell development."

Greenlight fuel cell testing systems provide high precision instrumentation, combined with full-featured software. They deliver fuel cell testing results that prove the reliability,

repeatability and versatility required for world-leading fuel cell development programs.

About Hydrogenics Corporation

Hydrogenics Corporation (Nasdaq: HYGS; TSX: HYG) is a leading clean power generation company, engaged in the commercialization of fuel cell technology and test stations for fuel cells. The company is building a sustainable business, in a potentially "game changing technology" for transportation, stationary and portable power. Hydrogenics, based in Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, has operations in British Columbia, Canada, Japan, the United States and Germany. For more information, please visit www.hydrogenics.com.

About Greenlight Power Technologies, Inc.

Greenlight Power Technologies Inc. (<http://www.greenlightpower.com>), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Hydrogenics Corporation, is a leading global supplier of testing and diagnostic equipment to the fuel cell industry. It has supplied fuel cell test equipment to the world's premier fuel cell stack manufacturers, component manufacturers, system integrators and research organizations. Greenlight provides a full suite of test equipment for fuel cell stack, stack component, reformer and system testing for companies focused on portable, stationary, and transportation fuel cell applications.

Hydrogen Fuel Cells

Anuvu Incorporated

3980 Research Drive
Sacramento, CA 95838
USA




Tel: (916) 921-7040

Fax: (916) 921-7044

Email: anuvu@anuvu.com

www.anuvu.com/home.html

Now our readers can get familiar with some hydrogen fuel cell development photos. It is worth of a note that the prices are high for the majority of people. For example, a hydrogen fuel cell car costs 100 000 dollars FOB Sacramento, CA. The next picture features a fuel cell van, which costs 150 000 dollars.



EXCESSIVE OUTPUT BY MEANS OF AIR IONIZATION

We have received an interesting article from California. Here is the short version of the article.

The Mechanism of The Electric Spark

Review of the research

by Leonard B. Loeb

Professor of Physics, University of California at Berkeley
and

John M. Meek, Research Engineer
Stanford University Press, Stanford University, California

Dedicated to Professor J. S. Townsend whose pioneer research and theory laid the whole foundation for the study of the mechanism of the electrical spark discharge.

Although the electric spark has been known to mankind in its various manifestations from time immemorial, its mechanism has to date been little understood. The initial clarification of the mechanisms involved is due to J.S. Townsend as a result of his brilliant researches in the early nineteen hundreds. On the basis of his theory of ionization by collision by electrons and positive ions, the fundamental mechanisms active and especially the coefficients required in their application were made available.

In 1936 the present senior author was forced to describe the mechanism of spark discharge in terms of a modified but distinctly unsatisfactory Townsend theory. In 1935 the discovery of photo-ionization in air by corona discharge indicated a solution was not far off. The turning point of a more successful theory came in the discovery of streamers in positive point to plane corona in 1936. The quantitative analysis of the self-propagating positive streamer in all breakdown phenomena became clearly evident as a result of the data concerning electron avalanches. As a result a qualitative mechanism of sparking by streamer propagation from anode to

cathode functioning by means of photo-ionization in the gas was established.

The Townsend Sparking Criteria

It will not be necessary here to derive the famous equation of Townsend for the current $[i]$ in a gap between electrodes as a function of the photoelectric current $[i_0]$ from the cathode, the gap length $[x]$ and the coefficients $[a]$ and $[B]$. For this the reader can go to any standard text. (*Editor: the equation is omitted*)

In this equation the first Townsend coefficient $[a]$ represents the number of new electrons created in the gas by an initial electron in its advance of 1 cm along the field axis from the cathode.

The second Townsend coefficient $[B]$ in Townsend's original theory was the number of new electrons created by a single positive ion in its advance of 1 cm along the field from the anode.

The quantity $[a]$ has been extensively studied in various gases. It varies with the ratio of field strength to pressure, X/p , where $[X]$ is in volts per centimeter and $[p]$ is in millimeters of Hg.

Note: the reason we are going through this is to determine the actual increase in current provided by the spark gap, and thus be able to design the circuit to avoid blowing out semiconductor components. It also provides a

sound and already proven scientific theory to work from giving us a good foundation and the confidence to proceed with technical design work.

The quantity [B] has been evaluated, albeit rather inaccurately, from the variations of [i] with [x] at various higher values of X/p, by many observers in different gases. Inasmuch as it has now been shown that there are numerous other mechanisms other than impact with positive ions, which can liberate the secondary electron, needed in discharge.

There has been an inclination to give up the mechanism of impact ionizations by positive ions in gas. The discovery of measurable photoelectric ionization in gas has now made it possible to explain such cases. The exact way in which photo-ionization in the gas could operate to cause a spark, was not clear until the development of the present **streamer theory**.

The Streamer Theory of Spark Discharge Anode Space-Charge Field Due to an Avalanche

Assume a spark gap of 1 cm in length. Assume that in air at atmospheric pressure the potential across the plates is 31,600 volts, which is the conventionally observed sparking potential [Vs].

Let us then calculate what happens in the field to one of those electrons. It starts across the gap, quickly acquiring an average random energy of some $E = 1/2 m C^2 = 3.6$ electron volts and a drift velocity [v] in the field direction of about 1.5 to 2 times 10^7 centimeters per second. As it moves it creates new electrons at a rate of [a] per centimeters in the field direction so that in a distance [x] it and its progeny amount to $e(ax)$ electrons, forming what is called an **electron avalanche**.

Therefore, $e(ax)$ positive ions have been left behind by the electron group, virtually where they were formed in the 10^{-7} second of advance for the electrons in the distance $x = q$ across the plates. As the electron avalanche advances, its tip is spreading laterally by the random diffusive movement of the electrons. From these data it is possible to

compute the density of positive-ion space charge left behind at any point [x]. The value of [a] under these conditions is about 17, making $e(aq) = e(17)$. The first ion pair is created at 0.0407 cm from the cathode. At 0.5 cm from the cathode there are 4914 ions, at 0.75 cm there are 3.66 times 10^5 ions, and within 0.0407 cm from the anode there are 1.2 times 10^7 ions. **Most electrons will be drawn to the anode except for some few that are bound by the positive ions, making a sort of a conducting discharge plasma in the avalanche.**

Such a distribution of ions does not make a conducting filament of charges across the gap, and hence in itself an avalanche that has crossed does not constitute a breakdown of the gap. Thus one must look further for the mechanism of the spark.

If Loeb and Meek are correct then if we assume a spark gap of 3 mm and a voltage of 5,000 volts there are roughly 2,000 electrons created by avalanche for every one electron leaving the cathode. They state that most of these 'free electrons' are absorbed by the anode. [This would certainly explain why the semiconductor components cannot handle the current gain.]

NOTE: Loeb and Meek make little reference to initial amperage. There are only two values they refer to 10^{-5} ampere and 10^{-12} ampere.

In conclusion: Sparks and Arcs are two different beasts. My initial research into the amperage necessary to form an arc does not apply to spark and the process of avalanche where this huge gain mechanism is possible.

Photoelectric Ionization in Gas as a Secondary Mechanism

Accompanying the cumulative ionization there is produced by electrons from four to ten times as many excited atoms and molecules. Some are excited to an energy exceeding the ionizing potential of some of the atoms and molecules present, either by excitation of an inner shell, by ionization and excitation, or in a **mixed gas like air by the excitation of molecules of higher ionizing potential, e.g., N₂**. These excited atoms or molecules emit radiations of very short wave

length in some 10^{-8} second. This short ultraviolet radiation is **highly absorbed** in the gas and leads to ionization of the gas. In fact, the whole gas and the cathode as well are subjected to a shower of photons of all energies traveling from the region of dense ionization with the velocity of light. Thus nearly instantaneously in the whole gap and from the cathode new photoelectrons are liberated which almost at once begin to ionize cumulatively.

The Mechanism of Positive Streamer Formation

The photoelectrons created at points in the gas and at the cathode at any great radial distance from the avalanche axis will merely create other avalanches. Those in the gas will be short and those coming from the cathode region will be long and like that of the initial avalanche. Being smaller and, in any case, later in creation than the parent avalanche, such avalanches will be of no interest in breakdown. However, those photoelectrons created near the space-charge channel of positive ions, and especially near the anode, will be in an enhanced field, which exerts a directive action drawing them into itself. If the space-charge field [X1] is in the order of magnitude of the imposed field [X], this action will be very effective. In addition the values of [a] will be much enhanced.

The electrons from the intense cumulative ionization of such photoelectron avalanches in the combined fields [X] and [X1] which are drawn into the positive space charge feed into it, making it a conducting PLASMA which starts at the anode. The added fields will be most effective along [X] and so will the ionization. The positive ions they leave behind will therefore extend the space charge towards the cathode. These electrons also create photons, which produce electrons to continue this process. **In this fashion the positive space charge develops toward the cathode from the anode as a self-propagating positive space-charge streamer.**

As the streamer advances towards the cathode it produces a filamentary region of intense space-charge distortion along a line parallel to

the field. The conducting streamer of a plasma consisting of electrons and ions extending to the anode thus makes a very steep gradient at the cathode end of the streamer tip. As this advances toward the cathode the photoelectron avalanches produced by radiation at the cathode, especially at the intercept of the extended streamer axis at the cathode, it begins to produce an intense ionization near the cathode. Hence the positive ions created there may increase the secondary emission. Thus, as the space-charge streamer approaches the cathode a cathode spot is forming which may become a source of visible light.

When the streamer reaches the cathode there is a conducting filament bridging the gap. As the streamer tip reaches the cathode the high field produces a rush of electrons towards the end of the streamer. This is followed by a current of electrons, gives a high-potential wave, which passes up the preionized conducting channel to the anode, **multiplying the electrons present by a large factor.** The channel is thus rendered highly conducting. If the metal can emit a copious supply of electrons because of the formation of an efficient cathode spot, the current of electrons continues the channel maintaining its high conductivity and **ever increasing in it.** This current, unless limited by external resistance, will then develop into **an arc.** It is, however, the intense increase in ionization by the potential wave, which gives the highly conducting channel characterizing the spark.

Conclusion: According to Loeb and Meek there are three means by which a spark in open air will provide a very large current gain. If this is true, it should be fairly easy to prove with inexpensive and unsophisticated equipment. Once the actual amount of current gain has been determined for the design parameters of the spark gap, then the rest of the circuit can be designed for the increased current value.

Editor: It is worth of a note that the current gain by means of ionization was patented by Pavel N. Yablatchkov (the patent of France # 120684, October 11th 1887). Some two years ago one of our issues featured an article about him. We think it is worth being published again.

PATENT of 1877 by Pavel N. Yablotchkov

Alexander V. Frolov

Faraday Lab Ltd,
Lev Tolstoy St., 7 St. Petersburg, 197376 Russia
Tel: 7-812-380-3844

Pavel N. Yablotchkov was born in 1847 near Saratov, Russia. He graduated as a Military Engineer in 1866 and spent several years in the Russian Army.

In 1872 he came to Moscow and started his activities in electrotechnical field. Since 1875 he had been working in Paris with the famous Louis Breget and his first French patent # 110479 of November 29th, 1875 was dedicated to an electromagnetic transformer. Then he developed and patented a lighting system (the well-known Yablotchkov electrical candle). In 1876 he patented a new electromagnetic transformer for industrial purposes, France # 115793 of November 30th, 1876.

The most interesting patent claim on over-unity devices by Pavel N. Yablotchkov is known as France patent # 120684, October 11th, 1877, "The system of distribution and amplification of electrical currents by means of atmosphere electricity..." The patent describes special capacitors connected in series with the load to increase the output current by means of ionization. Experiments were conducted together with the well-known physicists such as Dr. Maskar, Dr. Varren-Delaru and others and **they confirmed the 200 % efficiency of the circuit**. Now we will try to explain the method.




Fig.1 features a schematic drawing taken from Yablotchkov's patent. The Leyden jar is an asymmetrical capacitor, i.e. it is

different in principle from a two-plate flat capacitor. The inner electrode of the jar should be connected to a high-voltage source and in this case the changes of the potential have an effect on the potential changes on the external electrode. It does not work in the opposite case and if you connect a high-voltage source to the external electrode no potential changes will be detected on the inner electrode. Connection to the ground or to a special plate (which is covered with many needles to increase air ionization) is necessary to attract the maximum number of electrons to the plate surface or to return the maximum electrons from the plate surface when potential changes on the external electrode are produced by means of electrical induction in the Leyden jar.

In conclusion I should mention one more supposition of the secrets of the well-known Swiss M-L converter (Methernitha). The main elements of the design are Leyden jar capacitors, which have the external surface made of perforated metal.

The other known fact is that great ionization of air is observed when the converter is in operation. So, the electrostatic machine can produce pulses of a very high voltage (potential difference) but it cannot be used as a source of a powerful current. In order to increase the current in the circuit we should apply a certain method and Yablotchkov's technology seems to be appropriate. A large surface of the external electrode of the Leyden jar can be a good solution to the problem. Maximum strong ionization allows us to obtain the output current several times stronger than the weak current generated by the electrostatic machine.

SUBSCRIPTION 2004!

To subscribe for
New Energy Technologies
please visit our web site:

<http://www.faraday.ru/net.htm>

One-year subscription
price for 2004 is \$46.00

World-wide airmail delivery
included.

In 2004 we will publish
5,000 copies, 4 times per year.
Each printed issue will include
100 pages of printed information.
Alongside the English version
there is the Russian version
available.



CD "New Energy Technologies"



Back issues of 2001 - 2003 are
available on a CD with PDF files.

The price is \$26.00.

You can order a CD from the same
web page:

<http://www.faraday.ru/net.htm>

Contact information for additional requests:

Faraday Lab Ltd,
New Energy Technologies magazine,
Lev Tolstoy Str. 7 -601,
St. Petersburg,
197376, Russia.
Tel/fax 7-812-3803844



TeslaTech, Inc.

296 E Donna Dr, Queen Valley, AZ 85218


Visit our site!... <http://www.teslatech.info>

Benefits

- 1 yr *ExtraOrdinary Technology* (qtlly)
- Mail Order Discounts
- Conference Fee Discounts

ExtraOrdinary Technology...
our magazine containing news
about *the leading edge of our
Scientific Revolution.*

**...an invaluable resource to
track leading edge research!!!**



ExtraOrdinary Technology
focuses on:

Tesla Technology
Low temperature plasma
Electrogravitation
Electrified water
Magnetic healing
Electromedicine
New Health Supplements
...and much, much more!

JOIN TODAY!
(520) 463-1994

Annual Membership Rates: \$30 - United States • \$35-Can/Mex • \$55-Else

Advanced Energy • Alternative Medicine • Future Technology